Go to...

Toggle SGML Header (+)

Section 1: S-4/A (AMENDMENT NO. 4 TO FORM S-4)

Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 4, 2013.

Registration No. 333-187564

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 4

to

FORM S-4 REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Arkansas (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 6022 (Primary Standard Industrial Classifications Code Number) 17901 Chenal Parkway 71-0556208 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

Little Rock, Arkansas 72223 (501) 978-2265 (Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

> Greg L. McKinney Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. 17901 Chenal Parkway Little Rock, Arkansas 72223 Tel. (501) 978-2265

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

With copies of all communications to:

H. Watt Gregory, III Kutak Rock LLP 124 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 2000 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 Tel. (501) 975-3000 Neil E. Grayson Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP 104 South Main Street, Suite 900 Greenville, South Carolina 29601 Tel. (864) 250-2300

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: As soon as practicable after this registration statement becomes effective and all other conditions to the proposed merger described herein have been satisfied or waived.

If the securities being registered on this Form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer \Box (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Х

If applicable, place an X in the box to designate the appropriate rule provision relied upon in conducting this transaction:

Accelerated filer

Exchange Act Rule 13e-4(i) (Cross-Border Issuer Tender Offer) Exchange Act Rule 14d-1(d) (Cross-Border Third-Party Tender Offer)

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

		Proposed	Proposed	
	Amount	maximum	maximum	
Title of each class of	to be	offering price	aggregate	Amount of
securities to be registered	registered(1)	per unit	offering price(2)	registration fee(3)(4)
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	2,370,370	n/a	\$95,518,000	\$13,028.66

Represents the maximum number of shares of Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. (the "Company") common stock that may be issued to holders of shares of common stock of The First National Bank of Shelby ("FNB") in the merger assuming that (i) shareholders of FNB elect 100% stock consideration and (ii) the Buyer Average Stock Price (as such term is (1)defined in the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of January 24, 2013 by and among the Company, Bank of the Ozarks and FNB, as amended, (the "Merger Agreement")) is the lowest amount permitted in the Merger Agreement.

(2) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee required by Section 6(b) of the Securities Act and calculated pursuant to Rule 457(f)(2) under the Securities Act. The proposed maximum aggregate offering price of the registrant's common stock was calculated based upon the book value of the FNB shares of common stock (the securities to be canceled in the merger) and is equal to the product of (i) \$238.795, calculated according to Rule 457(f)(2) of the Securities Act, multiplied by (ii) 400,000, the maximum number of FNB shares of common stock that may be canceled and exchanged for Company common stock in the merger. Determined in accordance with Section 6(b) of the Securities Act at a rate equal to \$136.40 per \$1,000,000 of the proposed maximum aggregate offering price.

(3)

(4)Previously Paid.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Information in this proxy statement/prospectus is subject to completion or amendment. A registration statement relating to these securities has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These securities may not be sold nor may offers to buy be accepted prior to the time the registration statement becomes effective. This proxy statement/prospectus shall not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of any offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of these securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of any such jurisdiction.

PRELIMINARY – SUBJECT TO COMPLETION – DATED June 4, 2013

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY PROXY STATEMENT FOR SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD , 2013

BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.

PROSPECTUS COMMON STOCK

To the Shareholders of The First National Bank of Shelby:

On January 24, 2013, The First National Bank of Shelby ("FNB") entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. (the "Company") and its subsidiary, Bank of the Ozarks. That agreement was subsequently amended on February 5, 2013 to clarify certain provisions. We refer to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, as amended, as the "merger agreement." If the merger agreement is approved and the merger is subsequently completed, FNB will be merged with and into Bank of the Ozarks. FNB is sending you this document to ask you to vote on a proposal to approve the merger agreement.

The aggregate merger consideration to be paid in the merger, subject to possible adjustments, is \$64,000,000. The aggregate merger consideration will consist of a combination of cash and shares of Company common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, which shares are traded on the NASDAQ Global Stock Market ("Nasdaq Stock Market") under the symbol "OZRK." Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, at least 51% of the aggregate merger consideration will consist of stock consideration. We refer to this requirement as the "minimum stock consideration requirement." When the merger is completed, each holder of a share of FNB common stock will receive merger consideration, subject to possible adjustments, equal to \$160.00 per share of FNB common stock, consisting of either \$160.00 in cash, a number of shares of Company common stock having a value of \$160.00 based on the average closing price of Company common stock for the ten consecutive trading days ending on the fifth business day preceding the closing of the merger, or a combination of shares of Company common stock and cash having a total value of \$160.00.

Assuming that shareholders of FNB elect to receive the minimum amount of stock consideration upon completion of the merger, the Company would pay \$31,360,000 in cash and issue a number of shares of Company common stock having a value of approximately \$32,640,000; however, because the market value of shares of the Company's common stock fluctuates, the actual number of shares of Company common stock issuable in the merger will not be finally determined until the fifth business day prior to the closing of the merger is \$43.18 (which was the 10-day average closing price of Company common stock for the ten consecutive trading days ended on May 21, 2013, the last practicable trading day before the date of this proxy statement/prospectus), and assuming that shareholders of FNB elect to receive the minimum amount of stock consideration, then we anticipate that an aggregate of approximately 755,905 shares of Company common stock would be issued to FNB shareholders upon completion of the merger.

7/24/2021

Document Contents

If the merger is approved, you will be asked to make an election with respect to your form of payment. Notwithstanding the elections made by FNB shareholders, pursuant to the minimum stock consideration requirement in the merger agreement, at least 51% (and up to 100%) of the total merger consideration will be paid in shares of Company common stock and no more than 49% of the total merger consideration will be paid in cash. If the total elections made by FNB shareholders would result in an oversubscription for cash, then the exchange agent will prorate the amount of stock and cash to be issued in the merger as necessary to ensure that 51% of the aggregate merger consideration is paid in the form of shares of Company common stock. In addition, (i) no fractional shares of Company common stock will be issued, and cash will be paid to an FNB shareholder electing to receive Company common stock, in an amount equal to the dollar value of any fractional interest, based on the average closing price of the Company's common stock will be paid entirely in cash. In any of the above cases, you may receive a combination of shares of Company common stock and cash for your FNB shares that is different from the amount you elected, depending on the elections made by other FNB shareholders.

On , 2013, the closing sales price of Company common stock on the Nasdaq Stock Market was \$

The board of directors of FNB has unanimously determined that the merger and the merger agreement are fair and in the best interests of FNB and its shareholders and unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" approval of the merger agreement. The merger cannot be completed unless the merger agreement is approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of the FNB common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting of shareholders, please take the time to vote by completing the enclosed proxy card and mailing it in the enclosed return envelope. If you sign, date and mail your proxy card without indicating how you want to vote, your proxy will be counted as a vote "FOR" approval of the merger agreement. Because the required vote is based on the outstanding shares of FNB, if you do not vote, or if you do not instruct your broker or other nominee how to vote any shares held for you, or if you "ABSTAIN," it will have the same effect as voting "AGAINST" the merger agreement.

If you do not desire to receive the merger consideration and instead wish to exercise dissenters' rights and be paid in cash the appraised fair value of your shares of FNB common stock, you must strictly comply with the requirements of the National Bank Act, particularly 12 U.S.C. §214a and the rules and regulations of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC"), in order to perfect your dissenters' rights under Federal law and receive the fair value of your FNB common stock in cash. Copies of 12 U.S.C. §214a and the relevant regulations of the OCC are included as Appendix C to this proxy statement/prospectus.

The officers and directors of FNB and holders of five percent or more of FNB's common stock have executed voting agreements with the Company committing such persons, only in their capacity as shareholders of FNB, to vote their shares of FNB common stock in favor of the merger agreement and the merger.

This proxy statement/prospectus gives you detailed information about the special meeting of shareholders to be held 2013, the merger agreement and other related matters. You should carefully read this entire document, including the appendices. In particular, you should carefully consider the discussion in the section entitled "<u>Risk Factors</u>" on page 25.

On behalf of the FNB board of directors, I thank you for your prompt attention to this important matter.

/s/ HELEN A. JEFFORDS Helen A. Jeffords President and Chief Executive Officer The First National Bank of Shelby

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the securities to be issued in connection with the merger or determined if this document is accurate or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The securities to be issued in connection with the merger are not savings accounts, deposits or other obligations of any bank or savings association and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency.

This document is dated

, 2013, and is first being mailed to FNB shareholders on or about , 2013.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY 106 SOUTH LAFAYETTE STREET SHELBY, NORTH CAROLINA 28150

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON , 2013

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of the shareholders of The First National Bank of Shelby ("FNB") will be held at 106 South Lafayette Street, Shelby, North Carolina 28150, at 10:00 a.m., eastern time, on , 2013, for the following purposes:

1. To vote upon a proposal to approve the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of January 24, 2013, by and among FNB, Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. (the "Company") and its subsidiary, Bank of the Ozarks, as such agreement may be amended from time to time, pursuant to which, among other things, FNB will be merged with and into Bank of the Ozarks. As a result of the merger, each of the outstanding shares of FNB will be converted into the right to receive shares of Company common stock or cash, or a combination of both stock and cash, as more particularly described elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus.

2. To approve a proposal to grant discretionary authority to the persons named as proxies to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the Agreement and Plan of Merger.

3. To transact any other business that properly comes before the special meeting of shareholders, or any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

The proposed merger is described in more detail in this proxy statement/prospectus, which you should read carefully in its entirety before voting. Only FNB shareholders of record as of the close of business on , 2013 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting of shareholders or any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

A holder of FNB common stock who complies with the provisions of the National Bank Act and the rules and regulations of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") relating to dissenters' rights applicable to the merger is entitled to determination and payment in cash of the "fair value" of their stock under the relevant provisions of the National Bank Act and the rules and regulations of the OCC, copies of which are attached as Appendix C to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Whether you attend the special meeting or not, you may revoke a previously granted proxy at any time before it is voted by submitting to the corporate secretary of FNB a duly executed revocation of proxy bearing a later date or by appearing and voting in person at the special meeting. You may revoke a proxy by any of these methods, regardless of the method used to deliver your previous proxy. Attendance at the special meeting without voting will not itself revoke a proxy.

Your vote is very important. To ensure your representation at the special meeting of shareholders, please complete, execute and promptly mail your proxy card in the return envelope enclosed. This will not prevent you from voting in person, but it will help to secure a quorum and avoid added solicitation costs. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted.

BY ORDER OF THE FNB BOARD OF DIRECTORS

/S/ HELEN A. JEFFORDS Helen A. Jeffords President and Chief Executive Officer

Shelby, North Carolina , 2013

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" APPROVAL OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT, AND "FOR" GRANTING THE PROXIES THE DISCRETION TO ADJOURN THE SPECIAL MEETING TO A LATER DATE IN ORDER TO SOLICIT FURTHER PROXIES IF THERE ARE NOT SUFFICIENT VOTES IN FAVOR OF APPROVAL OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT AT THE TIME OF THE SPECIAL MEETING.

PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD PROMPTLY, WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS.

DO NOT SEND SHARE CERTIFICATES WITH THE PROXY CARD.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This proxy statement/prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. (the "Company") from documents that are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC" or the "Commission") but that are not included in or delivered with this proxy statement/prospectus. You can obtain copies of the Company's documents incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus without charge by requesting them in writing or by telephone from the Company at the following address:

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. 17901 Chenal Parkway Little Rock, Arkansas 72223 Attention: Susan Blair, Investor Relations Telephone: (501) 978-2217

Shareholders of The First National Bank of Shelby requesting copies of the Company's documents from the Company should do so by , 2013 in order to receive them before the special meeting.

You may also obtain these documents at the SEC's website (www.sec.gov) and you may obtain certain of these documents at the Company's website (www.bankozarks.com) by selecting the tab entitled "Investor Relations" and then the tab entitled "Current SEC Filings." Other information contained on the Company's website is expressly not incorporated by reference into this document.

If you have any questions, or need assistance in completing and returning your proxy, you may contact The First National Bank of Shelby at the following address and telephone number:

The First National Bank of Shelby 106 South Lafayette Street Shelby, North Carolina 28150 Attention: Helen A. Jeffords, President and Chief Executive Officer Telephone: (704) 484-6200

See "Where You Can Find More Information" on page 228.

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	Page i
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT VOTING AT THE SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS	1
SUMMARY	6
BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA	14
THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA	15
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	16
<u>COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED)</u>	23
MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION	24
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	25
THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS	44
APPROVAL OF THE MERGER	47
COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS	82
DESCRIPTION OF BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC. CAPITAL STOCK	90
CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.	91
CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY	92
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY	145
CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF FNB COMMON STOCK	225
ADJOURNMENT OF THE SPECIAL MEETING	227
OTHER MATTERS	228
EXPERTS	228
LEGAL MATTERS	228
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	228
SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	229
APPENDIX A AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER	A-1
APPENDIX B FAIRNESS OPINION OF SANDLER O'NEILL & PARTNERS, L.P.	B-1
APPENDIX C EXTRACT FROM NATIONAL BANK ACT AND COMPTROLLER'S LICENSING MANUAL REGARDING DISSENTERS' RIGHTS	C-1

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT VOTING AT THE SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The following are answers to certain questions you may have regarding the special meeting. We urge you to read carefully the remainder of this proxy statement/prospectus, including the appendices, because the information in this section may not provide all the information that might be important to you in determining how to vote.

Q: WHY AM I RECEIVING THIS DOCUMENT?

A: FNB is sending these materials to its shareholders to help them decide how to vote their shares of FNB common stock with respect to the merger and other matters to be considered at the special meeting.

The merger cannot be completed unless FNB shareholders approve the merger agreement. FNB is holding a special meeting of its shareholders to vote on the proposals necessary to complete the merger. Information about this special meeting, the merger and related matters to be considered by shareholders at the special meeting is contained in this proxy statement/prospectus.

This document constitutes a proxy statement of FNB and a prospectus of the Company. It is a proxy statement because the FNB board of directors is soliciting proxies from FNB shareholders using this document. It is a prospectus because the Company, in connection with the merger, is offering shares of its common stock in partial exchange for outstanding shares of FNB in the merger.

Q: WHAT IS THE MERGER?

A: The Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Bank of the Ozarks, have entered into a merger agreement with FNB, pursuant to which FNB will be merged with and into Bank of the Ozarks. A copy of the merger agreement is attached as Appendix A to this proxy statement/prospectus. In order for us to complete the merger we need not only the approval of the shareholders of FNB but the approval of the merger by the banking regulators of each of the Company, Bank of the Ozarks, and FNB.

Q: WHAT WILL I RECEIVE IN EXCHANGE FOR MY FNB SHARES IN THE MERGER?

A: If the merger agreement is approved and the merger is subsequently completed, on the effective date of the merger, FNB shareholders will be entitled to receive aggregate merger consideration, subject to possible adjustments, of \$64,000,000, which will consist of at least 51% in shares of Company common stock (the "minimum stock consideration requirement") and no more than 49% in cash. Assuming that FNB shareholders elect to receive the minimum amount of stock consideration (*i.e.*, 51%), we currently expect that approximately \$32,640,000 of the merger consideration will be in the form of Company common stock, and approximately \$31,360,000 of the merger consideration will be paid in the form of cash. This equates to \$160.00 per share of FNB common stock in merger consideration, subject to possible adjustments, which may be payable in shares of common stock of the Company, cash, or a combination of both stock and cash.

The aggregate merger consideration may be adjusted downward, on a dollar for dollar basis, if FNB's closing consolidated net book value is less than \$96,000,000. FNB's closing consolidated net book value will be calculated as FNB's unaudited consolidated net tangible shareholders' equity determined in accordance with GAAP as of the end of the month prior to the closing of the merger, except that the following amounts will be added back to the closing consolidated net book value before determining whether a purchase price adjustment is required: (i) the amount of any deferred tax asset valuation allowance; (ii) the amount of prepayment penalties or unwind costs on prepayment of any advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB-Atlanta") and certain structured repurchase agreements and derivative transactions, net of any tax benefit recorded on FNB's financial statements in connection with such prepayment penalties or unwind costs; and (iii) the amount of any other accruals, reserves or

provisions, expenses or charges taken or incurred by FNB that the Company and FNB agree are appropriate under the circumstances. As of April 30, 2013, although FNB's unaudited consolidated net tangible shareholders' equity was \$87,424,952, the "added back" items described in (i) and (ii) in the preceding sentence aggregated approximately \$14 million at such date. As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, FNB's consolidated net book value, calculated in accordance with the above formula, continues to exceed \$96,000,000, and if the closing of the merger were to occur on the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, no adjustment to the purchase price would be made based on this calculation.

Q: ARE THERE ANY OTHER ADJUSTMENTS THAT COULD AFFECT WHAT I WILL RECEIVE IN THE MERGER?

A: Apart from the adjustments summarized in the preceding paragraph, the value of the aggregate merger consideration could also be higher or lower than \$64,000,000, depending on whether the average closing stock price of the Company common stock to be used in determining the exchange ratio is higher than \$44.20 per share, in which case FNB shareholders receiving Company stock as part or all of the merger consideration would receive more shares than they otherwise would (without an offsetting decrease in any cash consideration they may receive in the merger) if there were no cap on the average closing stock price used in determining the exchange ratio. Conversely, if the average closing price of the Company common stock is lower than \$27.00 per share, FNB shareholders receiving Company stock as part or all of the merger consideration would receive fewer shares than they otherwise would (without any offsetting increase in any cash consideration they may receive in the merger) if there were no floor on the average closing price used in determining the exchange ratio.

Q: CAN I ELECT THE TYPE OF CONSIDERATION I WILL RECEIVE IN THE MERGER?

A: Yes, subject to the minimum stock consideration requirement and the proration and adjustment procedures described in this document on pages 48 and 49, you may elect to receive all shares of Company common stock, all cash, or a combination of Company common stock and cash, in exchange for your shares of FNB common stock.

Q: IF I ELECT TO RECEIVE COMPANY COMMON STOCK IN THE MERGER, HOW MANY SHARES WILL I RECEIVE?

A: Subject to the minimum stock consideration requirement and the proration and adjustment procedures described in this document on pages 48 and 49, and subject to the purchase price adjustments set forth in the merger agreement and described in this document on page 48, if you elect to receive Company common stock for all or a portion of your FNB common stock, you would receive for each share of your FNB common stock as to which you make such an election, Company common stock worth \$160.00, based on the average closing price of Company common stock during the period of ten consecutive "trading days" (days on which the Nasdaq Stock Market is open for trading activities) ending on the fifth business day prior to the date the merger is effective. When we refer to the "average closing price" in this proxy statement/prospectus, we mean this ten consecutive trading day average of the Company common stock's closing sale price.

You will not receive any fractional shares in the merger. Instead, you will receive a cash payment, without interest, for the value of any fraction of a share of Company common stock that you would otherwise be entitled to receive, based on the average closing price.

For example, assuming: (i) a 10-day average closing price of a share of Company common stock of \$43.18, (ii) no proration is required to meet the minimum stock consideration requirement, and (iii) no purchase

price adjustments are required or made, a FNB shareholder who owns ten shares of FNB common stock and who elects to receive Company common stock in exchange for all ten shares of FNB common stock would receive approximately \$1,600 worth of merger consideration equal to 37.054 shares of Company common stock, payable in 37 whole shares, plus \$2.34 in cash in lieu of a fractional 054/1000ths of a share of Company common stock.

Q: WILL I RECEIVE THE FORM OF CONSIDERATION I ELECT TO RECEIVE?

It is possible that you will not receive the exact form of consideration that you elect in the merger. Whether you will be entitled to A: receive cash or Company common stock in exchange for your FNB shares will be initially determined based on your election. Notwithstanding the particular election you make, the total consideration to be paid by the Company will be at least 51% in shares of Company common stock and no more than 49% in cash. If the elections made by all FNB shareholders considered in the aggregate total at least 51% of the total merger consideration being paid in Company common stock, then you would receive the form of consideration you elected to receive, subject to payment of cash in lieu of any fractional shares of Company common stock you elect to receive, and further subject to payment of cash in lieu of stock consideration if your election would otherwise result in the delivery to you of less than ten (10) whole shares of Company common stock. On the other hand, if the elections made by all FNB shareholders would result in an oversubscription for cash (*i.e.*, more than 49% of the total merger consideration), then the exchange agent will prorate the amount of stock and cash to be issued in the merger in order to meet the minimum stock consideration requirement (*i.e.*, at least 51% of the total merger consideration). In that case, you may receive a combination of cash and shares of Company common stock for each of your FNB shares that is different from the amount you elected, depending on the elections made by other FNB shareholders. The allocation of the mix of consideration payable to each FNB shareholder will not be finally determined until the exchange agent, Bank of the Ozarks Trust and Wealth Management Division, tallies the results of the stock and cash elections made by FNB shareholders, which will not occur until near the time of or promptly following the closing of the merger.

Q: HOW DO I ELECT THE FORM OF CONSIDERATION I PREFER TO RECEIVE?

A: After the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus, an election form and letter of transmittal will be mailed or otherwise delivered to you. The election form and letter of transmittal will allow you to elect the number of your shares of FNB common stock that will be converted into Company common stock and the number of your shares of FNB common stock that will be exchanged for cash. In order to make a proper election, you must complete the election form and letter of transmittal and return it along with your FNB stock certificate(s) to the exchange agent by the specified date and time deadline.

Q: WHAT HAPPENS IF I DO NOT MAKE A VALID ELECTION UNDER THE ELECTION FORM?

A: If you do not return a properly completed election form by the deadline specified in the election form, your shares of FNB common stock will be considered "non-election shares" and will be converted into the right to receive the stock consideration or cash consideration in accordance with the proration procedures specified in the merger agreement. All elections will be subject to the proration provisions of the merger agreement, which will ensure that the aggregate stock consideration will constitute at least 51% of the total merger consideration and the aggregate cash consideration will not exceed 49% of the total merger consideration.

Q: WILL I BE ENTITLED TO APPRAISAL RIGHTS?

A: Yes. If you dissent from the merger transaction, you may exercise appraisal rights in connection with the merger. Your rights of appraisal are governed by the National Bank Act. To exercise rights of appraisal, you must precisely follow the procedures set forth in Section 214a of the National Bank Act and the

Comptroller's Licensing Manual. These procedures are described in this proxy statement/prospectus under the heading "APPROVAL OF THE MERGER – Dissenters' Appraisal Rights." The text of section 214a of the National Bank Act and an excerpt of the relevant portions of the Comptroller's Licensing Manual are included as Appendix C to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: WHAT DO I NEED TO DO NOW?

A: After you have carefully read this document, including the information incorporated into this document by reference, indicate on your proxy card how you want your shares to be voted. Then date, sign and mail your proxy card in the enclosed prepaid return envelope as soon as possible. This will enable your shares to be represented and voted at the special meeting whether or not you attend. You may still attend the special meeting and vote in person even after you return the proxy card.

Q: WHY IS MY VOTE IMPORTANT?

- A: The merger agreement must be approved by the holders of at least two-thirds of the shares of FNB common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting. Because the required vote on the merger agreement is based on the shares outstanding, a failure to vote or an "ABSTAIN" will have the same effect as a vote "AGAINST" the merger agreement.
- Q: IF MY BROKER HOLDS MY SHARES IN "STREET NAME" WILL MY BROKER AUTOMATICALLY VOTE MY SHARES FOR ME?
- A: No. Your broker will not be able to vote your shares on the merger agreement without instructions from you. You should instruct your broker to vote your shares, following the directions your broker provides. If you do not instruct your broker how to vote your shares held in "street name," it will have the same effect as voting "AGAINST" the merger agreement.

Q: WHAT IF I FAIL TO INSTRUCT MY BROKER TO VOTE MY SHARES?

A: If you fail to instruct your broker to vote your shares with respect to the merger agreement, the broker may submit an unvoted proxy (a broker "non-vote") as to your shares. Broker non-votes will count toward a quorum at the special meeting. However, broker non-votes will not count as a vote with respect to the merger agreement, and therefore will have the same effect as a vote "AGAINST" the merger agreement.

Q: WILL I BE ABLE TO SELL THE SHARES OF COMPANY COMMON STOCK THAT I RECEIVE IN THE MERGER?

A: Yes, in most cases. The shares of Company common stock to be issued in the merger will be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market. However, if there are any former shareholders of FNB who will be deemed to be "affiliates" of the Company under the Securities Act after the merger (generally, directors and executive officers of the Company and shareholders holding 10% or more of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company), such persons must abide by certain transfer restrictions under the Securities Act.

Q: CAN I ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING AND VOTE MY SHARES IN PERSON?

A: Yes. All shareholders of FNB are invited to attend the special meeting. Shareholders of record can vote in person at the special meeting whether or not they have previously executed a proxy card. If a broker holds your shares in street name, then you are not the shareholder of record, and you must ask your broker how you can vote your shares at the special meeting.

Q: CAN I CHANGE MY VOTE?

- A: Yes. If you do not own your shares in street name, you can change your vote after you have sent in your proxy card by:
 - providing written notice to the Corporate Secretary of FNB; and
 - submitting a new proxy card (any earlier proxy will be revoked automatically); or
 - attending the special meeting and voting in person (any earlier proxy will be revoked by your vote in person). However, simply attending the special meeting without voting will not revoke your proxy.

If you have instructed a broker or other nominee to vote your shares, you must follow your nominee's directions to change your vote.

Q: SHOULD I SEND IN MY STOCK CERTIFICATES NOW?

A: No, please do not send your stock certificates with your proxy card. Instructions will be sent to you later for surrendering your FNB stock certificates in exchange for the merger consideration.

Q: WHAT IF I HAVE LOST OR CANNOT LOCATE MY STOCK CERTIFICATES?

A: After the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus, you will receive an election form and letter of transmittal from the exchange agent regarding the conversion of your FNB shares into the merger consideration. If you have your FNB certificates, please follow the instructions in the election form and letter of transmittal for delivery of the certificates with your completed form to the exchange agent. If you cannot locate your FNB stock certificates and believe them to be lost, stolen or destroyed, please follow the instructions in the form dealing with lost, stolen or destroyed certificates. You will then be provided with an Affidavit of Lost Stock Certificate(s) to complete and return to FNB, or if you provide such Affidavit after the merger occurs, to the exchange agent. Depending on the circumstances, the exchange agent will be entitled to require you to provide a surety bond to protect FNB, the exchange agent and the Company in the event the subject certificates are later presented to the exchange agent or the Company for conversion into the merger consideration.

Q: WHEN DO YOU EXPECT THE MERGER TO BE COMPLETED?

A: The Company and FNB currently expect to complete the merger in the third quarter of 2013, assuming all of the conditions to completion of the merger have been satisfied.

Q: WHOM SHOULD I CALL WITH QUESTIONS?

A: You should direct any questions regarding the special meeting of shareholders or the merger to Helen A. Jeffords, President and Chief Executive Officer, The First National Bank of Shelby at (704) 484-6200.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY PROXY STATEMENT FOR SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information included in this document and does not contain all of the information that may be important to you. You should read this entire document and its appendices and the other documents to which this document refers before you decide how to vote with respect to the merger agreement. In addition, this document incorporates by reference important business and financial information about Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. For a description of this information, see "Where You Can Find More Information," on page 228. You may obtain the information incorporated by reference into this document without charge by following the instructions in that section. Each item in this summary includes a page reference directing you to a more complete description of that item.

Unless the context otherwise requires, throughout this proxy statement/prospectus, the "Company" refers to Bank of the Ozarks, Inc., "FNB" refers to The First National Bank of Shelby and "we," "us," and "our" refer collectively to the Company and FNB. Also, we refer to the proposed merger of FNB with and into Bank of the Ozarks as the "merger," and the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated January 24, 2013, and amended February 5, 2013, by and among the Company, Bank of the Ozarks, and FNB as the "merger agreement."

The Merger

The terms and conditions of the merger by which FNB will merge with and into Bank of the Ozarks are contained in the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this document as Appendix A. We encourage you to read that agreement carefully.

Parties to the Merger

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. (page 47) Bank of the Ozarks

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc., an Arkansas corporation, is the parent bank holding company for Bank of the Ozarks, an Arkansas state banking corporation. As of March 31, 2013, Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. had consolidated total assets of approximately \$3.95 billion, total deposits of approximately \$2.99 billion and total common stockholders' equity of approximately \$524 million.

The principal executive office of Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. is located at 17901 Chenal Parkway, Little Rock, Arkansas 72223, and the telephone number is (501) 978-2265.

The First National Bank of Shelby (page 47)

The First National Bank of Shelby is a national banking association headquartered in Shelby, North Carolina. As of March 31, 2013, FNB had consolidated total assets of approximately \$716 million, total deposits of approximately \$608 million and total common stockholders' equity of approximately \$85.8 million.

FNB's principal executive office is located at 106 South Lafayette Street, Shelby, North Carolina 28150, and the telephone number is (704) 484-6200.

What FNB Shareholders will receive in the Merger (page 47)

The aggregate purchase price for the merger, which we also refer to as the aggregate or total "merger consideration," is \$64,000,000, subject to possible price adjustments as provided in the merger agreement. You should read "Purchase Price Adjustments" on page 48 of this proxy statement/prospectus for a more complete

description of the possible price adjustments to the aggregate purchase price. The merger agreement provides that each share of FNB common stock (other than treasury shares, shares owned by the Company or by any person who has perfected dissenters' rights with respect to shares of FNB common stock) will be converted on the closing date of the merger into the right to receive the merger consideration. The merger consideration, for each share of FNB common stock, is equal to:

- a number of shares of Company common stock equal to (i) \$160.00, subject to certain adjustments, divided by (ii) the average closing price of Company common stock for the ten consecutive trading days ending on the fifth business day preceding the closing date of the merger, plus cash in lieu of any fractional share; or
- cash in an amount equal to \$160.00, subject to certain adjustments.

Subject to the proration procedures described below, as a holder of FNB common stock, for each share of FNB common stock that you own, you may elect to receive the stock consideration described above or the cash consideration described above. You will not receive any fractional shares of Company common stock in connection with the merger. Instead, you will be paid cash in an amount equal to the fraction of a share of Company common stock otherwise issuable upon conversion, multiplied by the average closing price per share of Company common stock, determined as indicated above. Additionally, if you wholly or partially elect to receive stock consideration and your election would result in the delivery of less than ten (10) whole shares of Company common stock, then in accordance with the merger agreement, you will not receive any stock consideration and will instead receive cash consideration in exchange for all of your shares of FNB common stock.

After the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus, an election form and letter of transmittal will be mailed or otherwise delivered to you by the exchange agent. The election form and letter of transmittal will allow you to elect the number of your shares of FNB common stock that will be exchanged for Company common stock and the number of your shares of FNB common stock that will be exchanged for cash. In order to make a proper election, you must complete the election form and letter of transmittal and return it, along with your certificate of FNB common stock, to the exchange agent by the date indicated in the election form. Failure to properly complete or timely return the election form and letter of transmittal will result in your shares of FNB common stock being deemed non-election shares, with the effect that the exchange agent will allocate the mix of Company common stock and cash constituting the merger consideration to you in accordance with the allocation procedures in the merger agreement.

Whether you will be entitled to receive cash or Company common stock in exchange for each of your FNB shares will be determined initially based on your election. Notwithstanding the election you make, however, pursuant to the minimum stock consideration requirement in the merger agreement the total consideration to be paid by the Company to all FNB shareholders, considered in the aggregate, must consist of at least 51% in shares of Company common stock and no more than 49% in cash. If the elections made by all FNB shareholders considered in the aggregate would result in at least 51% of the total merger consideration being paid in Company common stock, then you would receive the exact form of consideration you elect to receive. On the other hand, if the elections made by all FNB shareholders would result in an oversubscription for cash, then the exchange agent will prorate the amount of stock and cash to be issued in the merger to each FNB shareholder as necessary to meet the minimum stock consideration requirement. In that case, you may receive a combination of shares of Company common stock and cash for your FNB shareholders will not be finally determined until the exchange agent, Bank of the Ozarks Trust and Wealth Management Division, tallies the results of the stock and cash lections made by FNB shareholders, which will not occur until near the time of or promptly following the closing of the merger.

Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger (page 77)

The Company and FNB will not be required to complete the merger unless the Company and FNB have each received a legal opinion to the effect that the merger will qualify as a tax-free reorganization for United States federal income tax purposes. The opinions will not bind the Internal Revenue Service, which could take a different view.

We expect that, for United States federal income tax purposes, you generally will not recognize any gain or loss with respect to the exchange of your shares of FNB common stock for the stock consideration in the merger. You will, however, have to recognize gain in connection with any cash consideration received in the merger and any cash received in lieu of a fractional share interest in Company common stock.

You should read "Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger" starting on page 77 for a more complete discussion of the federal income tax consequences of the merger. Tax matters can be complicated and the tax consequences of the merger to you will depend on your particular tax situation. You should consult your tax advisor to fully understand the tax consequences of the merger to you.

FNB's Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends Shareholder Approval of the Merger Agreement (page 55)

After careful consideration, the board of directors of FNB unanimously approved the merger agreement. The board of directors of FNB believes that the merger and the merger agreement are fair to and in the best interests of FNB and its shareholders, and unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" approval of the merger agreement.

The board of directors of FNB recognizes that the merger consideration is approximately one-third less than the current tangible book value per share of FNB common stock. However, the board determined that the proposed merger with the Company is nevertheless in the best interests of FNB's shareholders because, among other things, the merger consideration is approximately two and a half times the recent average trading price of FNB's common stock. Further, in light of FNB's current earnings per share and its projected earnings per share for the next several years as a stand-alone entity, FNB anticipates ongoing challenges to an improved earnings stream until nonperforming loans are either remediated or effectively mitigated by profitable loan growth in FNB's current markets or through expansion into new markets. The current economy creates an intensely competitive banking environment and the board expects minimal improvement in the economy and in FNB's current markets for the foreseeable future. Consequently, the potential for FNB to prosper as a stand-alone entity and to contend with stronger banks, as competitors consolidate, is diminished. In the short term, to restore FNB to a satisfactory level of profitability and reinstate dividends to shareholders, the board believes the bank's infrastructure could be downsized to reduce expenses, but this option potentially jeopardizes FNB's long-term viability to thrive and succeed. The board compared the prospects of FNB as a stand-alone entity with the value that FNB shareholders would receive if they elected to take shares of the Company's common stock and partner with a larger, high-performing financial institution with a compatible corporate culture, and the board concluded that the consideration offered in connection with the merger better maximizes the long-term value of shareholders' investment and is in the best interests of FNB's shareholders.

Opinion of FNB's Financial Advisor (page 59 and Appendix B)

In connection with the merger, the board of directors of FNB received the written opinion of Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. (which we refer to as "Sandler O'Neill"), the financial advisor to FNB, as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the consideration to be received in the merger by holders of FNB common stock. The full text of the opinion of Sandler O'Neill dated January 24, 2013, is included in this document as Appendix B. FNB encourages you to read this opinion carefully in its entirety for a description of the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and limitations of the review undertaken by Sandler O'Neill. The opinion of Sandler O'Neill is directed to the board of directors of FNB and does not constitute a recommendation to you or any other shareholder as to how to vote with respect to the merger

agreement or any other matter relating to the proposed transaction. Sandler O'Neill will receive a fee of 1.5% of the aggregate merger consideration for its services, including rendering the fairness opinion, in connection with the merger, a significant portion of which is contingent upon consummation of the merger.

Special Meeting of Shareholders of FNB (page 44)

FNB will hold a special meeting of its shareholders on , 2013, at 10:00 a.m., eastern time, at 106 South Lafayette Street, Shelby, North Carolina 28150. At the special meeting of shareholders, you will be asked to vote to approve the merger agreement.

You may vote at the special meeting of shareholders if you owned shares of FNB common stock at the close of business on the record date, , 2013. On that date, there were 400,000 shares of FNB common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting of shareholders. You may cast one vote for each share of FNB common stock you owned on the record date.

Even if you expect to attend the special meeting of shareholders, FNB recommends that you promptly complete and return your proxy card in the enclosed return envelope.

Shareholder Vote Required (page 45)

Approval of the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of the holders of two-thirds of the shares of FNB common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting. Because the required vote is based upon the outstanding shares of FNB common stock, a failure to vote or a vote to "ABSTAIN" will have the same effect as a vote against the merger. As of the record date, the directors, officers, and other affiliates of FNB beneficially owned an aggregate of 180,640 shares of FNB common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting of shareholders. This represents approximately 45.16% of the total votes entitled to be cast at the special meeting of shareholders. Of this number, certain directors, officers and other affiliates of FNB, collectively representing an aggregate of 175,140 shares, or approximately 43.79% of the outstanding FNB common stock, have agreed, solely in their capacity as record and/or beneficial owners of FNB common stock, to vote "FOR" adoption of the merger agreement. See "Conflicts of Interest," below.

Approval of any proposal to adjourn or postpone the special meeting, if necessary, for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies, requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares of FNB common stock that are voted, either in person or by proxy, at the special meeting.

Conflicts of Interest (page 45)

An aggregate of 169,634 shares, or approximately 42.41% of the outstanding FNB common stock, is owned of record by FNB in its capacity as (i) trustee of a number of family or private trusts established by the settlors of such trusts over a number of years for the benefit of certain FNB shareholders, and maintained by FNB as trustee in the ordinary course of business or (ii) executor of various estates that beneficially own shares of FNB common stock. Under North Carolina law, by which law most of the trusts and estates are governed, FNB may be deemed to have a conflict of interest with respect to the voting of shares of FNB common stock held by such trusts and estates with regard to the merger, and action taken by FNB in voting such shares of FNB common stock may be voidable at the instance of a beneficiary of any such trusts or estates unless certain specified conditions are met. FNB has taken and expects to take action to satisfy such conditions by obtaining the requisite written direction or, where appropriate, permission from certain beneficiaries or settlors of the trusts who are directors, officers or other affiliates of FNB, to vote the FNB shares at the meeting in accordance with voting agreements executed by such persons, or where permitted by the terms of the trusts, to authorize such beneficiaries to vote the shares on behalf of the trusts at the meeting. As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, the requisite directions or permissions have been obtained with respect to shares held by such trusts, or approximately % of the outstanding shares of FNB

common stock. Although FNB expects to seek direction, permission or other requisite authority with respect to all or substantially all of the remaining shares of FNB common stock held by it as trustee or executor prior to the shareholders' meeting, there can be no assurance that such consents or authority will be obtained with respect to each such trust or estate prior to the shareholders' meeting, in which case FNB plans to abstain from voting FNB shares at the shareholders' meeting held under any such trust or estate.

Dissenters' Rights of Appraisal (page 81 and Appendix C)

If you are a FNB shareholder and you follow the procedures prescribed by the National Bank Act and the OCC, you may dissent from the merger and receive the fair value of your shares of FNB common stock as determined pursuant to those procedures. To perfect your dissenters' rights, you must precisely follow the procedures specified in the National Bank Act at 12 U.S.C. § 214a and the Comptroller's Licensing Manual, which are summarized herein and the relevant portions of which have been excerpted and included as Appendix C to this proxy statement/prospectus.

In order to receive payment as a dissenting shareholder, you must (i) either vote against the merger or, at or prior to the FNB shareholder meeting, provide written notice to FNB of your dissent to the merger; and (ii) within thirty (30) days of the consummation of the merger, make a written demand for payment of the fair value of your shares from Bank of the Ozarks. Your failure to vote against, or provide notice of dissent to, the merger and to make a written demand for payment of fair value within the thirty (30) days following consummation of the merger will result in you being bound by the terms of the merger, and your shares of FNB common stock will be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration.

The value of dissenting shares will be determined, as of the date of the meeting at which shareholders of FNB approve the merger, by a committee of three appraisers, one selected by the holders of a majority of the dissenting shares, one selected by the Company and the third selected by the other two appraisers. If you are a dissenting shareholder and the value determined is unsatisfactory to you, you may appeal to the OCC, within five (5) days of being notified of the value set by the appraisers, for a reappraisal, which shall be final and binding. If no appraisal is made within ninety (90) days of the consummation of the merger, the OCC shall, upon the written request of any interested party, make a final and binding appraisal.

If you comply with the dissenters' rights requirements, the fair value of your FNB shares, determined in the manner described above, and which may be more or less than the value of the merger consideration you would receive in the merger if you do not dissent, will be paid to you in cash. This cash payment will be fully taxable to you.

Interests of FNB Officers and Directors in the Merger (page 69)

In considering the recommendation of the board of directors of FNB to approve the merger, you should be aware that certain of the executive officers and directors of FNB have financial interests in the merger that are in addition to their interests as FNB shareholders. As a condition to the closing of the merger, Helen A. Jeffords, President and Chief Executive Officer of FNB, will enter into an employment agreement with Bank of the Ozarks (the "Jeffords Employment Agreement"). Pursuant to the Jeffords Employment Agreement, Ms. Jeffords will continue her employment with Bank of the Ozarks as an executive officer of its Shelby Division, for a two-year term with an annual base salary of \$285,000, which is equal to her current base salary, and she will be eligible to participate in all Bank of the Ozarks insurance and benefit plans. In addition, Ms. Jeffords will receive reimbursement of business expenses, including travel, cellular phone, dues for one country club membership, a car allowance of \$500 per month, taxes owed under FNB's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, and reasonable marketing and client development expenses.

As a condition to the closing of the merger, all of the directors of FNB will enter into non-competition agreements with Bank of the Ozarks (the "Non-Competition Agreements"). Pursuant to the Non-Competition

Agreements, in exchange for a lump sum payment of \$10,000, each of the directors of FNB will agree for the twelve (12) month period following the closing of the merger not to (i) disclose any confidential information pertaining to the business or operations of FNB, (ii) solicit any employee of FNB or the Company for employment, or (iii) engage in business that competes with the Company within a fifteen (15) mile radius of any banking office operated by FNB on the date of the closing of the merger.

As a condition to the closing of the merger, Helen A. Jeffords, Carol A. Wood, Thomas L. Weaver, Eric E. McIntire, and Lisa P. Alvino, all officers of FNB, will enter into retention agreements with Bank of the Ozarks (the "Retention Agreements"). Pursuant to the Retention Agreements, Bank of the Ozarks will pay each of the officers a retention bonus to induce such officers to maintain continuous full-time employment with Bank of the Ozarks after the closing and to assist in Bank of the Ozarks' integration of FNB's computer, information and telecommunications systems. The amount of the retention bonuses will equal each officer's current annual salary, as follows:

Helen A. Jeffords	\$285,000
Carol A. Wood	\$ 95,000
Thomas L. Weaver	\$ 95,000
Eric E. McIntire	\$170,000
Lisa P. Alvino	\$ 82,500

The retention bonuses will be payable in two equal installments, the first of which will be paid upon the closing of the merger, and the second of which will be paid upon the earlier of thirty (30) days following completion of the conversion and integration of the computer, information and telecommunications systems or seven (7) months after the closing of the merger, provided that the individual remains employed by Bank of the Ozarks at that time. The forms of the Jeffords Employment Agreement, the Non-Competition Agreements and the Retention Agreements are included as Exhibits A, B, and C, respectively, to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, which is included as Appendix A to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Additionally, officers and directors of FNB currently are covered by liability insurance for certain acts and omissions in their capacity as officers and/or directors of FNB. This insurance coverage will be continued by the Company for a period of time after the merger for acts and omissions of such persons in their capacity as officers and/or directors of FNB occurring before the merger.

Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger (page 74)

To complete the merger, the parties must receive the prior approvals of the Federal Reserve Board ("FRB") (unless waived), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Arkansas State Bank Department. The U.S. Department of Justice is also able to provide input into the approval process of federal banking agencies and will have between fifteen (15) and thirty (30) days following any approval of a federal banking agency to challenge the approval on antitrust grounds. Applications for such banking agency approvals were filed on behalf of the parties with the FDIC and the Arkansas State Bank Department on February 26, 2013, and a waiver of the requirement for approval by the FRB was submitted on March 19, 2013. The applications for approval of the merger were approved by the FDIC on April 9, 2013 and by the Arkansas State Bank Department on April 18, 2013. The requirement to submit an application to the FRB was waived on March 29, 2013.

Conditions to the Merger (page 73)

Completion of the merger depends on a number of conditions being satisfied or waived, including the following:

• Holders of a two-thirds majority of the outstanding shares of common stock of FNB must have approved the merger agreement and the merger;

- all regulatory approvals and consents must have been obtained, any necessary approvals shall not contain a material adverse non-standard term or condition, and all waiting periods required by law must have expired or been terminated; and
- certain other conditions customary for agreements of this sort, such as the accuracy of representations and warranties subject to the materiality standards set forth in the merger agreement, the compliance in all material respects by the parties with their obligations under the merger agreement, and the non-existence of a material adverse effect (as such term is defined in the merger agreement).

We cannot be certain when, or if, the conditions to the merger will be satisfied or waived or whether or not the merger will be completed.

No Solicitation (page 75)

FNB has agreed, subject to certain limited exceptions, not to initiate discussions with another party regarding a business combination with such other party while the merger with the Company is pending.

Termination of the Merger Agreement (page 76)

The Company and FNB may mutually agree at any time to terminate the merger agreement without completing the merger, even if the FNB shareholders have approved it. Also, either party may decide, without the consent of the other party, to terminate the merger agreement before closing under specified circumstances, including if the merger is not consummated by August 31, 2013, if the required regulatory approvals are not received or if the other party breaches its representations, warranties or covenants in the merger agreement in a material respect and such breach cannot be or has not been cured within the applicable cure period.

Termination Fee (page 77)

If the merger is terminated by the Company after FNB has breached its non-solicitation covenant, or the board of directors of FNB has withdrawn its recommendation to approve the merger or has recommended for approval a different business combination, based on an acquisition proposal by a third party that the FNB directors have determined to be a superior proposal, FNB will be required to pay a termination fee to the Company equal to 4% of the total purchase price calculated in accordance with the merger agreement.

Additionally, if the merger is terminated by the Company due to a material uncured breach by FNB of its representations, warranties or covenants under the merger agreement other than the non-solicitation covenant described in the immediately preceding paragraph, FNB will be required to pay to the Company \$500,000 as liquidated damages.

FNB agreed to the termination fee and liquidated damages arrangements in order to induce the Company to enter into the merger agreement. The termination fee requirement may discourage other companies from trying or proposing to combine with FNB before the merger is completed.

Real Estate Purchase Agreement (page 77)

Four of the bank branches operated by FNB are owned or controlled by affiliates of FNB and leased to FNB. In connection with the merger, Bank of the Ozarks has agreed to purchase the bank branches from those affiliated entities for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,792,000, which purchase price is in addition to the total merger consideration. It is presently anticipated that the closing of the real estate purchase will occur contemporaneously with the closing of the merger.

Differences in Rights of Shareholders (page 82)

The rights of FNB shareholders after the merger who continue as shareholders of the Company will be governed by Arkansas law. After the merger is completed, the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the Company, rather than the articles of association and bylaws of FNB, will govern your rights as a shareholder. Material differences between the rights of shareholders of FNB and shareholders of the Company include the process for amending charter documents, determining the size of the board of directors, the process for removing directors, limitations of director liability, indemnification of officers, directors and employees, the ability of a shareholder(s) to call a special meeting of shareholders or act by written consent, shareholder proposal and advance notice requirements, rights to examine corporate books and records, and limitations on the right to receive dividends. The different shareholder rights are explained more fully in "Comparison of Shareholders' Rights" on page 82.

1	3
T	5

BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected consolidated financial information and other financial data for the Company. The data for the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2012 has been derived from the audited financial statements of the Company. Operating results for any historical period are not necessarily indicative of the results that might be expected for the full year of 2013 or any other future period.

	Unau Three Mon Marc	ths Ended		Year H	Ended Decemb	er 31,	
	2013	2012	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
		(E	Oollars in thousa	nds, except per	share amounts)		
Income statement data:	* 10 7 (0	• •• •• ••	• 105.044	¢ 100.170	* 155.053	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	¢ 102.002
Interest income	\$ 48,769	\$ 49,943	\$ 195,946	\$ 199,169	\$ 157,972	\$ 165,908	\$ 183,003
Interest expense	4,630	6,110	21,600	30,435	34,337	47,585	84,302
Net interest income	44,139	43,833	174,346	168,734	123,635	118,323	98,701
Provision for loan and lease losses	2,728	3,076	11,745	11,775	16,000	44,800	19,025
Non-interest income	16,357	13,810	62,860	117,083	70,322	51,051	19,349
Non-interest expense	29,231	28,607	114,462	122,531	87,419	68,632	54,398
Preferred stock dividends	20 000	18 000	77,044		64,001	6,276	227
Net income available to common stockholders	20,000	18,009	//,044	101,321	64,001	36,826	34,474
Common share and per common share data: (1)	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.52	¢ 2.21	\$ 2.94	¢ 100	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.02
Earnings – diluted Book value	\$ 0.56 14.81	\$ 0.52 12.81	\$ 2.21 14.39	\$ 2.94 12.32	\$ 1.88 9.39	\$ 1.09 7.96	\$ 1.02 7.48
Dividends	0.15	0.11	0.50	0.37	0.30	0.26	0.25
	35.631	34,826	34.888	34,482	34.090	33.800	33,748
Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding (thousands) End of period shares outstanding (thousands)	35,367	34,820	34,888	-) -	34,090)	33,748
	33,307	34,371	33,272	34,464	54,107	33,810	33,728
Balance sheet data at period end: Total assets	\$3,951,818	\$3,837,382	\$4,040,207	\$3,841,651	\$3,273,271	\$2,770,811	\$3,233,303
Loans and leases	2.157.771	\$3,837,382 1,889,756	2,115.834	1,880,483	1,851,113	\$2,770,811 1,904,104	\$3,233,303 2,021,199
Purchased non-covered loans	2,137,771 38,071	3,400	41,534	1,880,485			2,021,199
Covered loans	544,268	755,761	596,239	4,799	5,316	—	_
	,	38,632		39,169	489,468	20 (10	20 512
Allowance for loan and lease losses FDIC loss share receivable	38,422 132,699	239,724	38,738 152,198	279,045	40,230 158,137	39,619	29,512
Covered foreclosed assets	51,040	71,950	52,951	279,043	31.145	_	_
Investment securities	487,648	434,197	494,266	438,910	398,698	506,678	944,783
	2,991,072	2,927,062	3,101,055	2,943,910	2,540,753	2,028,994	2,341,414
Deposits Repurchase agreements with customers	, ,	43,686	29,550	2,943,919 32,810	43,324	2,028,994 44,269	
Other borrowings	30,714 280,756	280,786	29,330 280,763	32,810	282,139		46,864 424,947
Subordinated debentures	280,736 64,950	280,780 64,950	64,950	64,950	64,950	342,553 64,950	424,947 64,950
Preferred stock, net of unamortized discount	04,950	04,950	04,950	04,950	04,950	04,950	71,880
Total common stockholders' equity	523,679	442,646	507,664	424,551	320,355	269,028	252,302
Loan and lease, including covered loans and purchased non-	525,079	442,040	307,004	424,551	520,555	209,028	232,502
covered loans, to deposit ratio	91.61%	90.50%	88.80%	91.45%	92.33%	93.84%	86.32%
Average balance sheet data:	J1.0170	70.5070	00.0070	J1. 4 570	12.3370	JJ.0 4 70	00.5270
Total average assets	\$3,929,638	\$3,801,610	\$3,779,831	\$3,755,291	\$2,998,850	\$3,002,121	\$3,017,707
Total average common stockholders' equity	514,378	432,536	458.595	374.664	296.035	267.768	213,271
Average common equity to average assets	13.09%	11.38%	12.13%	9.98%	9.87%	8.92%	7.07%
Performance ratios:	15.0770	11.3870	12.1370	9.9870	2.0770	0.7270	7.0770
Return on average assets*	2.06%	1.91%	2.04%	2.70%	2.13%	1.23%	1.14%
Return on average common stockholders' equity*	15.77	16.75	16.80	27.04	21.62	13.75	16.16
Net interest margin – FTE*	5.83	5.98	5.91	5.84	5.18	4.80	3.96
Efficiency ratio	46.76	47.73	46.58	41.56	42.86	37.84	42.32
Common stock dividend payout ratio*	26.46	21.05	22.44	12.50	15.89	23.84	24.42
Asset quality ratios:	20.10	21.05	22.11	12.50	15.05	25.01	21.12
Net charge-offs to average loans and leases* (2)	0.19%	0.44%	0.30%	0.69%	0.81%	1.75%	0.45%
Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases (3)	0.40	0.60	0.43	0.70	0.75	1.24	0.76
Nonperforming assets to total assets (3)	0.50	0.76	0.57	1.17	1.72	3.06	0.81
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of:	0.50	0.70	0.07	1.17	1.72	5.00	0.01
Total loans and leases (3)	1.78%	2.04%	1.83%	2.08%	2.17%	2.08%	1.46%
Nonperforming loans and leases (3)	449%	339%	425%	297%	289%		192%
Capital ratios at period end:	11970	55770	12070	27770	20970	10070	1,2/0
Tier 1 leverage	14.45%	12.75%	14.40%	12.06%	11.88%	11.39%	11.64%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	18.23	18.54	18.11	17.67	16.13	13.78	14.21
Total risk-based capital	19.47	19.79	19.36	18.93	17.39	15.03	15.36
1							

(1) Adjusted to give effect to 2-for-1 stock split effective August 16, 2011.

(2) Excludes covered loans and net charge-offs related to such loans.

(3) Excludes purchased non-covered loans, covered loans and covered foreclosed assets, except for their inclusion in total assets.

* Amounts for interim periods are annualized.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected consolidated financial information and other financial data for FNB. The data for the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2012 has been derived from the audited financial statements of FNB. Operating results for any historical period are not necessarily indicative of the results that might be expected for the full year of 2013 or any other future period.

	Unaudited Three Months Ended March 31,			Vear	Ended Decembe	r 31	
	2013	2012	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	2010				er share amounts)		2000
Income statement data:		·		·····, ·····	,		
Interest income	\$ 6,471	\$ 9,811	\$ 34,592	\$ 41,120	\$ 47,336	\$ 52,651	\$ 57,413
Interest expense	1,710	2,905	10,695	13,512	18,463	22,630	27,589
Net interest income	4,761	6,906	23,897	27,608	28,873	30,021	29,824
Provision for loan and lease losses	(780)	1,735	8,233	13,368	16,350	8,680	6,150
Non-interest income (loss)	1,799	1,854	6,722	7,419	7,941	9,563	(18,579)
Non-interest expense	16,122	5,588	22,375	22,435	29,688	24,788	22,256
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	(8,782)	1,002	(3,414)	247	(7,946)	4,513	(9,433)
Common share and per common share data:							
Earnings (loss) – diluted	\$ (21.96)	\$ 2.51	\$ (8.54)	\$ 0.62	\$ (19.86)	\$ 11.28	\$ (23.58)
Book value	214.61	253.40	238.80	251.02	248.47	262.49	255.06
Dividends	_	_	_	_	1.60	6.40	6.40
Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding (thousands)	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
End of period shares outstanding (thousands)	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
Balance sheet data at period end:							
Total assets	\$716,313	\$889,175	\$853,808	\$898,380	\$ 995,403	\$1,040,094	\$987,213
Loans and leases	466,933	509,491	474,436	518,235	560,709	578,106	576,980
Allowance for loan and lease losses	14,810	17,439	15,314	17,439	16,763	11,145	7,703
Investment securities	168,788	307,563	183,362	321,612	347,372	371,721	335,390
Deposits	608,192	659,922	641,376	666,356	715,653	703,236	629,041
Intangible assets	_	_	_	_	_	6,035	6,035
Repurchase agreements	_	42,500	42,500	42,500	80,000	80,000	80,000
Other borrowings	21,081	82,531	71,736	86,110	97,191	147,328	170,994
Total common stockholders' equity	85,846	101,358	95,518	100,406	99,388	104,997	102,025
Loan and lease to deposit ratio	76.77%	77.20%	73.97%	77.77%	78.35%	82.21%	91.72%
Average balance sheet data:							
Total average assets	\$776,597	\$900,882	\$879,011	\$956,066	\$1,051,765	\$1,042,821	\$985,890
Total average common stockholders' equity	89,738	101,831	101,701	101,036	108,662	106,674	114,495
Average common equity to average assets	11.56%	11.30%	11.57%	10.57%	10.33%	10.25%	11.61%
Performance ratios:							
Return on average assets*	(4.59)%	0.45%	(0.39)%	0.03%	(0.76)%	0.43%	(0.96)%
Return on average common stockholders' equity*	(39.69)	3.96	(3.36)	0.24	(7.31)	4.23	(8.24)
Net interest margin – FTE*	2.64	3.32	2.87	3.05	2.91	3.07	3.22
Efficiency ratio	245.76	63.79	73.08	64.05	80.64	62.62	197.92
Common stock dividend payout ratio	_	_	_		(8.05)	56.74	(27.14)
Asset quality ratios:							
Net charge-offs to average loans and leases*	(0.24)%	1.36%	2.11%	2.36%	1.86%	0.90%	0.93%
Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases	9.17	8.19	10.03	8.58	7.70	3.02	1.09
Nonperforming assets to total assets	6.44	5.09	6.00	5.59	4.70	2.06	0.88
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of:							
Total loans and leases	3.17%	3.42%	3.23%	3.37%	2.99%	1.93%	1.34%
Nonperforming loans and leases	35%	42%	32%	39%	39%	64%	123%
Capital ratios at period end:							
Tier 1 leverage	10.35%	10.54%	10.41%	10.30%	9.17%	9.67%	9.78%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	16.58	14.98	17.95	14.46	13.16	13.55	14.25
Total risk-based capital	17.85	16.25	19.22	15.73	14.41	14.79	15.35

* Amounts for interim periods are annualized.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial information is based upon the assumptions that (i) there will be no adjustment to the total purchase price of \$64,000,000, (ii) that the total number of shares of FNB common stock immediately prior to the completion of the merger will be 400,000, (iii) that the FNB Stock Price (as such term is defined in the merger agreement) will be \$160.00 (*i.e.*, \$64,000,000/400,000), and (iv) that 51% of the outstanding shares of FNB (204,000) will be converted into the right to receive the stock consideration and 49% of the outstanding shares of FNB (196,000 shares) will be converted into the right to receive the cash consideration.

Additionally, the following pro forma financial information assumes that the 10-day average closing price of Company common stock on the fifth business day prior to the closing of the merger is \$43.18 (which was the average closing price of Company common stock for the ten consecutive trading days ended on May 21, 2013, the last practicable trading day before the date of this proxy statement/prospectus). Accordingly, applying the assumptions listed above, each share of FNB common stock for which an election is made to receive the stock consideration will be converted into the right to receive 3.705 shares of Company common stock (\$160.00/\$43.18) plus cash in lieu of any fractional shares, resulting in an aggregate of approximately 755,905 shares of Company common stock to be issued in connection with the merger.

The following unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and for the year ended December 31, 2012 combine the historical consolidated financial statements of the Company and FNB. The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements give effect to the proposed merger as if the merger occurred on March 31, 2013 with respect to the pro forma combined consolidated balance sheet, and on January 1, 2013 and 2012, with respect to the pro forma combined consolidated balance sheet, and on January 1, 2013 and 2012, with respect to the pro forma combined consolidated balance sheet, and on January 1, 2013 and 2012, with respect to the pro forma combined consolidated balance sheet.

The notes to the unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements describe the pro forma amounts and adjustments presented below. THIS PRO FORMA DATA IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF THE OPERATING RESULTS THAT THE COMPANY WOULD HAVE ACHIEVED HAD IT COMPLETED THE MERGER AS OF THE BEGINNING OF THE PERIODS PRESENTED AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED AS REPRESENTATIVE OF FUTURE OPERATIONS.

The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial information presented below is based on, and should be read together with, the historical financial information that the Company and FNB have included in or incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus as of and for the indicated periods.

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Balance Sheet As of March 31, 2013

	Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Historical	The First National Bank of Shelby Historical	Pro forma Adjustments	Pro forma Combined
			in thousands)	
Assets Cash and due from banks	\$ 160,699	\$ 13,811	\$ (31,360) (a) (3,792) (b)	\$ 139,358
Federal funds sold and interest earning deposits	1,876	33,749	(3,792)(0)	35,625
Cash and cash equivalents	162,575	47,560	(35,152)	174,983
Investment securities available for sale	487,648	168,788	(55,152)	656,436
Loans and leases, including purchased non-covered loans	2,195,842	466,933	(51,761) (c)	2,611,014
Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements	544,268		(01,701)(0)	544,268
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(38,422)	(14,810)	14,810 (d)	(38,422)
Net loans	2,701,688	452,123	(36,951)	3,116,860
FDIC loss share receivable	132,699		(50,551)	132,699
Premises and equipment, net	227,458	14,596	3,792 (b)	243,639
remises and equipment, net	227,100	1,000	(2,207) (e)	210,000
Foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements	11,290	3,330	(850) (c)	13,770
Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements	51,040			51,040
Accrued interest receivable	12,785	1,640		14,425
Bank owned life insurance	124,928	15,036		139,964
Goodwill	5,243	_	2,196 (f)	7,439
Other intangible assets, net	6,015	_	10,282 (g)	16,297
Other, net	28,449	13,240	19,893 (h)	61,582
Total assets	\$3,951,818	\$ 716,313	\$ (38,997)	\$4,629,134
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity				
Deposits:				
Demand non-interest bearing	\$ 588,841	\$ 111,345	\$ —	\$ 700,186
Savings and interest bearing transaction	1,653,886	238,337		1,892,223
Time	748,345	258,510	10,796 (i)	1,017,651
Total deposits	2,991,072	608,192	10,796	3,610,060
Repurchase agreements	30,714			30,714
Other borrowings	280,756	21,081		301,837
Subordinated debentures	64,950	_		64,950
FDIC clawback payable	25,384	_		25,384
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	31,810	1,194	3,413 (j)	36,417
Total liabilities	3,424,686	630,467	14,209	4,069,362
Stockholders' equity:			i	
Common stock	354	4,000	(4,000) (k) 8 (a)	362
Additional paid-in capital	76,102	8,000	(8,000) (k) 32,632 (a)	108,734
Retained earnings	438,194	75,485	(75,485) (k)	438,194
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	9,029	(1,639)	1,639 (k)	9,029
Total stockholders' equity before noncontrolling	- ,			
interest	523,679	85,846	(53,206)	556,319
Noncontrolling interest	3,453		× · · /	3,453
Total stockholders' equity	527,132	85,846	(53,206)	559,772
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$3,951,818	\$ 716,313	(38,997)	\$4,629,134
retar internets and stochionaris equity	<i>40,00</i> ,000	\$,10,010	- (00,000)	\$., 5 27,131

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Income Statement For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

	Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Historical	The First National Bank of Shelby Historical	Pro forma Adjustments	Pro forma Combined
		(Dollars in	thousands)	
Interest income:				
Loans and leases, including purchased non-covered	¢ 20.960	¢ 5.792	¢ 1 412 (1)	¢ 29.074
loans	\$ 30,869	\$ 5,783	\$ 1,412 (l)	\$ 38,064
Covered loans Investment securities:	12,864	_	_	12,864
Taxable	1,285	614		1,899
	3,744	014		3,744
Tax-exempt Other	3,744	74		5,744 81
	49.7(0)			
Total interest income	48,769	6,471	1,412	56,652
Interest expense:			(1.000) ()	
Deposits	1,546	1,324	(1,088) (m)	1,782
Repurchase agreements	7	150	(130) (q)	27
Other borrowings	2,649	236	(152) (r)	2,733
Subordinated debentures	428			428
Total interest expense	4,630	1,710	(1,370)	4,970
Net interest income	44,139	4,761	2,782	51,682
Provision for loan and lease losses	2,728	(780)	—	1,948
Net interest income after provision	41,411	5,541	2,782	49,734
Non-interest income:				
Service charges on deposit accounts	4,722	758	_	5,480
Mortgage lending income	1,741	186	_	1,927
Trust income	883	326		1,209
Bank owned life insurance income	1,083	74		1,157
Accretion of FDIC loss share payable, net of				,
amortization of FDIC clawback payable	2,392	_		2,392
Other income from loss share and purchased non-	,			,
covered loans, net	2,155	_	_	2,155
Net gains on investment securities	156	_	_	156
Gains on sales of other assets	1,974	56	_	2,030
Other	1,251	399	_	1,650
Total non-interest income	16,357	1,799		18,156
	10,557	1,777		10,150
Non-interest expense: Salaries and employee benefits	15,694	2,757		18,451
Net occupancy and equipment	4,514	768	 (83) (o)	5,199
Other operating expenses	9,023	12,597	367 (n)	21,987
Total non-interest expenses	29,231	16,122	284	45,637
Income (loss) before taxes	28,537	(8,782)	2,498	22,253
Provision for income taxes	8,526		<u>966</u> (p)	9,492
Net income (loss)	20,011	(8,782)	1,532	12,761
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(11)			(11)
Net income (loss) available to common				
stockholders	\$ 20,000	\$ (8,782)	\$ 1,532	\$ 12,750
Basic earnings (loss) per common share:				
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.57	\$ (21.96)		\$ 0.35
Weighted average shares outstanding	35,322	400		36,078
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:	-)-			-)
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.56	\$ (21.96)		\$ 0.35
Weighted average shares outstanding	35,631	400		36,387
0 00)=

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Income Statement For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Historical	The First National Bank of Shelby Historical	Pro forma Adjustments	Pro forma Combined
Interest income:		(Dollars i	n thousands)	
Loans and leases	\$ 115,362	\$ 25,671	\$ 5,648 (1)	\$146,681
Covered loans	\$ 115,362 61,820	\$ 25,671	\$ 5,648 (1)	\$140,081 61,820
Investment securities:	01,820			01,820
Taxable	2,949	8,010		10,959
Tax-exempt	15,807	728	_	16,535
Other	8	183	_	10,555
Total interest income	195,946	34,592	5,648	236,186
	175,740	54,572		230,100
Interest expense: Deposits	8,982	6,528	(4,352) (m)	11,158
Repurchase agreements	8,982 47	1,831	(1,261) (q)	617
Other borrowings	10,723	2,336	(1,201) (q) (1,819) (r)	11,240
Subordinated debentures	1,848		(1,015)(1)	1,848
Total interest expense	21,600	10,695	(7,432)	24,863
Net interest income				
Provision for loan and lease losses	174,346	23,897	13,080	211,323
	11,745	8,233		19,978
Net interest income after provision	162,601	15,664	13,080	191,345
Non-interest income: Service charges on deposit accounts	19,400	3,395		22 705
Mortgage lending income	5,584	1,372		22,795 6,956
Trust income	3,455	1,372		4,772
Bank owned life insurance income	2,767	378		3,145
Accretion of FDIC loss share payable, net of amortization	2,707	578		5,145
of FDIC clawback payable	7,375			7,375
Other income from loss share and purchased non-covered	1,010			1,515
loans, net	10,645			10,645
Net gains (losses) on investment securities	457	(677)		(220)
Gains (losses) on sales of other assets	6,809	(451)		6,358
Gain on merger and acquisition transaction	2,403			2,403
Other	3,965	1,388		5,353
Total non-interest income	62,860	6,722		69,582
Non-interest expense:		-) -		
Salaries and employee benefits	59,028	11,455		70,483
Net occupancy and equipment	15,793	3,113	(331) (o)	18,575
Other operating expenses	39,641	7,807	1,469 (n)	48,917
Total non-interest expenses	114,462	22,375	1,138	137,975
Income before taxes	110,999	11	11,942	122,952
Provision for income taxes	33,935	3,425	4,619 (p)	41,979
Net income (loss)	77,064	(3,414)	7,323	80,973
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(20)	(3,414)	7,525	
-	(20)			(20)
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 77,044	\$ (3,414)	\$ 7,323	\$ 80,953
Basic earnings (loss) per common share:	Ψ //,0ΤΤ	ψ (3,717)	ψ 1 <u>,525</u>	φ 00,755
Earnings (loss) per common snare:	\$ 2.22	\$ (8.54)		\$ 2.29
Weighted average shares outstanding	\$ 2.22 34,637	\$ (8.34) 400		\$ 2.29 35,393
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:	57,057	400		55,575
Earnings (loss) per common share.	\$ 2.21	\$ (8.54)		\$ 2.27
Weighted average shares outstanding	34,888	400		35,644
Horghtee average shares outstanding	51,000	001		55,011

Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Financial Statements As of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and for the Year Ended December 31, 2012

(a) This represents the estimated merger consideration of \$64.0 million, consisting of 51% common stock of the Company and 49% cash. It is assumed that 755,905 shares of the Company's \$0.01 par value common stock are issued based on the average closing price of \$43.18 per share for the ten consecutive trading days ending May 21, 2013, determined in accordance with the merger agreement. The following table is a sensitivity analysis of the potential merger consideration based on changes in the price of the Company's common stock for purposes of determining the exchange ratio for this transaction and based on changes in the mix of merger consideration between stock and cash.

		51% Stock	/ 49% Cash	75% Stock	/ 25% Cash	100% Stock	x / 0% Cash
Change in Average Closing Price	Average Closing Price	No. shares to be Issued	Approximate Transaction Value	No. shares to be Issued	Approximate Transaction Value	No. shares to be Issued	Approximate Transaction Value
40%	\$ 60.45	738,461 (1)	\$ 76,000,000	1,085,972 (1)	\$ 81,650,000	1,447,963 (1)	\$ 87,530,000
30%	\$ 56.13	738,461 (1)	\$ 72,810,000	1,085,972 (1)	\$ 76,960,000	1,447,963 (1)	\$ 81,270,000
20%	\$ 51.82	738,461 (1)	\$ 69,630,000	1,085,972 (1)	\$ 72,280,000	1,447,963 (1)	\$ 75,030,000
10%	\$ 47.50	738,461 (1)	\$ 66,440,000	1,085,972 (1)	\$ 67,580,000	1,447,963 (1)	\$ 68,780,000
0%	\$ 43.18	755,905	\$ 64,000,000	1,111,625	\$ 64,000,000	1,482,167	\$ 64,000,000
-10%	\$ 38.86	839,938	\$ 64,000,000	1,235,203	\$ 64,000,000	1,646,937	\$ 64,000,000
-20%	\$ 34.54	944,991	\$ 64,000,000	1,389,693	\$ 64,000,000	1,852,924	\$ 64,000,000
-30%	\$ 30.23	1,079,722	\$ 64,000,000	1,587,826	\$ 64,000,000	2,117,102	\$ 64,000,000
-40%	\$ 25.91	1,208,888 (1)	\$ 62,680,000	1,777,777 (1)	\$ 62,060,000	2,370,370 (1)	\$ 61,420,000

- (1) The merger agreement stipulates a minimum average closing price of \$27.00 per share and a maximum average closing price of \$44.20 per share to be used for purposes of calculating the exchange ratio. Accordingly, to the extent the average closing price of the Company's stock exceeds \$44.20 per share, the total transaction value will increase. Conversely, to the extent the average closing price of the Company's stock price is less than \$27.00 per share, the total transaction value will decrease.
- (b) This represents the purchase price of certain real property that is being purchased from parties related to FNB and on which certain FNB offices are located.
- (c) This adjustment represents the Company's estimate of the necessary writedown of FNB's loan portfolio and its foreclosed assets to estimated fair value as part of the purchase accounting adjustments. The estimated purchase accounting adjustment for FNB's loan portfolio is comprised of approximately \$40.1 million of non-accretable credit adjustments and approximately \$11.7 million of accretable interest rate adjustments. The estimated purchase accounting adjustment for FNB's foreclosed assets consists entirely of non-accretable adjustments. Subsequent to the completion of the merger, the Company will finalize its determination of the fair values of the acquired loans and the acquired foreclosed assets which could significantly change both the amount and the composition of these estimated purchase accounting adjustments. The weighted-average remaining maturity of this acquired loan portfolio is approximately 4.2 years.
- (d) This adjustment represents the elimination of FNB's allowance for loan losses as part of the purchase accounting adjustments.
- (e) This adjustment represents the estimated fair value adjustments of FNB's premises and equipment, including the estimated writedown of certain leasehold improvements. Prior to the completion of the merger, the Company will obtain independent third party appraisals of all significant premises and equipment owned by FNB. Such appraisals could result in further adjustments to the carrying values of FNB's premises and equipment.

(f) This adjustment represents the estimated purchase price allocation for FNB, assuming the transaction closed on March 31, 2013, and is calculated as follows (in thousands):

Total purchase price	\$ 64,000
Less: FNB equity at book value	(85,846)
Elimination of allowance for loan losses	(14,810)
Current and deferred taxes and other assets	(19,893)
Transaction costs and contract buyouts	3,413
Allocated to:	
Loans and foreclosed assets	52,611
Core deposit intangible	(10,282)
Premises and equipment	2,207
Time deposits	10,796
Goodwill	\$ 2,196

- (g) This adjustment represents the Company's estimate of the core deposit intangible asset to be recorded as part of the purchase accounting adjustments. The actual amount of such core deposit intangible asset will be determined at the completion of the merger and will be valued by an independent third party.
- (h) This adjustment includes \$20.0 million of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities recorded to reflect the differences in the carrying values of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the cost basis for federal income tax purposes. This adjustment also includes \$0.2 million of adjustments to other miscellaneous assets. For purposes of these pro forma adjustments, the Company has not recorded approximately \$4.3 million of deferred tax assets related to net operating loss carryforwards of FNB as the Company believes portions of such carryforwards will expire before they can be realized.
- (i) This adjustment represents the estimated write-up of FNB's time deposits to reflect a current market rate of interest.
- (j) This represents the accrual of certain costs and contract buyouts expected to be incurred in connection with the merger. The details of such costs and contract buyouts are as follows (in thousands):

Retention agreements and non-compete agreements payable to certain executives of FNB and the FNB board of directors

	+ • • • •
Financial advisor fee payable to Sandler O'Neill	960
Estimated contract termination costs of FNB core systems	1,000
Estimated attorneys and accountants fees	500
Other transaction costs	348
Total costs	\$3,413

- (k) This adjustment represents the elimination of the historical equity of FNB as part of the purchase price adjustment.
- (1) Upon the the completion of the merger, the Company will evaluate the acquired loan portfolio to finalize the necessary credit and interest rate fair value adjustments. Subsequently, the interest rate portion of the fair value adjustment will be accreted into earnings as an adjustment to the yield of such acquired loans. This adjustment represents the Company's best estimate of the expected accretion that would have been recorded in 2012 and in the first quarter of 2013 assuming the merger closed on January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013, respectively. The remaining estimated accretion adjustments are approximately \$3.1 million in year 2, approximately \$1.4 million in year 3, approximately \$0.5 million in year 4, approximately \$0.3 million in year 5 and approximately \$0.7 million thereafter. Subsequent to the closing of the transaction, the amount and timing of the estimated accretion of this purchase accounting adjustment could be revised significantly.
- (m) Upon the the completion of the merger, the Company will evaluate the acquired time deposits to finalize the necessary fair value adjustment to reflect current interest rate for comparable deposits. This fair value

21

\$ 605

adjustment will then be accreted into earnings as a reduction of the cost of such time deposits. This adjustment represents the Company's best estimate of the expected accretion that would have been recorded in 2012 and in the first quarter of 2013 assuming the merger closed on January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013, respectively. The remaining estimated accretion adjustments are approximately \$3.6 million in year 2, approximately \$1.9 million in year 3, approximately \$0.6 million in year 4, and approximately \$0.3 million in year 5. Subsequent to the closing of the transaction, the amount and timing of the estimated accretion of this purchase accounting adjustment could be revised significantly.

- (n) This represents the expected amortization during 2012 and the first quarter of 2013 of the core deposit intangible asset expected to be acquired in the merger, assuming the transaction closed on January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013, respectively. The estimated useful life of this intangible asset is estimated to be seven years.
- (o) This adjustment represents the decrease in depreciation and amortization expense associated with the fair value adjustments, including the write-off of certain leasehold improvements, described in Note (e), and the decrease to lease expense related to the purchase of certain real property currently leased by FNB, as described in Note (b), during 2012 and the first quarter of 2013, assuming the transaction closed on January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013, respectively. The estimated remaining useful life of the acquired premises and equipment ranges from 3 to 40 years. Prior to the closing of the transaction, the Company will obtain independent third party appraisals of all significant premises and equipment owned by FNB and will allocate the purchase price accordingly. Such allocation is likely to result in further adjustment of depreciation and amortization expense for these assets.
- (p) This represents income tax expense on the pro forma adjustments at the Company's statutory federal and state income tax rate of 38.68%.
- (q) This adjustment represents the estimated amount of accretion on approximately \$42.5 million of structured repurchase agreements ("structured repos") that would have been recorded as a reduction of interest expense in 2012 and the first quarter of 2013 assuming the transaction closed on January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013, respectively. This accretion is based on (i) the estimated prepayment penalty and fees of approximately \$5.4 million, obtained from the structured repos counterparties, and (ii) a weighted-average remaining maturity of approximately 3.9 years at December 31, 2012. The remaining estimated accretion adjustments are approximately \$1.0 million in year 2, approximately \$1.0 million in year 3, approximately \$0.9 million in year 4, approximately \$0.3 million thereafter. During the first quarter of 2013, these structured repos were repaid by FNB. Accordingly, no purchase accounting adjustment is included in the March 31, 2013 unaudited combined consolidated proforma balance sheet for these structured repos.
- (r) This adjustment represents the estimated amount of accretion on approximately \$61.5 million of Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB Atlanta") advances and interest rate swap agreements with a notional value of \$34 million that would have been recorded as a reduction of interest expense in 2012 and the first quarter of 2013 assuming the transaction closed on January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013, respectively. This accretion is based on (i) the estimated prepayment penalty and fees of approximately \$5.1 million, obtained from the FHLB Atlanta with respect to the FHLB Atlanta advances and from the interest rate swap counterparties with respect to the interest rate swap agreements, and (ii) a weighted-average remaining maturity at December 31, 2012 of approximately 2.6 years with respect to the FHLB Atlanta advances and approximately 1.9 years with respect to the interest rate swap agreements. The remaining estimated accretion adjustments are approximately \$1.6 million in year 2, approximately \$0.8 million in year 3, approximately \$0.7 in year 4 and approximately \$0.1 million in year 5. During the first quarter of 2013, these FHLB Atlanta advances were repaid and the related interest rate swap agreements were unwound by FNB. Accordingly, no purchase accounting adjustment is included in the March 31, 2013 unaudited combined consolidated pro forma balance sheet for these FHLB Atlanta advances and related interest rate swap agreements.

COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth for Company common stock and FNB common stock certain historical, pro forma and pro forma equivalent per share financial information. The pro forma and pro forma equivalent per share information gives effect to the merger as if the transaction had been effective on the dates presented, in the case of book value data, and as if the transaction had been effective on January 1 of the periods presented, in the case of the income and dividend data. The pro forma information in the table assumes that the merger is accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The information in the following table is based on the historical financial statements of each of FNB and the Company, and should be read together with the historical financial information that the Company has presented in prior filings with the SEC. With respect to the Company, see "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on page 228.

The pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of results that would have occurred had the merger been completed on the dates indicated or that may be obtained in the future.

	As of and For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013		As of and For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012	
Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share:				
Historical:				
Company				
Basic	\$	0.57	\$	2.22
Diluted		0.56		2.21
FNB				
Basic	\$	(21.96)	\$	(8.54)
Diluted		(21.96)		(8.54)
Pro forma combined (1)				
Basic	\$	0.35	\$	2.29
Diluted		0.35		2.27
Equivalent Pro Forma FNB (2)				
Basic	\$	1.30	\$	8.48
Diluted		1.30		8.41
Dividends Declared Per Common Share:				
Historical:				
Company	\$	0.15	\$	0.50
FNB				_
Equivalent pro forma amount of FNB (2)		0.56		1.85
Book Value Per Common Share (at period end)				
Historical:				
Company	\$	14.81	\$	14.39
FNB		214.62		238.80
Pro forma combined (1)		15.40		15.00
Equivalent pro forma amount of FNB (2)		57.06		55.58

Pro forma combined amounts are calculated by adding together the historical amounts reported by the Company and FNB, as adjusted for the estimated purchase accounting adjustments to be recorded in connection with the merger and an estimated 755,905 shares of Company common stock to be issued in connection with the merger based on the terms of the merger agreement.
 The equivalent pro forma per share data for FNB is computed by multiplying the pro forma combined amounts by 3.705.

MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION

The Company's common stock is currently listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (the "NASDAQ Stock Market") under the symbol "OZRK." FNB common stock is not listed on an exchange or quoted on any automated services, and there is no established trading market for shares of FNB common stock.

As of March 31, 2013, there were 35,366,824 shares of Company common stock issued and outstanding, which were held by approximately 265 shareholders of record. As of the record date for the special meeting, there were 400,000 shares of FNB common stock outstanding, which were held by approximately 471 shareholders of record. Such numbers of shareholders do not reflect the number of individuals or institutional investors holding stock in nominee name through banks, brokerage firms and others.

The following table sets forth the high and low closing sale prices for shares of Company common stock and cash dividends paid per share for the periods indicated.

			Ţ	Cash Dividends Per
2011:	First Quarter	High \$22.23	<u>Low</u> \$20.96	<u>Share</u> \$ 0.085
2011.			*	
	Second Quarter	26.03	22.04	0.09
	Third Quarter	26.88	19.89	0.095
	Fourth Quarter	30.80	20.64	0.10
2012:	First Quarter	\$31.86	\$27.73	\$ 0.11
	Second Quarter	32.02	28.08	0.12
	Third Quarter	34.65	29.91	0.13
	Fourth Quarter	34.47	31.00	0.14
2013	First Quarter	\$44.58	\$34.09	\$ 0.15
	Second Quarter (through May 31, 2013)	44.70	39.64	0.17

There is no established public trading market for FNB common stock. FNB common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under "FNSE." Although FNB common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board, the trading markets on the OTC Bulletin Board lack the depth, liquidity, and orderliness necessary to maintain a liquid market. The OTC Bulletin Board prices are quotations, which reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commissions and may not represent actual transactions. The following table sets forth the quarterly reported high and low bid information as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board for shares of FNB common stock and cash dividends paid per share for the periods indicated.

		High	Low	Cash Dividends Per Share	
2011:	First Quarter	\$ 85.00	\$ 79.50	\$	
	Second Quarter	78.00	73.00		
	Third Quarter	76.00	69.00		_
	Fourth Quarter	68.00	67.50		
2012:	First Quarter	\$ 70.00	\$ 65.00	\$	
	Second Quarter	70.00	64.50		
	Third Quarter	69.75	64.30		_
	Fourth Quarter	66.50	62.99		
2013	First Quarter	\$150.00	\$ 66.00	\$	
	Second Quarter (through May 31, 2013)	155.00	151.00		

On January 24, 2013, the business day immediately preceding the public announcement of the merger, the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market was \$36.50 per share. On , 2013, the last practicable trading day before the distribution of this proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market was \$ per share.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in Company common stock in connection with the merger involves risks. The Company describes below the material risks and uncertainties that it believes are associated with the merger and the Company. You should carefully read and consider all of the risk factors described below or incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus from other SEC documents filed by the Company in deciding whether to vote for approval of the merger agreement.

Risks Associated with the Merger

Because the Market Price of Company Common Stock Will Fluctuate and as a Result of Other Factors, FNB Shareholders Cannot Be Sure of the Number of Shares or Exact Value of Shares of Company Common Stock They Will Receive.

Upon completion of the merger, each outstanding share of FNB common stock will be converted into the merger consideration consisting of shares of Company common stock or cash, or a mix of shares of Company common stock and cash, as provided in the merger agreement. If an FNB shareholder receives only cash as merger consideration, the value of the merger consideration that such FNB shareholder receives will be independent of any fluctuations in the market price of Company common stock. If an FNB shareholder receives Company common stock as part or all of the merger consideration, the number of shares that such FNB shareholder will receive for each share of FNB common stock will depend on the average closing price of Company common stock for the ten consecutive trading days ending on the fifth business day preceding the closing of the merger. The value of such shares of Company common stock received for each share of FNB common stock will depend on the price per share of Company common stock at the time the shares are actually received by an FNB shareholder. The closing price of Company common stock on the date that the shareholder actually receives the shares of such stock after the merger is completed and the average closing price over the ten consecutive trading days ending on the fifth business day preceding the closing of the merger may vary from each other, as well as from the closing price of Company common stock on the date that the Company and FNB announced the merger, on the date that this proxy statement/prospectus is being mailed to FNB shareholders, and on the date of the special meeting of FNB shareholders. Stock price changes may result from a variety of factors, including general market and economic conditions, changes in the Company's business, operations and prospects, and regulatory considerations, among other things. Many of these factors are beyond the control of the Company. Accordingly, at the time of the special meeting of FNB shareholders, because of the above timing differences FNB shareholders will not be able to calculate the number of shares of Company common stock they may receive upon completion of the merger or the exact value of Company common stock they may receive upon completion of the merger.

The Amount of Merger Consideration May Decrease Following the Shareholder Meeting.

Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, the aggregate merger consideration of \$64,000,000 is subject to a possible downward adjustment if FNB's closing consolidated net book value is less than \$96,000,000 as of the end of the month prior to the closing of the merger. FNB's closing consolidated net book value will be calculated as FNB's unaudited consolidated net tangible shareholders' equity determined in accordance with GAAP, except that the following amounts will be added back to the closing consolidated net book value before determining whether a purchase price adjustment is required: (i) the amount of any deferred tax asset valuation allowance; (ii) the amount of prepayment penalties or unwind costs on prepayment of any advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB-Atlanta") and certain structured repurchase agreements and derivative transactions, net of any tax benefit recorded on FNB's financial statements in connection with such prepayment penalties or unwind costs; and (iii) the amount of any other accruals, reserves or provisions, expenses or charges taken or incurred by FNB that the Company and FNB agree are appropriate under the circumstances. As of April 30, 2013, although FNB's unaudited consolidated net tangible shareholders' equity was \$87,424,952, the "added back" items described in (i) and (ii) in the preceding sentence aggregated

approximately \$14 million at such date. As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, FNB's consolidated net book value, calculated in accordance with the above formula, continues to exceed \$96,000,000, and if the closing of the merger were to occur on the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, no adjustment to the purchase price would be made based on this calculation. The calculation date for the closing consolidated net book value may occur subsequent to the date of the FNB special meeting of shareholders. Accordingly, if FNB shareholders approve the merger, the aggregate merger consideration to be received by the FNB shareholders could be less than \$64,000,000.

Apart from the possible adjustments summarized in the preceding paragraph, the value of the aggregate merger consideration could also be higher or lower than \$64,000,000, depending on whether the average closing price of Company common stock to be used in determining the exchange ratio is higher than \$44.20 per share, in which case FNB shareholders receiving Company stock as part or all of the merger consideration would receive more shares than they otherwise would (without an offsetting decrease in any cash consideration they may receive in the merger) if there were no cap on the average closing price used in determining the exchange ratio. Conversely, if the average stock price of Company common stock is lower than \$27.00 per share, FNB shareholders receiving Company stock as part or all of the merger consideration would receive fewer shares than they otherwise would (without any offsetting increase in any cash consideration they may receive in the merger) if there were no floor on the average closing price used in determining the exchange ratio.

The Form or Mix of Merger Consideration FNB Shareholders Ultimately Receive Could Be Different From the Form or Mix Elected Depending on the Form or Mix of Merger Consideration Elected by Other FNB Shareholders.

If the merger agreement is approved by FNB shareholders, all shareholders will be permitted to make an election as to the form of consideration, whether in cash, Company common stock or a mix of such cash and stock, they wish to receive. Because of the minimum stock consideration requirement, the exchange agent may be required, in accordance with the allocation provisions set forth in the merger agreement, to adjust the form of consideration that an individual FNB shareholder will receive in order to ensure that no more than 49% of the aggregate merger consideration to be paid by the Company to FNB shareholders will be paid in cash.

Consequently, if the cash consideration is over-subscribed, FNB shareholders could receive a different form of consideration from the form they elect, which could result in different tax consequences than they had anticipated (including the recognition of gain for federal income tax purposes with respect to the cash received). If FNB shareholders do not make an election, upon surrender of their FNB shares they will receive the merger consideration following the effective time of the merger, in an allocated amount of cash, shares of Company common stock, or a combination of the two, as provided for in the merger agreement. If an FNB shareholder makes an election but transfers record ownership of his or her shares before the completion of the merger, those shares will be treated as if no election had been made with respect to them, unless the new record owner makes a new election prior to the election deadline.

The Merger With FNB May Distract Management of the Company From Its Other Responsibilities.

The acquisition of FNB could cause the management of the Company to focus its time and energies on matters related to the acquisition that otherwise would be directed to the business and operations of the Company. Any such distraction on the part of management, if significant, could affect its ability to service existing business and develop new business and adversely affect the business and earnings of the Company.

FNB Shareholders Will Have Less Influence As Shareholders of the Company Than As Shareholders of FNB.

FNB shareholders currently have the right to vote in the election of the board of directors of FNB and on other matters affecting FNB. When the merger occurs, each shareholder that receives shares of Company common stock will become a shareholder of the Company with a percentage ownership of the combined organization much smaller

than such shareholder's percentage ownership of FNB. Assuming that shareholders of FNB elect to receive the minimum amount of required stock consideration upon completion of the merger and assuming the 10-day average closing price of Company common stock ending on the fifth business day prior to the closing of the merger is \$43.18 (which was the average closing price of Company common stock for the ten consecutive trading days ended on May 21, 2013, the last practicable trading day before the date of this proxy statement/prospectus), it is currently expected that the former shareholders of FNB as a group will receive shares in the merger constituting approximately 2.1% of the outstanding shares of Company common stock immediately after the merger. Because of this, FNB shareholders will have less influence on the management and policies of the Company than they may now have on the management and policies of FNB.

Certain Officers and Directors of FNB Have Interests in the Merger Different From the Interests of Non-director or Non-management Shareholders.

Some of the officers and directors of FNB have interests in the merger that are in addition to their interests as shareholders of FNB generally. These interests include the Jeffords Employment Agreement, the Non-Competition Agreements, the Retention Agreements, indemnification provisions contained in the Agreement and Plan of Merger, and the Company's purchase of an officers' and directors' liability insurance policy for a limited time (at current levels) following the merger. Although the members of the respective boards of directors of each of the Company and FNB knew about these additional interests and considered them when they considered and approved the merger agreement and the merger, you should be aware of them. See "Approval of the Merger – Interests of Certain Executive Officers and Directors in the Merger" on page 69.

The Fairness Opinion Obtained by FNB From Its Financial Advisor Will Not Reflect Changes in Circumstances Between the Date of the Merger Agreement and the Completion of the Merger.

The fairness opinion obtained by FNB from Sandler O'Neill, FNB's financial advisor, is dated January 24, 2013. Management of the Company is not aware of any material changes in the Company's operations or performance since the delivery of the opinion or that are anticipated to occur before the special meeting takes place or by the time the merger is completed. Management of FNB is not aware of any material changes in FNB's operations or performance, or in any of the projections or assumptions upon which Sandler O'Neill based its opinion, since the delivery of the opinion or that are anticipated to occur before the special meeting takes place or by the time the merger is completed. FNB has not obtained an updated fairness opinion as of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus from Sandler O'Neill. Changes in the operations and prospects of FNB or the Company, general market and economic conditions and other factors that may be beyond the control of FNB and the Company, and on which the fairness opinion was based, may alter the value of FNB or the Company or the prices of shares of FNB common stock or the Company common stock by the time the special meeting takes place or by the time the merger is completed. The opinion does not speak as of the time the merger will be completed or as of any date other than the date of such opinion. Because FNB does not anticipate asking its financial advisor to update its opinion, the January 24, 2013 opinion does not address the fairness of the merger consideration, from a financial point of view, at the time the merger is completed. A copy of the opinion is included as Appendix B to this proxy statement/prospectus. For a description of the opinion that FNB received from its financial advisor, please refer to "Approval of the Merger - Opinion of FNB's Financial Advisor" on page 59. For a description of the other factors considered by the FNB board of directors in determining to approve the merger, please refer to "Approval of the Merger - FNB's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the FNB Board of Directors" on page 55.

The Tax Consequences of the Merger to a FNB Shareholder Will Depend Upon the Merger Consideration Received.

The tax consequences of the merger to an FNB shareholder will depend upon the merger consideration that the shareholder receives. An FNB shareholder generally will not recognize any gain or loss on the conversion of shares of FNB common stock solely into shares of Company common stock. However, an FNB shareholder

generally will be taxed if the shareholder receives cash in exchange for shares of FNB common stock or for any fractional share of Company common stock. For a detailed discussion of the tax consequences of the merger to FNB shareholders generally, see "Approval of the Merger – Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger" on page 77. Each FNB shareholder should consult his, her or its own tax advisors as to the effect of the merger as applicable to the FNB shareholder's particular circumstances.

The Merger is Subject to the Receipt of Consents and Approvals from Government Entities that May Impose Conditions that Could Have an Adverse Effect on the Company.

Before the merger may be completed, various approvals or consents must be obtained from various federal and state governmental entities. These governmental entities may impose conditions on the completion of the merger or require changes to the terms of the merger. Although the Company and FNB do not currently expect that any such conditions or changes would be imposed, there can be no assurance that they will not be, and such conditions or changes could have the effect of delaying completion of the merger or imposing additional costs on or limiting the revenues of the Company following the merger, any of which might have a material adverse effect on the Company following the merger. The Company is not obligated to complete the merger if the regulatory approvals received in connection with the completion of the merger impose certain burdensome conditions on FNB or the Company, as described more fully in "Approval of the Merger – Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger" on page 74.

The Merger Will Not Be Completed Unless Important Conditions are Satisfied.

Specified conditions set forth in the merger agreement must be satisfied or waived to complete the merger. If the conditions are not satisfied or waived, to the extent permitted by law or stock exchange rules, the merger will not occur or will be delayed and each of the Company and FNB may lose some or all of the intended benefits of the merger. The following conditions, in addition to other closing conditions, must be satisfied or, if permissible, waived before the Company and FNB are obligated to complete the merger:

- the approval of the merger agreement and merger by the requisite vote of the shareholders of FNB;
- the receipt of all material regulatory approvals required for consummation of the merger;
- the absence of any order by a court or regulatory authority that enjoins or prohibits the merger;
- the registration statement of which this proxy statement/prospectus is a part shall be effective under the Securities Act, and no stop order shall have been issued or proceedings for that purpose shall have been initiated or threatened by the SEC; and
- the Company and FNB shall have received the opinions of Kutak Rock LLP and Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP, respectively, that the merger will be treated as a "reorganization" within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code").

Termination of the Merger Agreement Could Negatively Impact FNB.

If the merger agreement is terminated before closing there may be various consequences. For example, FNB's business may have been impacted adversely by the failure to pursue other beneficial opportunities due to the focus of management on the merger, without realizing any of the anticipated benefits of completing the merger. Also, FNB will have incurred substantial expenses in connection with the proposed merger without realizing the benefits of the merger. If the merger agreement is terminated and FNB's board of directors seeks another merger or business combination, FNB shareholders cannot be certain that FNB will be able to find a party willing to pay the equivalent or greater consideration than that which the Company has agreed to pay in the merger. In addition, if the merger agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, FNB may be required to pay the Company a termination fee or liquidated damages. See "Approval of the Merger – Effect of Termination" on page 77.

FNB Will Be Subject to Business Uncertainties and Contractual Restrictions While the Merger is Pending.

Uncertainty about the effect of the merger on employees and customers may have an adverse effect on FNB. These uncertainties may impair FNB's ability to attract, retain and motivate strategic personnel until the merger is consummated, and could cause customers and others that deal with FNB to seek to change existing business relationships with FNB. Experienced employees in the financial services industry are in high demand, and competition for their talents can be intense. Employees of FNB may experience uncertainty about their future role with the surviving corporation until, or even after, strategies with regard to the combined company are announced or executed. If strategic FNB employees depart because of issues relating to the uncertainty and difficulty of integration or a desire not to remain with the surviving corporation, FNB's business following the merger could be harmed. In addition, the merger agreement restricts FNB from making certain acquisitions and taking other specified actions until the merger occurs, unless it has the consent of the Company. These restrictions may prevent FNB from pursuing attractive business opportunities that may arise prior to the completion of the merger. See "Approval of the Merger – Conduct of Business Pending the Merger" on page 71.

Risks Related to the Company's Business

The Company's Profitability is Dependent on its Banking Activities.

Because the Company is a bank holding company, its profitability is directly attributable to the success of Bank of the Ozarks. The Company's banking activities compete with other banking institutions on the basis of service, convenience and price. Due in part to both regulatory changes and consumer demands, banks have experienced increased competition from other entities offering similar products and services. The Company relies on the profitability of Bank of the Ozarks and dividends received from Bank of the Ozarks for payment of its operating expenses, satisfaction of its obligations and payment of dividends. (See Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements contained in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K). As is the case with other similarly situated financial institutions, the profitability of Bank of the Ozarks, and therefore the Company, will be subject to the fluctuating cost and availability of funds, changes in the prime lending rate and other interest rates, changes in economic conditions in general and, because of the location of its banking offices, changes in economic conditions in the Southeastern and South Central United States in particular.

The Company Depends on Key Personnel for its Success.

The Company's operating results and ability to adequately manage its growth and minimize loan and lease losses are highly dependent on the services, managerial abilities and performance of its current executive officers and other key personnel. The Company has an experienced management team that the board of directors believes is capable of managing and growing the Company. The Company does not currently have employment contracts with its executive officers and key personnel. Losses of or changes in its current executive officers or other key personnel and their responsibilities may disrupt the Company's business and could adversely affect the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. Additionally, the Company's ability to retain its current executive officers and other key personnel may be further impacted by existing and proposed legislation and regulations affecting the financial services industry. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in retaining its current executive officers or other key personnel.

The Company's Operations are Significantly Affected by Interest Rate Levels.

The Company's profitability is dependent to a large extent on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income earned on loans, including loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements and purchased non-covered loans, leases and investment securities and interest expense paid on deposits, other borrowings and subordinated debentures. The Company is affected by changes in general interest rate levels and changes in the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, both of which are beyond its control. Interest rate risk can result from mismatches between the dollar amount of repricing or maturing assets and liabilities, as well as from mismatches in the timing and rate at which assets and liabilities reprice. Although the Company has implemented procedures it believes will reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on its results of

operations, these procedures may not always be successful. In addition, any substantial, unexpected or prolonged change in market interest rates could adversely affect the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The Fiscal and Monetary Policies of the Federal Government and its Agencies Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on the Company's Earnings.

The FRB regulates the supply of money and credit in the United States. Its policies determine in large part the cost of funds for lending and investing and the return earned on those loans and investments, both of which may affect net interest income and net interest margin. Changes in the supply of money and credit can also materially decrease the value of financial assets held by the Company, such as debt securities. The FRB's policies can also adversely affect borrowers, potentially increasing the risk that they may fail to repay their loans and leases. Changes in such policies are beyond the Company's control and difficult to predict; consequently, the impact of these changes on the Company's activities and results of operations is difficult to predict.

The Company's Business Depends on the Condition of the Local and Regional Economies Where it Operates.

A majority of the Company's business is located in Arkansas, Texas and, to a lesser extent, Georgia and other southeastern states. As a result, the Company's financial condition and results of operations may be significantly impacted by changes in the Arkansas, Texas and Georgia economies as well as the economies of other southeastern states. Slowdown in economic activity, deterioration in housing markets or increases in unemployment and under-employment in these areas may have a significant and disproportionate impact on consumer and business confidence and the demand for the Company's products and services, result in an increase in non-payment of loans and leases and a decrease in collateral value, and significantly impact the Company's deposit funding sources. Any of these events could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

The Company's Business May Suffer if There are Significant Declines in the Value of Real Estate.

The market value of real estate can fluctuate significantly in a short period of time as a result of market conditions in the geographic area in which the real estate is located. There continues to be a lack of sustained improvement in economic activity and housing markets and elevated levels of unemployment and under-employment in many of the Company's markets, resulting in depressed prices and excess inventories of residential and other properties to be sold in these markets. If the value of the real estate serving as collateral for the Company's loan and lease portfolio were to decline materially, a significant part of its loan portfolio could become under-collateralized. If the loans that are collateralized by real estate become troubled during a time when market conditions are declining or have declined, the Company may not be able to realize the value of security anticipated at the time of originating the loan, which in turn could have an adverse effect on the Company's provision for loan and lease losses and its financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Most of the Company's foreclosed assets are comprised of real estate properties. The Company carries these properties at their estimated fair values less estimated selling costs. While the Company believes the carrying values for such assets are reasonable and appropriately reflect current market conditions, there can be no assurance that the amount of proceeds realized upon disposition of foreclosed assets will approximate the carrying value of such assets. If the proceeds are less than the carrying value of foreclosed assets, the Company will record a loss on the disposition of such assets, which in turn could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

The Company is Subject to Environmental Liability Risks Associated with Lending Activities.

A significant portion of the Company's loan and lease portfolio is secured by real property. In the ordinary course of business, the Company may foreclose on and take title to real properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or

toxic substances are found, the Company may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Company to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Company's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Company's exposure to environmental liability. The Company has policies and procedures that require either formal or informal evaluation of environmental risks and liabilities on real property before originating any loan or foreclosure action, except for (i) loans originated for sale in the secondary market secured by 1-4 family residential properties and (ii) certain loans where the real estate collateral is second lien collateral. These policies, procedures and evaluations may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

If the Company Does Not Properly Manage its Credit Risk, its Business Could be Seriously Harmed.

There are substantial risks inherent in making any loan or lease, including, but not limited to -

- risks resulting from changes in economic and industry conditions;
- risks inherent in dealing with individual borrowers;
- · risks resulting from uncertainties as to the future value of collateral; and
- the risk of non-payment of loans and leases.

Although the Company attempts to minimize its credit risk through prudent loan and lease underwriting procedures and by monitoring concentrations of its loans and leases, there can be no assurance that these underwriting and monitoring procedures will reduce these risks. Moreover, as the Company expands into new markets, credit administration and loan and lease underwriting policies and procedures may need to be adapted to local conditions. The inability of the Company to properly manage its credit risk or appropriately adapt its credit administration and lease underwriting policies and procedures to local market conditions or changing economic circumstances could have an adverse impact on its provision for loan and lease losses and its financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The Company Makes and Holds in its Loan and Lease Portfolio a Significant Number of Construction/Land Development, Non-Farm/Non-Residential and Other Real Estate Loans.

The Company's loan and lease portfolio is comprised of a significant amount of real estate loans, including a large number of construction/land development and non-farm/non-residential loans. Excluding covered loans and purchased non-covered loans, the Company's real estate loans comprised 87.9% of its total loans and leases at March 31, 2013. In addition, excluding covered loans and purchased non-covered loans, the Company's construction/land development and non-farm/non-residential loans, which are a subset of its real estate loans, comprised approximately 28.9% and 38.2%, respectively, of the Company's total loan and lease portfolio at March 31, 2013. Real estate loans, including construction/land development and non-farm/non-residential loans, pose different risks than do other types of loan and lease categories. The Company believes it has established appropriate underwriting procedures for its real estate loans, including construction/land development and non-farm/non-residential loans, and has established appropriate allowances to cover the credit risks associated with such loans. However, there can be no assurance that such underwriting procedures are, or will continue to be, appropriate or that losses on real estate loans, including construction/land development and non-farm/non-residential loans, will not require additions to the Company's allowance for loan and lease losses, and such losses could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

At March 31, 2013, the principal collateral for approximately 81% of the Company's total real estate loans, excluding covered loans and purchased non-covered loans, was located in Arkansas, Texas, North Carolina or South Carolina. Additionally, approximately 79% of the principal collateral of the Company's construction/land

development loans and approximately 75% of the principal collateral of the Company's non-farm/non-residential loans was located in these four states.

Upon completion of the Company's pending acquisition of FNB, the Company will acquire a significant volume of real estate loans, including construction/land development loans and non-farm/non-residential loans in which the principal collateral is located in North Carolina. On a pro forma basis, assuming the Company's acquisition of FNB had been completed on March 31, 2013, and excluding covered loans, the Company's total real estate loans would comprise approximately 88% of total loans and leases, of which approximately 84% of such loans would have their principal collateral located in Arkansas, Texas, North Carolina or South Carolina. Additionally, approximately 80% of the principal collateral value of the construction/land development loans, on a pro forma basis, and approximately 79% of non-farm/non-residential loans, on a pro forma basis, would be located in these four states.

As a result of this relative concentration of real estate loans, any slowdown in economic activity or deterioration in real estate prices in any or some combination of these states or specific geographical areas of these states could have a significant and disproportionate impact on the real estate values serving as collateral for a substantial portion of the Company's real estate loans, including its construction/land development and non-farm/non-residential loans, which in turn could have an adverse effect on the Company's provision for loan and lease losses, and its financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The Company Could Experience Deficiencies in its Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses.

The Company maintains an allowance for loan and lease losses, established through a provision for loan and lease losses charged to expense, that represents the Company's best estimate of probable losses inherent in the existing loan and lease portfolio. Although the Company believes that it maintains its allowance for loan and lease losses at a level adequate to absorb losses in its loan and lease portfolio, estimates of loan and lease losses are subjective and their accuracy may depend on the outcome of future events. Experience in the banking industry indicates that some portion of the Company's loans and leases may only be partially repaid or may never be repaid at all. Loan and lease losses occur for many reasons beyond the control of the Company. Accordingly, the Company may be required to make significant and unanticipated increases in the allowance for loan and lease losses during future periods which could materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations and liquidity. Additionally, bank regulatory authorities, as an integral part of their supervisory functions, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan and lease losses or charge-offs required by bank regulatory authorities could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operation, results of operations and lease losses or charge-offs required by bank regulatory authorities could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, results of operations and lease losses or charge-offs required by bank regulatory authorities could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, results of operations and lease losses or charge-offs required by bank regulatory authorities could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The Performance of the Company's Investment Securities Portfolio is Subject to Fluctuation Due to Changes in Interest Rates and Market Conditions, Including Credit Deterioration of the Issuers of Individual Securities.

Changes in interest rates can negatively affect the performance of most of the Company's investment securities. Interest rate volatility can reduce unrealized gains or create unrealized losses in the Company's portfolio. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors including monetary policies, domestic and international economic and political issues, and other factors beyond the Company's control. Fluctuations in interest rates can materially affect both the returns on and market value of the Company's investment securities. Additionally, actual investment income and cash flows from investment securities that carry prepayment risk, such as mortgage-backed securities and callable securities, may materially differ from those anticipated at the time of investment or subsequently as a result of changes in interest rates and market conditions.

The Company's investment securities portfolio consists of a number of securities whose trading markets are "not active." As a result, management has had to develop internal models or other methodologies for pricing these securities that include various estimates and assumptions. There can be no assurance that the Company

could sell these investment securities at the price derived by the internal model or methodology, or that it could sell these investment securities at all, which could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operation or liquidity.

Many state and local governments and other political subdivisions have experienced deterioration of financial condition in recent years due to declining tax revenues, increased demand for services and various other factors. As a result many bonds issued by state and local governments and other political subdivisions have experienced, and are continuing to experience, pricing pressure. To the extent the Company has securities in its portfolio from issuers who have experienced a deterioration of financial condition, or who may experience future deterioration of financial condition, the value of such securities may decline and could result in an other-than-temporary impairment charge, which could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The Company's Recent Results May Not Be Indicative of its Future Results.

The Company may not be able to grow its business at the same rate of growth achieved in recent years or even grow its business at all. Additionally, in the future the Company may not have the benefit of several factors that have been favorable to the Company's business in past years, such as an interest rate environment where changes in rates occur at a relatively orderly and modest pace, the ability to find suitable expansion opportunities, including additional FDIC-assisted or traditional acquisitions, or otherwise to capitalize on opportunities presented by economic turbulence, or other factors and conditions. Numerous factors, such as weakening or deteriorating economic conditions, regulatory and legislative considerations, and competition may impede or restrict the Company's ability to expand its market presence and could adversely impact its future operating results.

The Company's FDIC Insurance Premiums May Increase.

The FDIC has increased premiums charged to all financial institutions for FDIC insurance protection during recent years and such premiums may increase further in future years. The Company has historically paid at or near the lowest applicable premium rate under the FDIC's insurance premium rate structure due to the Company's sound financial position. However, should bank failures increase, FDIC insurance premiums may increase and could have an adverse impact on the Company's results of operations.

To Successfully Continue its Growth and De Novo Branching Strategy, the Company Must Expand its Operations in Both New and Existing Markets.

The Company intends to continue the expansion and development of its business by pursuing its growth and *de novo* branching strategy. Accordingly, the Company's growth prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by financial institutions pursuing growth strategies. In order to successfully execute its growth strategy, the Company must, among other things:

- identify and expand into suitable markets;
- obtain regulatory and other approvals;
- identify and acquire suitable sites for new banking offices;
- attract and retain qualified bank management and staff;
- build a substantial customer base;
- maintain credit quality;
- · attract sufficient deposits to fund anticipated loan and lease growth; and
- maintain adequate common equity and regulatory capital.

In addition to the foregoing factors, there are considerable costs involved in opening banking offices, and such new offices generally do not generate sufficient revenues to offset their costs until they have been in

operation for some time. Therefore, any new banking offices the Company opens can be expected to negatively affect its operating results until those offices reach a size at which they become profitable. The Company could also experience an increase in expenses if it encounters delays in opening any new banking offices. Moreover, the Company cannot give any assurances that any new banking offices it opens will be successful, even after they have become established or that the Company can hire and retain qualified bank management and staff to achieve its growth goals. If the Company does not manage its growth effectively, the Company's business, future prospects, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity could be adversely affected.

The Company May Engage in Additional FDIC-Assisted Acquisitions, Which Could Present Additional Risks To Its Business.

Since 2010, the Company has successfully bid on and acquired, with FDIC assistance, substantially all the assets and liabilities of seven failed financial institutions in the Southeastern United States. The Company continues to evaluate additional FDIC-assisted failed bank acquisition opportunities as they arise from time to time, but the timing of these opportunities cannot be predicted by the Company. These acquisitions involve risks similar to acquiring existing banks even though the FDIC might provide assistance to mitigate certain risks such as sharing in loan losses and losses on other covered assets and providing indemnification against certain liabilities of the failed institution. However, because these acquisitions are for failed banks and are structured in a manner that does not allow the Company the time normally associated with preparing for and evaluating an acquisition (including preparing for integration of an acquired institution), the Company may face additional risks when it engages in FDIC-assisted acquisitions. The assets that the Company acquires in such an acquisition are generally more troubled than in a typical acquisition. The deposits that the Company assumes are generally higher priced than in a typical acquisition since key staff may have departed. Any inability to overcome these risks could have an adverse effect on the Company's ability to achieve its business objectives and maintain its market value and profitability.

The FDIC's approach to loss share has evolved over the last several years as the FDIC has reduced or, in certain cases, eliminated the indemnification provided to certain assets, group of assets or loan types. These changes to the indemnification protection increase the risk of loss to acquiring institutions in FDIC-assisted acquisitions. There can be no assurance that the FDIC will not further alter the indemnification protection or other terms of the loss share agreements in any future transactions, which could further increase the risks to the Company in the event it engages in any future FDIC-assisted acquisitions.

Moreover, if the Company seeks to participate in additional FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company can only participate in the bid process if it receives approval of bank regulators. There can be no assurance that the Company will be allowed to participate in the bid process, or what the terms of any such transaction might be or whether the Company would be successful in acquiring any bank or targeted assets. The Company may be required to raise additional capital as a condition to, or as a result of, participation in certain FDIC-assisted acquisitions. Any such transactions and related issuances of stock may have a dilutive effect on earnings per common share and share ownership.

Furthermore, to the extent the Company is allowed to, and chooses to, participate in future FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company may face competition from other financial institutions. To the extent that other competitors participate, the Company's ability to make acquisitions on favorable terms may be adversely affected. Additionally, if the Company acquires bank assets and operations through future FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company could encounter difficulties in achieving profitability of those operations.

Failure to Comply with the Terms of Loss Sharing Arrangements with the FDIC May Result in Significant Losses.

Any failure to comply with the terms of any loss share agreements Bank of the Ozarks has with the FDIC, or to properly service the loans and foreclosed assets covered by loss share agreements, may cause individual loans, large pools of loans or other covered assets to lose eligibility for reimbursement to the Company from the FDIC.

This could result in material losses that are currently not anticipated and could adversely affect the Company's financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

The Company May Engage in Additional Negotiated Transactions, Which May Present Special Risks Associated with Integration of Operations or Undiscovered Risks or Losses Associated with Targeted Banks.

In addition to the Company's historical growth strategy through *de novo* branching and FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company has pursued and may pursue additional negotiated transactions, apart from the FNB acquisition, with publicly owned or privately held banking institutions. Any future negotiated acquisitions the Company might make will be accompanied by the risks commonly encountered in such acquisitions. These risks include, among other things:

- credit risk associated with the acquired bank's loans and leases and investments;
- · difficulty of integrating operations and personnel; and
- potential disruption of the Company's ongoing business.

Competition for suitable acquisition candidates may continue to be significant in the negotiated acquisition area. The Company competes with other banks or financial service companies with similar acquisition strategies, many of which are larger and have greater financial and other resources. The Company cannot give any assurance that it will be able to successfully identify and acquire any additional acquisition targets on acceptable terms and conditions.

In most cases, negotiated acquisitions include the acquisition of all the target bank's assets and liabilities, including its loan and lease portfolio. While the Company is able to conduct more extensive due diligence investigations regarding any targeted bank in a negotiated transaction than in an FDIC-assisted transaction, there may be instances after closing of a negotiated transaction when, under normal operating procedures, the Company may find that there may be more losses or undisclosed liabilities with respect to the assets and liabilities of the target bank, and, with respect to its loan and lease portfolio, than were anticipated prior to the acquisition. For example, the ability of a borrower or lessee to repay a loan or lease may have become impaired or the quality of the value of the collateral securing the loan or lease may fall below the Company's collateral standards. One or more of these and other factors affecting asset values or loan and lease loss experience might cause the Company to have additional losses or liabilities or additional charge-offs, which could have a negative impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Systems Conversions of Acquired Banks in FDIC-Assisted Acquisitions or Negotiated Acquisitions May Be Difficult.

Subsequent to the acquisitions of banks acquired in FDIC-assisted transactions or in negotiated acquisitions, the various operating systems must be converted, in most cases, to the Company's existing operating systems. These systems conversions require personnel with unique and specialized skills and require a significant amount of planning, coordination and effort of internal resources and third-party vendors. Any inability of the Company to hire or retain individuals with the appropriate skills or to effectively plan, coordinate and manage these systems conversions or any failure to effectively implement these systems conversions could have serious negative customer impact, exposing the Company and Bank of the Ozarks to reputational risk and adversely impacting the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Volatility and Disruptions in the Functioning of the Financial Markets and Related Liquidity Issues Could Continue or Worsen.

The U.S. and global financial markets have experienced significant volatility and disruption in recent years. The impact of the recent financial crisis, together with public concerns regarding the strength of financial institutions, has led to both significant distress in financial markets and issues relating to liquidity among financial institutions. As a result of concerns about the stability of the financial markets generally, the

constriction in credit, the lack of public confidence in the financial sector, and the generally weak economic conditions, the Company can give no assurance that such circumstances will not have an adverse effect, which could be material, on its financial condition, results of operation and liquidity.

The Company Faces Strong Competition in the Markets in Which it Operates.

Competition in many of the Company's banking markets is intense. The Company competes with other financial and bank holding companies, state and national commercial banks, savings and loan associations, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerages, insurance companies, mortgage banking companies, leasing companies, money market mutual funds, asset-based non-bank lenders and other financial institutions and intermediaries, as well as non-financial institutions offering payroll, debit card and other services. Many of these competitors have an advantage over the Company through substantially greater financial resources, lending limits and larger distribution networks, and are able to offer a broader range of products and services. Other competitors, many of which are smaller than the Company, are privately held and thus benefit from greater flexibility in adopting or modifying growth or operational strategies than the Company. If the Company fails to compete effectively for deposit, loan, lease and other banking customers in the Company's markets, the Company could lose substantial market share, suffer a slower growth rate or no growth, and its financial condition, results of operations and liquidity could be adversely affected.

The Soundness of Other Financial Institutions Could Adversely Affect the Company.

The Company's ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and financial stability of other financial institutions. Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. The Company has exposure to various counterparties, including brokers and dealers, commercial and correspondent banks, and others. As a result, defaults by, or rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, may lead to further market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by such other institutions. Such occurrences could expose the Company to credit risk in the event of default of any of its counterparties and could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

The Company Depends on the Accuracy and Completeness of Information About Customers.

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into certain transactions, the Company relies on information furnished by or on behalf of customers, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. The Company may also rely on representations of those customers or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have an adverse impact on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Reputational Risk and Social Factors May Impact the Company's Results.

The Company's ability to originate and maintain accounts is highly dependent upon consumer and other external perceptions of its business practices and/or its financial health. Adverse perceptions regarding the Company's business practices and/or its financial health could damage its reputation, leading to difficulties in generating and maintaining accounts as well as in financing them. Adverse developments with respect to the consumer or other external perceptions regarding the practices of competitors, or the industry as a whole, may also adversely impact the Company's reputation. In addition, adverse reputational impacts on third parties with whom the Company has important relationships may also adversely impact the Company's reputation. Adverse impacts on the Company's reputation, or the reputation of the industry, may also result in greater regulatory and/or legislative scrutiny, which may lead to laws or regulations that may change or constrain the manner in which the Company engages with its customers and the products it offers. Adverse reputational impacts or events may also increase litigation risk. Any of these factors could have an adverse impact on the Company's ability to achieve its business objectives and/or its results of operations.

The Company May Be Subject to Claims and Litigation Asserting Lender Liability.

From time to time, and particularly during periods of economic stress, customers, including real estate developers, may make claims or otherwise take legal action pertaining to the Company's performance of its responsibilities. These claims are often referred to as "lender liability" claims and are sometimes brought in an effort to produce or increase leverage against the Company in workout negotiations or debt collection proceedings. Lender liability claims frequently assert one or more of the following: breach of fiduciary duties, fraud, economic duress, breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and similar claims. Whether customer claims and legal action related to the Company's performance of its responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Company, they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Company and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company May Be Subject to General Claims and Litigation Liability.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company may be named as defendant or may otherwise face claims or legal action, including class actions, from a variety of sources including, among others, customers; vendors; regulatory agencies; federal, state or local governments; or employees. Such claims or legal action may include, among others, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, discrimination, harassment, fraud and infringement of patents, copyrights or trademarks. Such claims or legal action may also make demands for substantial monetary damages and require substantial amounts of time and resources to defend. Should the Company be named as defendant or otherwise face such claims or legal actions, there can be no assurance that the Company would be successful in its defense against such actions, which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and liquidity. Additional information related to litigation is included in Note 23 to the Company's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, in Part I, Item 3 of the Company's most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2013, and in Part II, Item 1 of the Company's most recent quarterly report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 10, 2013.

The Company's Internal Operations are Subject to a Number of Risks.

The Company's internal operations are subject to certain risks, including, but not limited to, information system failures and errors, customer or employee fraud and catastrophic failures resulting from terrorist acts, data piracy or natural disasters. The Company maintains a system of internal controls and security to mitigate the risks of many of these occurrences and maintains insurance coverage for certain risks. However, should an event occur that is not prevented or detected by the Company's internal controls, and is uninsured or in excess of applicable insurance limits, it could have an adverse impact on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The financial services industry is undergoing rapid technological changes, with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The future success of the Company will depend, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands for convenience, as well as to create additional operational efficiencies and greater privacy and security protection for customers and their personal information. Many of the Company's competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. The Company may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to its customers. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have an adverse impact on the Company's business, financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

The computer systems and network infrastructure in use by the Company could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. The Company's operations are dependent upon the ability to protect its computer equipment against damage from fire, severe storm, power loss, telecommunications failure or a similar catastrophic event. Any damage or failure of the Company's computer systems or network infrastructure that causes an interruption in operations could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

In addition, the Company's operations are dependent upon its ability to protect the computer systems and network infrastructure against damage from physical break-ins, security breaches and other disruptive problems caused by Internet users or other users. Computer break-ins and other disruptions could jeopardize the security of information stored in and transmitted through the Company's computer systems and network, which may result in significant liability to the Company, as well as deter potential customers. Although the Company, with the help of third-party service providers, intends to continue to actively monitor and, where necessary, implement improved security technology and develop additional operational procedures to prevent damage or unauthorized access to its computer systems and network, there can be no assurance that these security measures or operational procedures will be successful. In addition, new developments or advances in computer capabilities or new discoveries in the field of cryptography could enable hackers to compromise or breach the security measures used by the Company to protect customer data. The Company's failure to maintain adequate security over its customers' personal and transactional information could expose the Company or Bank of the Ozarks to reputational risk and could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The Company Relies on Certain External Vendors.

The Company is reliant upon certain external vendors to provide products and services necessary to maintain its day-to-day operations. Accordingly, the Company's operations are exposed to risk that these vendors will not perform in accordance with applicable contractual arrangements or the service level agreements. The Company maintains a system of policies and procedures designed to monitor vendor risks including, among other things, (i) changes in the vendor's organizational structure, (ii) changes in the vendor's financial condition and (iii) changes in the vendor's support for existing products and services.

While the Company believes these policies and procedures help to mitigate risk, the failure of an external vendor to perform in accordance with applicable contractual arrangements or the service level agreements could be disruptive to the Company's operations, which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and its financial condition and results of operations.

The Company May Need to Raise Additional Capital in the Future to Continue to Grow, But That Capital May Not Be Available When Needed.

Federal and state bank regulators require the Company and Bank of the Ozarks to maintain adequate levels of capital to support operations. At March 31, 2013 the Company's and Bank of the Ozarks' regulatory capital ratios were at "well-capitalized" levels under bank regulatory guidelines. However, the Company's business strategy calls for the Company to continue to grow in its existing banking markets (internally through opening additional offices and by making additional FDIC-assisted and traditional acquisitions) and to expand into new markets as appropriate opportunities arise. Growth in assets at rates in excess of the rate at which the Company's capital is increased through retained earnings will reduce both the Company's and Bank of the Ozarks' capital ratios unless the Company and Bank of the Ozarks continue to increase capital. If the Company's or Bank of the Ozarks' capital ratios fell below "well-capitalized" levels, the FDIC deposit insurance assessment rate would increase until capital is restored and maintained at a "well-capitalized" level. Additionally, should the Company's or Bank of the Ozark's capital ratios fall below "well-capitalized" level. Additionally, should the Company's or Bank of the Ozark's capital ratios fall below "well-capitalized" level. Additionally, should the Company's or Bank of the Ozark's capital ratios fall below "well-capitalized" levels, certain funding sources could become more costly or could cease to be available to the Company until such time as capital is restored and maintained at a "well-capitalized" level. A higher assessment rate resulting in an increase in FDIC deposit insurance assessments, increased cost of funding or loss of funding sources could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

If, in the future, the Company needs to increase its capital to fund additional growth or satisfy regulatory requirements, its ability to raise that additional capital will depend on the Company's financial performance and on conditions at that time in the capital markets that are outside the Company's control. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to raise additional capital on terms favorable to it or at all. If the Company cannot raise additional capital when needed, the Company's ability to expand its operations through internal growth or to continue operations could be impaired.

The Company May Not Be Able to Meet the Cash Flow Requirements of its Depositors or the Cash Needs for Expansion and Other Corporate Activities.

Liquidity represents an institution's ability to provide funds to satisfy demands from depositors, borrowers and other creditors by either converting assets into cash or accessing new or existing sources of incremental funds. Liquidity risk arises from the possibility the Company may be unable to satisfy current or future funding requirements and needs. The ALCO and Investments Committee ("ALCO"), which reports to the board of directors, has primary responsibility for oversight of the Company's liquidity, funds management, asset/liability (interest rate risk) position and investment portfolio functions.

The objective of managing liquidity risk is to ensure the cash flow requirements resulting from depositor, borrower and other creditor demands are met, as well as operating cash needs, of the Company, and the cost of funding such requirements and needs is reasonable. The Company maintains a comprehensive interest rate risk, liquidity and funds management policy and a contingency funding plan that, among other things, include policies and procedures for managing liquidity risk. Generally the Company relies on deposits, repayments of loans, including covered loans and purchased non-covered loans, and leases, and repayments of its investment securities as its primary sources of funds. The principal deposit sources utilized by the Company include consumer, commercial and public funds customers in the Company's markets. The Company has used these funds, together with wholesale deposit sources such as brokered deposits, along with Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas ("FHLB-Dallas") advances, FRB borrowings, federal funds purchased and other sources of short-term borrowings, to make loans and leases, acquire investment securities and other assets and to fund continuing operations.

Deposit levels may be affected by a number of factors, including rates paid by competitors, general interest rate levels, returns available to customers on alternative investments, general economic and market conditions and other factors. Repayments of loans, including covered loans and purchased non-covered loans, and leases are a relatively stable source of funds but are subject to the borrowers' and lessees' ability to repay such loans and leases, which can be adversely affected by a number of factors including changes in general economic conditions, adverse trends or events affecting business industry groups or specific businesses, declines in real estate values or markets, business closings or lay-offs, inclement weather, natural disasters and other factors. Furthermore, loans, including covered loans and purchased non-covered loans, and leases generally are not readily convertible to cash. Accordingly, the Company may be required from time to time to rely on secondary sources of liquidity to meet loan, lease and deposit withdrawal demands or otherwise fund operations. Such secondary sources include FHLB-Dallas advances, secured and unsecured federal funds lines of credit from correspondent banks and FRB borrowings.

At March 31, 2013 the Company had substantial unused borrowing availability. This availability was primarily comprised of the following four options: (i) \$439 million of available blanket borrowing capacity with the FHLB-Dallas, (ii) \$212 million of investment securities available to pledge for federal funds or other borrowings, (iii) \$154 million of available unsecured federal funds borrowing lines and (iv) up to \$117 million of available borrowing capacity from borrowing programs of the FRB.

The Company anticipates it will continue to rely primarily on deposits, repayments of loans, including covered loans and purchased non-covered loans, and leases, and repayments of its investment securities to provide liquidity. Additionally, where necessary, the sources of borrowed funds described above will be used to augment the Company's primary funding sources. If the Company were unable to access any of these funding

sources when needed, it might be unable to meet customers' or creditors' needs, which could adversely impact the Company's financial condition, results of operations, and liquidity.

Natural Disasters May Adversely Affect the Company.

The Company's operations and customer base are located in markets where natural disasters, including tornadoes, severe storms, fires, floods, hurricanes and earthquakes often occur. Such natural disasters could significantly impact the local population and economies and the Company's business, and could pose physical risks to the Company's properties. Although the Company's business is geographically dispersed throughout Arkansas, Texas and the southeastern United States, a significant natural disaster in or near one or more of the Company's markets could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Risk of Pandemic.

In recent years the outbreak of a number of diseases including Avian Bird Flu, H1N1, and various other "super bugs" have increased the risk of a pandemic. Should a pandemic occur in one or more of the markets where the Company's operations are located, the Company could experience a loss of business, a shortage of employees, or various other adverse effects which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and its financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Associated With the Company's Industry

The Company is Subject to Extensive Government Regulation That Limits or Restricts its Activities and Could Adversely Impact its Operations.

The Company and Bank of the Ozarks operate in a highly regulated industry and are subject to examination, supervision and comprehensive regulation by various federal and state agencies. Compliance with these regulations is costly and restricts certain activities, including payment of dividends, mergers and acquisitions, investments, interest rates charged for loans and leases, interest rates paid on deposits, locations of banking offices and various other activities and aspects of the

Company's and Bank of the Ozarks' operations. The Company and Bank of the Ozarks are also subject to capital guidelines established by regulators which require maintenance of adequate capital. Many of these regulations are intended to protect depositors, the public and the FDIC's deposit insurance fund rather than shareholders.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules and regulations issued by the SEC and NASDAQ, as well as numerous other regulations, including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act") and regulations promulgated thereunder, have increased the scope, complexity and cost of corporate governance, reporting and disclosure practices, including the costs of completing the Company's external audit and maintaining its internal controls.

Government regulation greatly affects the business and financial results of all commercial banks and bank holding companies, and increases the cost to the Company of complying with regulatory requirements. Additionally, the failure to comply with these various rules and regulations could subject the Company or Bank of the Ozarks to monetary penalties or sanctions or otherwise expose the Company or Bank of the Ozarks to reputational risk and could adversely affect its results of operations.

Newly Enacted and Proposed Legislation and Regulations May Affect the Company's Operations and Growth.

To address the continuing turbulence in the U.S. economy and the banking and financial markets, the U.S. government has recently enacted a series of laws, regulations, guidelines and programs.

Because of the recency and speed with which these and other regulatory measures have been enacted, the Company and Bank of the Ozarks are continuing to assess the impact of such regulatory measures on their business, financial condition, capital adequacy, results of operations and liquidity. Additionally, in the routine course of regulatory oversight, proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the operations and taxation of, and federal insurance premiums paid by, banks and other financial institutions and companies that control financial institutions are frequently raised in the U.S. Congress, state legislatures and before bank regulatory authorities.

The likelihood of significant changes in laws and regulations in the future and the impact that such changes might have on the Company or Bank of the Ozarks are impossible to determine. Similarly, proposals to change the accounting, financial reporting, income tax regulations and regulatory capital requirements applicable to banks and other depository institutions are frequently raised by the SEC, the federal banking agencies, the Internal Revenue Service and other authorities. Further, federal intervention in financial markets and the commensurate impact on financial institutions may adversely affect the Company's or Bank of the Ozarks' rights under contracts with such other institutions and the way in which the Company conducts business in certain markets. The likelihood and impact of any future changes in these accounting, financial reporting and regulatory capital requirements and the impact these changes might have on the Company or Bank of the Ozarks are also impossible to determine at this time.

There Can Be No Assurance that Enacted Legislation or Any Proposed Federal Programs Will Stabilize the U.S. Financial System and Such Legislation and Programs May Adversely Affect the Company.

Several federal acts, programs and guidelines have been either signed into law or promulgated by Congress, the U.S. Department of the Treasury or the FDIC in recent years and additional laws, regulations, programs and guidance are likely to continue to be enacted in the future. There can be no assurance, however, as to the actual impact that these acts, regulations, programs and guidelines or any other governmental program will have on the financial markets. The lack of stable financial markets or a worsening of current financial market conditions could materially and adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, and access to credit or the trading price of its common stock.

The Earnings of Financial Services Companies are Significantly Affected by General Business and Economic Conditions.

The Company's operations and profitability are impacted by general business and economic conditions in the United States and abroad. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, money supply, political issues, legislative and regulatory changes, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, broad trends in industry and finance and the strength of the U.S. economy and the local economies in which the Company operates, all of which are beyond its control. Deterioration in economic conditions could result in an increase in loan and lease delinquencies and non-performing assets, decreases in loan and lease collateral values and a decrease in demand for products and services, among other things, any of which could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Consumers May Decide Not to Use Local Banks to Complete their Financial Transactions.

Technology and other changes are allowing parties to complete, through alternative methods, financial transactions that historically have involved banks. For example, consumers can now maintain funds that would have historically been held as local bank deposits in brokerage accounts, mutual funds with an Internet-only bank, or with virtually any bank in the country through on-line banking. Consumers can also complete transactions such as purchasing goods and services, paying bills and/or transferring funds directly without the assistance of banks. The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries could result in the loss of fee income, as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. The loss of these revenue streams and the lower-cost deposits as a source of funds could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Risks Associated With the Company's Common Stock

The Company's Common Stock Price is Affected by a Variety of Factors, Many of Which are Outside its Control.

Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for investors to resell shares of the Company's common stock at times and prices they find attractive. The Company's common stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors, including, among other things:

- actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations;
- · recommendations or changes in recommendations by securities analysts;
- operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to the Company;
- news reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry;
- perceptions in the marketplace regarding the Company and/or its competitors;
- new technology used, or services offered, by competitors;
- significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, or capital commitments by or involving the Company or its competitors; and
- changes in governmental regulations.

General market fluctuations, industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events such as economic slowdowns, interest rate changes, credit loss trends and various other factors and events could adversely impact the price of the Company's common stock.

The Company Cannot Guarantee That It Will Pay Dividends to Common Shareholders in the Future.

The Company's principal business operations are conducted through Bank of the Ozarks. Cash available to pay dividends to the Company's common shareholders is derived primarily, if not entirely, from dividends paid by Bank of the Ozarks. The ability of Bank of the Ozarks to pay dividends, as well as the Company's ability to pay dividends to its common shareholders, will continue to be subject to and limited by the results of operations of Bank of the Ozarks and by certain legal and regulatory restrictions.

Further, any lenders making loans to the Company or Bank of the Ozarks may impose financial covenants that may be more restrictive than regulatory requirements with respect to the Company's payment of dividends to common shareholders. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the Company will continue to pay dividends to its common shareholders in the future.

Certain State and/or Federal Laws May Deter Potential Acquirors and May Depress the Company's Stock Price.

Certain provisions of federal and state laws may have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or of discouraging a third party from attempting to acquire, control of the Company. Under certain federal and state laws, a person, entity, or group must give notice to applicable regulatory authorities before acquiring a significant amount, as defined by such laws, of the outstanding voting stock of a bank holding company, including the Company's common stock. Regulatory authorities review the potential acquisition to determine if it will result in a change of control. The applicable regulatory authorities will then act on the notice, taking into account the resources of the potential acquiror, the potential antitrust effects of the proposed acquisition and numerous other factors. As a result, these statutory provisions may delay, defer or prevent a tender offer or takeover attempt that a shareholder might consider to be in such shareholder's best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the shares held by shareholders.

The Holders of the Company's Subordinated Debentures Have Rights That are Senior to Those of the Company's Common Shareholders.

At March 31, 2013 the Company had an aggregate of \$64.9 million of floating rate subordinated debentures and related trust preferred securities outstanding. The Company guarantees payment of the principal and interest on the trust preferred securities, and the subordinated debentures are senior to shares of the Company's common stock. As a result, the Company must make payments on the subordinated debentures (and the related trust preferred securities) before any dividends can be paid on its common stock and, in the event of bankruptcy, dissolution or liquidation, the holders of the subordinated debentures must be satisfied before any distributions can be made to the holders of common stock. The Company has the right to defer distributions on its subordinated debentures and the related trust preferred securities for up to five years, during which time no dividends may be paid to holders of its common stock.

The Company's Directors and Executive Officers Own a Significant Portion of Company Common Stock.

The Company's directors and executive officers, as a group, beneficially owned approximately 12.5% of its common stock as of February 19, 2013. As a result of their aggregate beneficial ownership, directors and executive officers have the ability, by voting their shares in concert, to influence the outcome of matters submitted to the Company's shareholders for approval, including the election of its directors.

The Company's Common Stock Trading Volume May Not Provide Adequate Liquidity for Investors.

Although shares of the Company's common stock are listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market, the average daily trading volume in the common stock is less than that of many larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of a sufficient number of willing buyers and sellers of the common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which the Company has no control. Given the daily average trading volume of the Company's common stock, significant sales of the common stock in a brief period of time, or the expectation of these sales, could cause a decline in the price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's Common Stock is Not an Insured Deposit.

The Company's common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, losses in its value are not insured by the FDIC, any other deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in the Company's common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this "Risk Factors" section, and is subject to the same market forces and investment risks that affect the price of common stock in any other company, including the possible loss of some or all principal invested.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

FNB is mailing this proxy statement/prospectus to you, as a FNB shareholder, on or about, 2013. With this document,FNB is sending you a notice of the FNB special meeting of shareholders and a form of proxy that is solicited by the FNB board of, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., eastern time, at the main office of FNB located at 106South Lafayette Street, Shelby, North Carolina 28150.

Matters to be Considered

The purpose of the special meeting of shareholders is to vote on a proposal to approve the merger agreement and the transactions it contemplates. You will also be asked to vote upon a proposal to adjourn or postpone the special meeting of shareholders, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies to approve the merger agreement.

Proxy Card, Revocation of Proxy

You should complete and return the proxy card accompanying this document to ensure that your vote is counted at the special meeting of shareholders, regardless of whether you plan to attend. You can revoke your proxy at any time before the vote is taken at the special meeting by:

- submitting written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of FNB;
- submitting a properly executed proxy bearing a later date before the special meeting of shareholders; or
- voting in person at the special meeting of shareholders. However, simply attending the special meeting without voting will not revoke an earlier proxy.

If your shares are held in street name, you should follow the instructions you receive from your broker in order to direct your broker how to vote and you should also follow the instructions of your broker regarding revocation of proxies.

All shares represented by valid proxies that are not revoked will be voted in accordance with your instructions on the proxy card. If you sign your proxy card, but make no specification on the card as to how you want your shares voted, your proxy card will be voted "FOR" approval of the merger agreement and "FOR" approval of any proposal by management to adjourn the special meeting if necessary to solicit additional proxies. The board of directors is presently unaware of any other matter that may be presented for action at the special meeting of shareholders. If any other matter does properly come before the special meeting, the board of directors intends that shares represented by properly submitted proxies will be voted, or not voted, by and at the discretion of the persons named as proxies on the proxy card.

Solicitation of Proxies

The cost of solicitation of proxies will be borne by FNB. FNB will reimburse brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred by them in sending proxy materials to the beneficial owners of common stock. In addition to solicitations by mail, directors, officers and regular employees of FNB may solicit proxies personally or by telephone without additional compensation.

Record Date

The close of business on , 2013 has been fixed as the record date for determining the FNB shareholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the special meeting of shareholders. At that time, 400,000 shares of FNB common stock were outstanding, and were held by approximately 471 holders of record.

Voting Rights, Quorum Requirements and Vote Required

The presence, in person or by properly executed proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding capital stock of FNB is necessary to constitute a quorum at the special meeting of shareholders. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present but will not be counted as votes cast either for or against the merger agreement.

Approval of the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of FNB common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting. Holders of FNB common stock on the record date are entitled to vote at the special meeting. Because the required vote is based on the outstanding shares, an abstention will have the same effect as a vote against the merger agreement. As of the record date, directors, officers and affiliates of FNB beneficially owned an aggregate of 180,640 shares of FNB common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting of shareholders. This represents approximately 45.16% of the total votes entitled to be cast at the special meeting. Of this number, certain directors, officers and other affiliates, collectively representing an aggregate of 175,140 shares, or approximately 43.79% of the outstanding FNB common stock, have agreed, solely in their capacity as record and/or beneficial owners of FNB common stock, to vote "FOR" adoption of the merger agreement.

Approval of any proposal to adjourn or postpone the special meeting, if necessary, for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies, requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares of FNB common stock that are voted, either in person or by proxy, at the special meeting.

Conflicts of Interest

An aggregate of 169,634 shares, or approximately 42.41% of the outstanding FNB common stock, is owned of record by FNB in its capacity as (i) trustee of a number of family or private trusts established by the settlors of such trusts over a number of years for the benefit of certain FNB shareholders, and maintained by FNB as trustee in the ordinary course of business or (ii) executor of various estates that beneficially own shares of FNB common stock. The provisions of many of these trusts and estates do not specify how the shares of FNB common stock are to be voted by the trustee or executor, as applicable. Under the Uniform Probate Code as enacted in North Carolina, by which law most of the trusts are governed, a sale, encumbrance, or other transaction involving the investment or management of trust property entered into by the trustee for the trustee's own personal account, or that is otherwise affected by a conflict between the trustee's fiduciary and personal interests, is voidable by a beneficiary affected by the transaction, without regard to whether the transaction is fair to the beneficiary, unless certain conditions are met. These conditions include, among others, that the terms of the trust authorize the transaction, the beneficiary approves of such action, the settlor or grantor of a trust directs the specific action of the trustee, or a court approves the transaction. As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, FNB has taken action to satisfy such conditions with respect to shares of FNB common stock, or approximately % of the outstanding FNB common stock, for the trusts holding an aggregate of benefit of certain directors, officers and other affiliates of FNB, by obtaining the requisite directions or, where appropriate, permission from the various trusts' settlors or beneficiaries, as applicable, to vote the FNB shares at the meeting in accordance with voting agreements previously executed by such affiliates, or where otherwise permitted by the terms of the trusts or North Carolina law, to authorize such beneficiaries to vote the shares on behalf of such trusts. With respect to the remaining trusts and estates holding an aggregate of

FNB shares, or approximately % of the outstanding FNB common stock, FNB expects to either seek the requisite permission from the trusts' or estates' beneficiaries for FNB to vote the FNB shares at the meeting, or where permitted, to obtain directions from such beneficiaries as to the voting of such shares held by FNB as trustee. There can be no assurance that such permission or directions will be obtained in a timely manner, and with respect to any trust or estate as to which the requisite permission or direction is not obtained prior to the shareholders' meeting, FNB plans to abstain from voting FNB shares at such meeting.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The FNB board of directors has unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The board of directors believes that the merger agreement is fair to FNB shareholders and is in the best interest of FNB and its shareholders and recommends that you vote "FOR" the approval of the merger agreement. See "Approval of Merger – FNB Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the FNB Board of Directors" on page 55. The board of directors also recommends that you vote "FOR" approval of a proposal to adjourn or postpone the special meeting if necessary to solicit additional proxies to approve the merger agreement.

APPROVAL OF THE MERGER

The description of the merger and the merger agreement contained in this proxy statement/prospectus describes what we believe are the material terms of the merger agreement. This summary description, however, is qualified in its entirety by reference to the merger agreement, which is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as Appendix A and incorporated herein by reference.

General

The merger agreement provides for the merger of FNB with and into Bank of the Ozarks, with Bank of the Ozarks being the surviving bank. If the shareholders of FNB approve the merger agreement at the special meeting, and if the required regulatory approvals are obtained and the other conditions to the parties' obligations to effect the merger are met or waived (to the extent permitted by law), we anticipate that the merger will be completed in the third quarter of 2013, although delays could occur. As a result of the merger, holders of FNB common stock will be entitled to receive the cash consideration, the stock consideration, or a combination of the cash consideration and the stock consideration, plus cash in lieu of any fractional share interest, and such holders will no longer be owners of FNB common stock. As a result of the merger, certificates for FNB common stock will only represent the right to receive the merger consideration pursuant to the merger agreement, and otherwise will be null and void after completion of the merger.

The Parties

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc.

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc., an Arkansas corporation, is the bank holding company for its wholly owned subsidiary, Bank of the Ozarks, an Arkansas state banking corporation. The Company and Bank of the Ozarks are both headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas. The principal business of the Company is conducted through Bank of the Ozarks, which operates full service bank branch offices in its market areas throughout Arkansas, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Texas. Bank of the Ozarks provides a variety of financial services to individuals and businesses throughout its service area. Primary deposit products are checking, savings and certificate of deposit accounts and primary lending products are consumer, commercial and mortgage loans. The Company's common stock trades on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol "OZRK." At March 31, 2013, the Company had consolidated total assets of approximately \$3.95 billion, total deposits of approximately \$2.99 billion and total common stockholders' equity of approximately \$524 million.

The First National Bank of Shelby

The First National Bank of Shelby is a national banking association headquartered in Shelby, North Carolina. FNB operates 14 bank branches in Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln and Rutherford Counties in North Carolina. As of March 31, 2013, FNB had consolidated total assets of approximately \$716 million, total deposits of approximately \$608 million and total common stockholders' equity of approximately \$85.8 million.

Purchase Price; Merger Consideration

The aggregate purchase price for the merger, which we also refer to as the aggregate or total "merger consideration," is \$64,000,000, subject to possible price adjustments. You should read "Purchase Price Adjustments" in the following subsection for a more complete description of the possible price adjustments to the aggregate purchase price. The merger agreement provides that each share of FNB common stock (other than treasury shares, shares owned by the Company or by any person who has perfected appraisal rights with respect to shares of FNB common stock) will be converted on the closing date of the merger into the right to receive the merger consideration. The merger consideration for each share of FNB common stock (minus certain adjustments to the purchase price, if applicable) is:

• cash in an amount equal to \$160.00, or

 a number of shares of Company common stock that is equal to (i) \$160.00, divided by (ii) the average closing sale price of Company common stock for the ten consecutive trading days ending on the fifth business day preceding the closing date of the merger (with a maximum closing sale price to be determined for exchange ratio purposes at no more than \$44.20 per share and no less than \$27.00 per share), plus cash in lieu of any fractional share of Company common stock.

Subject to the allocation procedures described below under "Allocation," on page 49, a holder of FNB common stock may elect to receive the stock consideration described above or the cash consideration described above, for each share of FNB common stock that such shareholder owns. FNB shareholders will not receive any fractional shares of Company common stock in connection with the merger. Instead, each shareholder who receives Company common stock in the merger will be paid cash in an amount equal to the fraction of a share of Company common stock otherwise issuable upon conversion, multiplied by the average closing price per share of Company common stock, determined as indicated above. Additionally, if a FNB shareholder wholly or partially elects to receive stock consideration and such election would result in the delivery of less than ten (10) whole shares of Company common stock, then in accordance with the merger agreement, such shareholder will not receive any stock consideration and will instead receive cash consideration in exchange for all of such shareholder's shares of FNB common stock.

Purchase Price Adjustments

The aggregate purchase price, or merger consideration, may be adjusted downward, on a dollar for dollar basis, if FNB's closing consolidated net book value is less than \$96,000,000. FNB's closing consolidated net book value will be calculated as FNB's unaudited consolidated net tangible shareholders' equity determined in accordance with GAAP as of the end of the month prior to the closing of the merger, except that the following amounts will be added back to the closing consolidated net book value before determining whether a purchase price adjustment is required: (i) the amount of any deferred tax asset valuation allowance; (ii) the amount of prepayment penalties or unwind costs on prepayment of any advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB-Atlanta") and certain structured repurchase agreements and derivative transactions, net of any tax benefit recorded on FNB's financial statement in connection with such prepayment penalties and unwind costs; and (iii) the amount of any other accruals, reserves or provisions, expenses or charges taken or incurred by FNB that the Company and FNB agree are appropriate under the circumstances. As of April 30, 2013, although FNB's unaudited consolidated net tangible shareholders' equity was \$87,424,952, the "added back" items described in (i) and (ii) in the preceding sentence amounted to, in the aggregate, approximately \$14 million, which includes (i) approximately \$7.2 million for the deferred tax asset valuation allowance and (ii) approximately \$6.8 million for the prepayment penalties and unwind costs on prepayment of FHLB advances, structured repurchase agreements, and interest rate swap transactions, net of tax benefit. As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, FNB's consolidated net book value, calculated in accordance with the above formula, continues to exceed \$96,000,000, and if the closing of the merger were to occur on the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, no adjustment to the purchase price would be made based on this calculation.

Apart from the possible adjustments summarized in the preceding paragraph, the value of the aggregate merger consideration could also be higher or lower than \$64,000,000, depending on whether the average closing price of Company common stock to be used in determining the exchange ratio is higher than \$44.20 per share, in which case FNB shareholders receiving Company stock as part or all of the merger consideration would receive more shares than they otherwise would (without an offsetting decrease in any cash consideration they may receive in the merger) if there were no cap on the average closing price used in determining the exchange ratio. Conversely, if the average closing price of Company common stock is lower than \$27.00 per share, FNB shareholders receiving Company stock as part or all of the merger consideration would receive fewer shares than they otherwise would (without any offsetting increase in any cash consideration they may receive in the merger) if there were no floor on the average closing price used in determining the exchange ratio.

Election and Election Procedures

After the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus, the exchange agent, Bank of the Ozarks Trust and Wealth Management Division, will cause an election form and letter of transmittal to be mailed or otherwise delivered to each holder of record of FNB common stock. The election form and letter of transmittal will allow each holder of record of FNB common stock to elect to receive Company common stock, cash, or a combination of Company common stock and cash.

In order to make a proper election, the holder must complete the election form and letter of transmittal and return it, along with such holder's certificate(s) of FNB common stock, to the exchange agent by the date indicated in the election form and letter of transmittal. Failure to properly complete or timely return the election form and letter of transmittal will result in the shares of such holder being deemed non-election shares, with the effect that the exchange agent will allocate the mix of Company common stock and cash constituting the merger consideration to such shareholder in accordance with the allocation procedures in the merger agreement, as summarized below under "Allocation." Holders of record of shares of FNB common stock who hold such shares in a representative capacity (for example, as nominee or trustee) may submit multiple forms of election, provided that such nominee or representative certifies that each election form covers all of the shares of FNB common stock held for a particular beneficial owner by the nominee or representative.

The Company will have the discretion, which it may delegate in whole or in part to the exchange agent, to determine whether election forms have been properly completed, signed and submitted and to disregard immaterial defects in election forms. The good faith decision of the Company or the exchange agent in such matters will be conclusive and binding. Neither the Company nor the exchange agent will be under any obligation to notify any person of any defect in an election form.

Neither the FNB board of directors nor its financial advisor makes any recommendation as to whether shareholders should elect to receive the stock consideration or the cash consideration in the merger, or a combination of the two. Each FNB shareholder must make their own decision with respect to such election, bearing in mind the tax consequences of the election they choose.

All elections will be subject to the allocation and proration provisions of the merger agreement, which are described immediately below.

Allocation

Under the merger agreement, the total merger consideration to be paid by the Company must be comprised of at least 51% in shares of Company common stock and no more than 49% in cash, which we refer to as the "minimum stock consideration requirement." If, after the election forms are tallied, the aggregate elections made by FNB shareholders would result in an oversubscription for cash, then the exchange agent will allocate the amount of stock and cash to be issued in the merger as necessary and described below, to meet the minimum stock consideration requirement. In the following description of the allocation procedures, when we refer to "stock election shares" we are referring to the shares of FNB common stock for which an election to receive Company common stock was properly made. When we refer to "cash election shares" we are referring to the shares of FNB common stock for which an election to receive cash was properly made. When we refer to "non-election shares" we are referring to the shares of FNB common stock for which dissenters' rights have been exercised. When we refer to dissenting shares, we are referring to those shares of FNB common stock for which dissenters' rights have been properly exercised under the National Bank Act.

In the event that the number of cash election shares plus the number of dissenting shares exceeds 49% of the outstanding shares of FNB common stock (*i.e.*, 196,000 shares):

 each stock election share and each non-election share will be converted into the right to receive Company common stock (subject to the payment in cash in lieu of fractional shares and individual stock consideration that would otherwise result in the delivery of less than ten (10) whole shares of Company common stock, described above); and

• each cash election share shall, on a pro rata basis with all other cash election shares, be converted into the right to receive an amount of cash and Company common stock that is necessary to meet the minimum stock consideration requirement.

In the event that the number of cash election shares plus the number of dissenting shares is less than or equal to 49% of the outstanding shares of FNB common stock, then no allocation will be necessary and all cash election shares will be converted into the right to receive cash consideration and all stock election shares and non-election shares will be converted into the right to receive Company common stock (subject to the payment in cash in lieu of fractional shares and individual stock consideration that would otherwise result in the delivery of less than ten (10) whole shares of Company common stock, described above).

Procedure for Exchanging Certificates

Prior to the effective date of the merger, the Company will deposit with the exchange agent cash representing the aggregate cash consideration, certificates representing the aggregate stock consideration, and the estimated amount of cash to be paid in lieu of fractional shares of Company common stock. The exchange agent will facilitate the payment of the merger consideration to the holders of certificates representing shares of FNB common stock.

On the effective date of the merger, each FNB shareholder will cease to have any rights as a shareholder of FNB, and his or her sole rights will be to receive, as applicable, cash consideration, stock consideration, and cash in lieu of any fractional shares into which his or her shares of FNB common stock have been converted pursuant to the merger agreement.

Shareholders are requested not to send in their FNB common stock certificates until they have received their election form and letter of transmittal and further written instructions from the exchange agent. After receipt of a properly completed election form and letter of transmittal accompanied by the appropriate FNB common stock certificates and following the effective date of the merger, the exchange agent will send as promptly as practicable to the former holders of FNB common stock the cash consideration, the stock consideration and cash payments for fractional shares.

After the effective time of the merger, each certificate formerly representing FNB common stock, until so surrendered and exchanged, will evidence only the right to receive, without interest, the merger consideration, including, to the extent any such FNB common stock is allocated stock consideration in the merger, any dividend or other distribution with respect to Company common stock with a record date after the effective time of the merger.

If your FNB stock certificates have been lost, stolen or destroyed, you will have to prove your ownership of these certificates and that they were lost, stolen or destroyed before you receive any consideration for your shares. The exchange agent will send you instructions on how to provide evidence of ownership. You may be required to make an affidavit and post a bond in an amount sufficient to protect FNB, the exchange agent and the Company against subsequent claims related to your common stock.

Background of the Merger

FNB, which was founded in 1874, has used an emphasis on community involvement, local ownership, and a focus on customers and employees to develop a strong reputation as an independent, hometown community bank in Shelby, North Carolina and its surrounding communities. By December 31, 2007, FNB had grown to \$945.4 million in total assets and \$530.0 million in net loans, operating in 14 offices over four counties. Over the past five years, however, FNB, like many other financial institutions in the North Carolina market, has been adversely affected by the general economic deterioration and downturn in real estate values that has occurred throughout the country, especially so in FNB's home markets of Western North Carolina. These market disruptions and declining asset quality resulted in core operating losses of \$6.1 million and additional losses for

write-downs of goodwill and establishing a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets, for total losses of \$16.0 million from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2012. In addition, classified assets increased as borrowers struggled through a protracted recession. In June 2011, FNB entered into a formal agreement (the "Formal Agreement") with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") which, among other things, required FNB to implement a capital plan and to maintain capital ratios in excess of the minimum thresholds required to be well capitalized.

FNB has responded to the economic downturn by undertaking numerous efforts to reduce problem asset levels, preserve its capital, and stabilize earnings, including, without limitation, focusing on operating efficiencies, suspending dividend payments on its common stock beginning in 2010, and reducing the size of its loan portfolio to \$459.1 million in net loans and its total assets to \$853.8 million at December 31, 2012. As a result of these efforts, classified assets were reduced by over \$34 million, or 30%, for the two-year period ended December 31, 2012. Although FNB believes it is currently in compliance with a majority of the articles in the Formal Agreement with the OCC, it is likely that FNB will remain under the Formal Agreement until nonperforming assets are no longer a risk to capital. The material terms of the Formal Agreement are more fully described elsewhere herein, beginning on page 141.

In early 2012, FNB also began developing a new long-term strategic plan. As part of the planning process for this new strategic plan, the board of directors noted an increasing level of competition and increasing regulatory costs for community banks in the post-recession environment, the restrictions on growth and higher regulatory burden applicable specifically to FNB as a result of the Formal Agreement with the OCC, and the effect of a slower economic recovery in FNB's market areas than in larger metropolitan markets such as Charlotte, North Carolina. Although FNB's board of directors believed at that time that its shareholders, customers, and employees were best served by FNB remaining an independent financial institution, in conjunction with the development of this plan, FNB also began to review other strategic options available to FNB, including, among other things, acquisitions of other institutions or a merger with another institution.

In August 2012, FNB formally engaged Sandler O'Neill & Partners L.P. ("Sandler O'Neill") to serve as its financial advisor to assist in the development of the new strategic plan, and to help FNB analyze other potential strategic opportunities. FNB chose Sandler O'Neill because of its knowledge of and experience with community banks, particularly in North Carolina. In late August 2012, FNB's executive committee met with Sandler O'Neill to review various strategic options available to FNB, including (1) remaining independent and focusing on improving operating metrics and profitability within FNB's current markets; (2) undertaking a strategy of regional growth (after being released from the Formal Agreement with the OCC) into attractive markets through whole bank, FDIC-assisted, or branch acquisitions that are relatively small in size; (3) selling assets and raising capital to enhance FNB's regulatory standing and ability to execute its business plan; (4) undertaking a merger of equals with a similar-sized partner to provide access to new markets, increased scale, and increased operating efficiency; and (5) merging with a strategic partner.

FNB's executive committee reviewed with Sandler O'Neill the pro forma effect of these various strategies on earnings per share, book value per share, return on equity, and other pertinent ratios, and also compared quantitative measures of FNB's performance with those of other financial institutions operating in North Carolina markets. In addition, FNB's executive committee analyzed, with Sandler O'Neill's assistance, the prices FNB likely would receive in a merger or acquisition transaction and compared those prices to the present values of the future returns to shareholders of each of the alternative strategies, including remaining independent.

At the conclusion of this August 2012 meeting, FNB's executive committee asked Sandler O'Neill to reach out to a limited group of regional financial institutions to seek a preliminary determination of their interest in FNB as a strategic merger partner. Sandler O'Neill then reached out to three potential regional partners that Sandler O'Neill determined would be most likely to have an interest in a strategic partnership and which FNB's executive committee believed would be most likely to share FNB's emphasis on community involvement and focus on customers and employees. In September 2012, FNB's executive committee, together with Sandler

O'Neill, met with three of these potential merger partners to share information about FNB and to learn more about the culture of the other financial institutions.

Following these meetings, FNB's executive committee asked Sandler O'Neill to continue the process by reaching out to a larger group of regional financial institutions. FNB's executive committee based this decision on its belief that the level of FNB's competition would increase significantly as other financial institutions continued to grow and eventually expand into FNB's markets. FNB's response to this increased competition would be limited while it remained subject to the OCC Formal Agreement. Sandler O'Neill then distributed confidential information memoranda on the business and financial condition of FNB to ten potential strategic partners, including the Company, each of which had previously executed a confidentiality agreement.

On October 11, 2012, Helen Jeffords, FNB's Chief Executive Officer, together with legal counsel from Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP ("Nelson Mullins"), counsel to FNB, met in Charlotte with representatives from the OCC's Carolina Field Office to discuss FNB's progress under the Formal Agreement. Although the feedback from the OCC was positive, the OCC representative indicated that FNB would likely remain under the Formal Agreement until the nonperforming assets were no longer a risk to capital.

On October 16, 2012, FNB's board of directors met to discuss the strategic alternatives that the executive committee had been studying and to review feedback from the OCC meeting. The board of directors also received a report on the economy and the outlook for the North Carolina market from an economist retained by the board, a report from Sandler O'Neill on the various strategic alternatives previously analyzed by the executive committee, and a report from Nelson Mullins regarding the legal standards and fiduciary duties applicable to dealing with acquisition offers, factors to consider when evaluating offers, actions that could be taken when responding to offers, and legal considerations related to maintaining the confidentiality of any potential transaction being considered by the board of directors. The board held an extensive discussion regarding each of its strategic alternatives, including the regulatory challenges affecting its ability to implement FNB's stand-alone strategic plan. At the conclusion of this meeting, the board of directors advised Sandler O'Neill to continue with the search for a potential strategic partner. At the board's request, Sandler O'Neill also expanded its search to include several larger financial institutions.

Seven potential partners expressed a desire to move forward in discussions with FNB and held on-site meetings with FNB's management. The on-site meeting with the Company was held on October 25, 2012 with Mr. Dennis James, the Director of Mergers and Acquisitions of the Company. Mr. James made a presentation to FNB's Chief Executive Officer and executive management about the Company and its business operations, describing how a potential merger of their organizations might be structured. Mr. James conducted limited due diligence at this meeting. However, no merger offer was extended at this point, as the Company expressed a desire to conduct a more detailed due diligence review of FNB. Beginning on November 3, 2012, the Company began performing more detailed due diligence activities regarding FNB, primarily through document sharing and discussions between FNB management and Company management, and these activities continued until the signing of the merger agreement.

In mid-November 2012, four of the seven potential partners who met with FNB's management, including the Company, submitted non-binding indications of interest to acquire FNB, subject to completion of full due diligence. The indication of interest from the Company indicated a purchase price of between \$65 million and \$75 million, subject to further due diligence. On November 14, 2012, at a special meeting of FNB's executive committee, Sandler O'Neill reviewed the terms of the four proposals, including the benefits and drawbacks of each, and provided the board with an update on the status of the banking industry generally and the merger and capital markets for community banks. After a thorough discussion, FNB's executive committee and management concluded that the Company's proposal was superior to the other proposals, primarily because (i) the merger consideration was higher than the other proposals; (ii) the mix of consideration of at least 51% stock would provide FNB shareholders with liquidity and potential further growth in their investment; (iii) the on-site due diligence period was significantly more limited than that proposed by other potential partners; (iv) there were no overlapping markets between FNB and the Company, so that more FNB employees would have a better chance

for continued employment for the foreseeable future; (v) in FNB's executive committee's opinion, the Company had the strongest financial condition and best cultural fit of the four potential partners; and (vi) the Company could offer more products and services to FNB customers than the other potential partners, given its size and operating history. At the conclusion of this meeting, the executive committee asked Sandler O'Neill to arrange an introduction of the full board of directors to both Mr. James and Mr. George Gleason, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

On November 20, 2012, Mr. Gleason and Mr. James met with FNB's board of directors. Representatives of Sandler O'Neill and Nelson Mullins were also present at the meeting. Mr. Gleason described the history and culture of the Company and answered questions from the FNB board of directors. After Mr. Gleason and Mr. James left the meeting, the FNB board of directors held a thorough discussion and ultimately agreed to give the Company a 30-day exclusivity period in which to conduct further due diligence.

Following this meeting, in late November 2012 the Company performed extensive on-site due diligence on FNB's operations and financial condition. During this time the Company also expressed its desire to purchase the main office location and some of the branch sites that FNB leases. The Company submitted a revised oral proposal the morning of December 5, 2012, and the FNB executive committee held a conference call that afternoon to discuss the proposal. The revised proposal included a purchase price of \$60 million and the acquisition by the Company of four bank properties (including the main office) leased by FNB from Shelby Loan and Mortgage Corporation ("Shelby Loan and Mortgage") or its subsidiary, SLMC, LLC ("SLMC"), for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$3.8 million. Although the original indication of interest to FNB from the Company reflected an anticipated purchase price of between \$65 million and \$75 million, that original indication of interest had been subject to further due diligence by the Company. After the Company conducted extensive due diligence, the Company revised its purchase price to \$60 million based on its estimation of the anticipated losses inherent in FNB's loan portfolio. Following extensive discussions of this proposal, the FNB executive committee instructed Sandler O'Neill to negotiate the terms of the offer and to request Bank of the Ozarks to provide a written letter of intent for the board of directors to review at its meeting on December 6, 2012.

Following negotiations between Sandler O'Neill and the Company, including discussions concerning the possibility of upward adjustments to the revised purchase price to take into account a portion of the proceeds received from the sales of certain specified securities in FNB's investment portfolio, for which the Company had concerns regarding the appropriate valuations thereof, on December 6, 2012, the Company submitted a letter of intent to acquire FNB for a revised purchase price of \$64 million, or \$160.00 per outstanding share of FNB common stock, with 75% of the merger consideration to be paid in common stock. In addition, the letter of intent also indicated that the Company would propose to contemporaneously acquire four properties owned by Shelby Loan and Mortgage or SLMC, which properties are currently leased to FNB and operated as branches and bank offices, for a total consideration of approximately \$3.8 million.

Following the receipt of the Company's letter of intent, FNB held a special meeting of its board of directors at which representatives of Sandler O'Neill and Nelson Mullins were present. At this meeting, Ms. Jeffords provided the board of directors the details regarding the letter of intent from the Company. Representatives of Nelson Mullins also advised FNB's board of directors regarding the legal standards and fiduciary duties applicable to dealing with acquisition offers, factors to consider when evaluating offers, actions that can be taken when responding to offers, and legal considerations related to maintaining the confidentiality of any potential transaction being considered by the board of directors. At this meeting, Sandler O'Neill also presented the board with a financial analysis of the proposal outlined in the Company's letter of intent. Nelson Mullins also discussed with FNB's board of directors the conflicts of interest that could arise as a result of certain members of FNB's board of directors also serving on the board of directors for Shelby Loan and Mortgage since Shelby Loan and Mortgage would have to separately review, negotiate and approve the real estate transactions with respect to the four bank properties that the Company had proposed to acquire. The members of FNB's board of directors stated that they understood the potential conflicts of interest and as a result, the disinterested directors, D. Leon Leonhardt, Larry D. Hamrick, Jr., Max J. Hamrick, John O. Harris III, Kevin T. James, Helen A. Jeffords,

Martha R. Plaster, William E. Plowden, Jr., David W. Royster III, and John E. Young, being those directors that do not also serve on the board of directors of Shelby Loan and Mortgage, separately approved the letter of intent between FNB and the Company.

After further discussions, FNB's board of directors determined that the Company's proposal was acceptable but requested that Sandler O'Neill negotiate with the Company that FNB shareholders be provided the option of 100% stock consideration, that the Company create an advisory board consisting of the current members of the FNB board of directors, and that Ms. Jeffords receive an employment agreement. FNB's board of directors authorized Ms. Jeffords and FNB's legal and financial advisors to pursue further negotiations with the Company and its legal counsel, on an exclusive basis, in an effort to reach a definitive merger agreement. The Company revised the letter of intent to provide for the option for FNB's shareholders to elect to receive up to 100% stock consideration in connection with the merger and for the entry into an employment agreement with Ms. Jeffords. The Company advised FNB, however, that it would not create a local advisory board, but would agree to pay each of the FNB directors a one-time fee of \$10,000, which is consistent with the amount of annual fees paid to FNB's board of directors for their board service, in exchange for not competing in FNB's market areas for twelve (12) months following the closing of the merger. The Company also agreed to pay Ms. Jeffords the same base salary Ms. Jeffords had been receiving as FNB's Chief Executive Officer. The amount of the total merger consideration was not affected by the Company agreeing to enter into the employment agreement with Ms. Jeffords or to pay the one-time fee to members of FNB's board of directors, nor was it affected by negotiations that the Company had with Shelby Loan and Mortgage relating to the purchase of the four properties that it or SLMC currently leases to FNB.

In late December 2012, the Company's legal counsel, Kutak Rock LLP ("Kutak Rock"), began drafting a definitive merger agreement and, on January 4, 2013, delivered a first draft of the definitive merger agreement to Nelson Mullins. Kutak Rock also prepared and delivered drafts of a form of voting agreement, retention bonus agreement, and non-competition agreement, as well as an employment agreement for Ms. Jeffords. Between January 5, 2013 and January 14, 2013, FNB, Nelson Mullins and Sandler O'Neill conducted a thorough review of the first draft of the merger agreement and the other ancillary agreements. On January 15, 2013, FNB's board of directors met to discuss the status of negotiations with the Company, including a detailed review of the most current version of the proposed merger agreement, and with the assistance of Nelson Mullins, a discussion of matters for which negotiations were still pending. FNB's management and Nelson Mullins also reviewed with the board the shareholder and regulatory approvals that would be required to complete the proposed merger, including the required filings by the Company with the SEC and the likely process and timetable of the merger. FNB's board of directors asked numerous questions related to the terms of the merger, to which Nelson Mullins and Sandler O'Neill responded, and the board reviewed its position on various matters remaining to be negotiated. The board of directors authorized and directed FNB's management to continue discussions with the Company and representatives of the Company regarding pending open issues in the negotiations and the draft merger agreement.

Between January 14, 2013 and January 23, 2013, the parties continued to discuss and revise the draft merger agreement to address and resolve the open business and legal issues in the transaction. The parties negotiated extensively regarding the provisions in the draft merger agreement related to possible adjustments to the purchase price. In particular, the Company proposed that the purchase price should be adjusted if the closing consolidated net book value dropped below \$96 million prior to the closing of the merger. FNB proposed to the Company in response that certain amounts should be carved out of or added back to the calculations determining the closing consolidated net book value, including the amount of any deferred tax asset valuation allowance, the amount of prepayment penalties or unwind costs on prepayment of any FHLB-Atlanta advances and certain structured repurchase agreements and derivative transactions related thereto, and the amount of any other accruals, reserve or provisions, expenses or charges taken or incurred by FNB that the Company and FNB agreed would be appropriate under the circumstances. The Company agreed to include the foregoing carve-outs in the merger agreement. The parties also undertook during this period to deliver final disclosure schedules and exhibits called for by the merger agreement, including a form of voting agreement requested by the Company, pursuant to

which each of the directors, executive officers and 5% shareholders of FNB would agree to vote their shares in favor of the proposed merger transaction.

On January 23, 2013, the Company's board of directors held a special meeting to consider the merger. It discussed with the Company's management the merger agreement, including the form and amount of merger consideration to be paid by the Company to FNB's shareholders. Following a lengthy discussion, the Company's board of directors voted to approve the merger agreement in substantially the form presented, and to authorize management to finalize and execute the merger agreement and all related documents, including the Jeffords employment agreement, the non-competition agreements with members of the FNB board of directors and the retention agreements with members of FNB's senior management team.

On January 23, 2013, FNB's board of directors held a special meeting, at which Nelson Mullins and Sandler O'Neill participated. Representatives of Nelson Mullins led a discussion regarding the provisions of the merger agreement and responded to numerous questions from directors. In addition, representatives of Sandler O'Neill provided a detailed analysis of the financial aspects of the proposed merger and orally delivered Sandler O'Neill's opinion (subsequently confirmed on January 24, 2013 in writing) that the merger consideration was fair, from a financial point of view, to FNB's shareholders. After final discussion of the proposed transaction and the merger agreement terms, including the consideration of the factors described below under "FNB's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of FNB Board of Directors," FNB's board of directors unanimously determined that the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement were fair to, and in the best interest of, FNB and its shareholders, and the board unanimously adopted resolutions to approve the merger and the merger agreement, authorize FNB to take other actions necessary to consummate the proposed transaction and, subject to the exercise of the board's fiduciary duties and the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, recommended that the shareholders of FNB approve the merger and the merger agreement. In addition to approval by the full board of directors of the merger and the merger agreement, the disinterested directors, being those directors that do not serve on the board of directors of Shelby Loan and Mortgage, separately and unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement.

On January 24, 2013, Shelby Loan and Mortgage, SLMC and the Company entered into a real estate purchase agreement in connection with the sale to the Company of four bank properties, which are currently leased to FNB, for approximately \$3.8 million. The closing of the real estate transactions is expected to occur contemporaneously with the closing of the merger.

Each of the directors, senior management and certain 5% shareholders of FNB executed voting agreements to vote their shares in favor of the merger, and FNB and the Company executed and delivered the merger agreement on January 24, 2013, and immediately thereafter issued a joint press release announcing the transaction.

FNB's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the FNB Board of Directors

In reaching its decision to adopt and approve the merger agreement and to recommend its approval to FNB shareholders, the FNB board of directors consulted with senior management and its outside financial and legal advisors and evaluated the increasing difficulty FNB faces in maintaining and improving performance and value for its shareholders over the long term in the current and prospective economic environment affecting the banking industry as a whole. The board of directors believes that economic recovery and improvements in FNB's profits and market values will be a slow process, which will be particularly challenging for FNB given the difficulty in growing revenue-producing assets in the current economic climate. In addition, although FNB believes it is currently in compliance with the majority of the articles in the Formal Agreement with the OCC and has reduced the levels of classified assets, the OCC has advised FNB that the Formal Agreement will likely remain in place until non-performing assets are no longer a risk to capital.

FNB's board of directors recognizes that the merger consideration is approximately one-third less than the December 31, 2012 tangible book value per share of FNB common stock. However, the board of directors nevertheless determined that the proposed merger with the Company is in the best interests of FNB's

shareholders because, among other things, the merger consideration is approximately two and one-half times the recent average trading range of FNB's common stock. Further, in light of FNB's current earnings per share and its projected earnings per share for the next several years as a stand-alone entity, FNB anticipates on going challenges to an improved earnings stream until nonperforming loans are either remediated or effectively mitigated by profitable loan growth in FNB's current markets or through expansion into new markets. The current economy creates an intensely competitive banking environment, and the board expects minimal improvement in the economy and in FNB's current markets for the foreseeable future. Consequently, the potential for FNB to prosper as a stand-alone entity and to contend with stronger banks, as competitors consolidate, is diminished. In the short term, to restore FNB to a satisfactory level of profitability and reinstate dividends to shareholders, the board believes the Bank's infrastructure could be downsized to reduce expenses, but this option potentially jeopardizes its long-term viability to thrive and succeed. As a stand-alone entity, FNB would also face the additional challenges of continuing to operate under the Formal Agreement with the OCC, which would not be lifted until nonperforming assets are no longer a risk to capital, and FNB would potentially need to raise additional capital in the future while attempting to achieve a satisfactory level of profitability. In consideration of the challenges described above, the board began to consider the long-term value of merging with a highperforming financial institution that would have greater financial strength and earning power than FNB would have on its own, as well as the ability for FNB shareholders to have more liquidity in their investment. The board compared the prospects of FNB as a stand-alone entity with the value that FNB shareholders would receive if they elected to take shares of the Company's common stock and partner with a high-performing financial institution with a compatible corporate culture, and the board concluded that the consideration offered in connection with the merger better maximizes the long-term value of shareholders' investment and is in the best interests of FNB's shareholders. See the section "Opinion of FNB's Financial Advisor" on page 59 for more detailed information in respect of FNB's projected earnings per share as a stand-alone entity.

In its deliberations described above and in making its determination, FNB's board of directors considered many factors including, without limitation, the following:

- the current and prospective business and economic environment in which FNB operates, including challenging regional and local economic conditions;
- the competitive environment for North Carolina financial institutions characterized by intensifying competition from out-ofstate financial institutions;
- the continuing consolidation of the financial services industry;
- the increased regulatory burdens on financial institutions;
- the effects of the expected continued operation of FNB under the regulatory restrictions imposed by its Formal Agreement with the OCC;
- · the uncertainties in the regulatory and economic climate going forward;
- the fact that FNB would have to shrink its assets as it continued to deal with nonperforming loans, making it more difficult to effectively compete;
- the compatibility of the core philosophy of the Company with that of FNB and the similarities of the markets served by both the Company and FNB;
- the Company's superior access to capital resources relative to that of FNB;
- the business, earnings, operations, financial condition, management, prospects, capital levels and asset quality of the Company;
- the limited capital-raising alternatives available to FNB and the risk that FNB would not be able to raise a sufficient amount of capital when needed or in so doing FNB's shareholders could be significantly diluted by any such capital raise;
- the increased liquidity for FNB shareholders resulting from the merger, and the fact that the Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market;

- the financial analysis prepared by Sandler O'Neill, FNB's financial advisor, and the oral opinion delivered to the FNB board of directors on January 23, 2013 by Sandler O'Neill, and confirmed in writing on January 24, 2013, to the effect that the merger consideration is fair, from a financial point of view, to FNB's shareholders;
- the form and amount of merger consideration, and the ability of FNB shareholders to participate in the future performance of the combined company;
- the exchange ratio is not fixed but is based on the negotiated sales price of \$160.00 per share of FNB common stock (subject to possible adjustment), divided by the average closing sale price of the Company's common stock prior to the closing of the merger, with a maximum closing sale price to be determined for exchange ratio purposes at no more than \$44.20 per share and no less than \$27.00 per share, so that the value received by FNB shareholders is not materially dependent on the trading price of the Company's common stock but on the negotiated sales price;
- the strength and recent performance of the Company's common stock;
- the fact that the Company currently pays a cash dividend on its common stock, while FNB does not and is not likely to be able to pay a cash dividend in the near future;
- the ability of FNB's shareholders to benefit from the Company's potential growth and stock appreciation since it is more likely that the combined entity will have superior future earnings and prospects compared to FNB's earnings and prospects on an independent basis;
- the belief of the FNB board of directors that the Company is a high quality financial services company with a compatible business culture and shared approach to customer service and increasing shareholder value;
- the interest of FNB's directors and executive officers in the merger, in addition to their interests generally as shareholders, as described under "- Interests of Certain Executive Officers and Directors" on page 69;
- the likelihood that the regulatory approvals necessary to complete the transaction would be obtained;
- the effect of the merger on FNB's employees, including the prospects for continued employment and the severance and other benefits agreed to be provided by the Company to FNB's employees; and
- the effect of the merger on FNB's customers and the communities in which they conduct business, including, but not limited to, the increased legal lending limit of the combined company.

FNB's board of directors also considered the following potential risks and negative factors relating to the merger:

- on a pro forma basis, the implied per share tangible book value of the merger consideration received by FNB's shareholders would be less than the current tangible book value per share of FNB common stock;
- the merger agreement limits FNB's ability to pursue other merger opportunities;
- the merger agreement obligates FNB to pay the Company a substantial termination fee if FNB chooses before closing to pursue an unsolicited superior merger proposal from a third party, and a liquidated damage payment if the merger agreement is terminated under certain other circumstances;
- FNB would lose the autonomy associated with being an independent financial institution;
- the merger could result in employee attrition and have a negative effect on business and customer relationships;
- while the merger is pending, FNB's officers and employees will have to focus extensively on actions required to complete the merger, which will divert their attention from FNB's business, and FNB will incur substantial transaction costs even if the merger is not consummated;

- while the merger is pending, FNB will be subject to certain restrictions on the conduct of its business, which may delay or prevent it from pursuing business opportunities that may arise or preclude it from taking actions that would be advisable if it were to remain independent; and
- as FNB currently does not anticipate asking Sandler O'Neill to update its opinion, the opinion will not address the fairness of the merger consideration, from a financial point of view, at the time the merger is completed.

In evaluating a potential merger with the Company, the FNB board of directors carefully considered the Company's financial performance over the past few years. FNB's management team, along with Sandler O'Neill, Nelson Mullins and Elliott Davis, PLLC, FNB's independent accountants, conducted due diligence on the Company to assess, among other things, the Company's operating and financial condition, including its balance sheet composition. The FNB board of directors was also impressed by the Company's capital resources, dividend payment history and long-term strategic plan, and concluded that a merger with the Company provides FNB with its best option for maximizing long-term value for FNB's shareholders.

The FNB board of directors concluded that the anticipated benefits of combining with the Company were likely to substantially outweigh the potential risks and negative factors outlined above.

Before approving the proposed transaction with the Company, the FNB board of directors discussed at length, with input from Sandler O'Neill, FNB's strategic options, including the fact that FNB has sufficient capital to remain independent or to pursue other alternatives, in relation to the long-term best interests of its shareholders. The FNB board of directors discussed FNB's prospects for remaining independent, including the necessity of downsizing its asset base to address nonperforming loans, which in turn would make it more difficult to effectively compete, continuing to operate under the Formal Agreement with the OCC, raising capital as an independent entity and restoring a satisfactory level of profitability if it were to remain independent. The FNB board of directors concluded that combining with the Company on the terms offered by the Company was in the FNB shareholders' best interest.

The foregoing discussion of the factors considered by FNB's board of directors is not intended to be exhaustive but is believed to include all the material factors considered by FNB's board of directors. In view of the wide variety of factors considered in connection with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, the FNB board of directors did not find it useful and did not attempt to quantify or assign any relative or specific weights to the various factors that it considered in reaching its determination to approve the merger agreement and the merger and to recommend that the shareholders vote "FOR" approval of the merger agreement and the merger. In addition, individual members of the FNB board of directors may have given differing weights to different factors. The FNB board of directors conducted an overall analysis of the factors described above, including thorough discussions with, and questioning of, FNB's management and outside financial and legal advisors. The FNB board of directors considered all of the foregoing factors as a whole and unanimously supported a favorable determination to approve the merger and to recommend that FNB shareholders approve the merger agreement and the merger.

The FNB board of directors determined that the merger, the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are in the best interests of FNB and its shareholders. Accordingly, the FNB board of directors unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement and unanimously recommends that FNB shareholders vote "FOR" approval of the merger agreement and the merger.

The Company's Reasons for the Merger

Bank of the Ozarks' principal purpose for completing the merger with FNB is to expand its banking presence in the North Carolina market. The Company has had a loan production office in Charlotte, North Carolina since 2001 and recently converted that office to a full service branch. Shelby is approximately 50 miles west of Charlotte.

The merger with FNB will significantly increase Bank of the Ozarks' presence in North Carolina. FNB has a dominant deposit share in its market area and can be expected to remain a strong source of deposits. FNB has been working through problem loans in its portfolio in recent years and has decreased its earning assets. The Company will continue to focus on resolving remaining loan issues, but the Company also expects to resume growth of the loan portfolio in a sound and appropriate manner. The Company believes the four counties making up FNB's market area will offer lending opportunities. FNB has a trust department with over \$300 million in assets under management and also has a successful residential loan origination department whose personnel and platforms will make a significant contribution to the Company's planned expansion of those services in the North Carolina market.

Bank of the Ozarks' personnel conducted extensive due diligence procedures on FNB's assets, liabilities, systems and operations. Among other objectives, those procedures were aimed at determining a value for FNB's stock ownership. The Company was aware of FNB's Formal Agreement with the Comptroller of the Currency, and gave particular attention to the asset quality issues that were the basis for the Formal Agreement. However, because the Formal Agreement will be removed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency upon the closing of the merger, its existence had little impact on the Company's decision to enter into negotiations with the board of directors of FNB regarding a potential transaction. The Company's estimates of the fair values of the assets and liabilities of FNB were major determinants in arriving at the decision to offer \$64 million for the outstanding stock of FNB. The Company determined that the purchase price adequately allowed for the existing asset quality issues and high cost liabilities to be assumed with the acquisition of FNB.

Opinion of FNB's Financial Advisor

By letter dated August 16, 2012, FNB retained Sandler O'Neill to act as its financial advisor in connection with a sale of FNB to the Company. Sandler O'Neill is a nationally recognized investment banking firm whose principal business specialty is financial institutions. In the ordinary course of its investment banking business, Sandler O'Neill is regularly engaged in the valuation of financial institutions and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions and other corporate transactions.

Sandler O'Neill acted as financial advisor to the Board of Directors of FNB in connection with the proposed transaction and participated in certain of the negotiations leading to the execution of the merger agreement, dated as of January 24, 2013. At a meeting of the Board of Directors of FNB on January 23, 2013, the Board of Directors reviewed the merger agreement and approved the consideration to be received, subject to receipt of Sandler O'Neill's opinion, and Sandler O'Neill delivered to the Board of Directors its oral opinion, followed by delivery of its written opinion dated January 24, 2013, that as of such date, the merger consideration was fair to the holders of FNB common stock from a financial point of view. The full text of Sandler O'Neill's written opinion dated January 24, 2013 (the "Opinion") is attached as Appendix B to this proxy statement/prospectus. The Opinion outlines the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Sandler O'Neill in rendering its Opinion. The description of the Opinion set forth below is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Opinion. FNB shareholders are urged to read the entire Opinion carefully in connection with their consideration of the proposed merger.

Sandler O'Neill's Opinion speaks only as of the date of the Opinion. The Opinion was directed to the Board of Directors of FNB and is directed only to the fairness of the merger consideration paid to the holders of FNB common stock from a financial point of view. It does not address the underlying business decision of FNB to engage in the merger or any other aspect of the merger and is not a recommendation to any FNB stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote at the special meeting with respect to the merger or any other matter. Sandler O'Neill did not receive any limitations or instructions from FNB with respect to its Opinion.

In connection with rendering its Opinion, Sandler O'Neill reviewed and considered, among other things:

• the merger agreement;

- certain publicly available financial statements and other historical financial information of FNB that Sandler O'Neill deemed relevant;
- certain publicly available financial statements and other historical financial information of the Company that Sandler O'Neill deemed relevant;
- certain internal financial projections for FNB for the years ending December 31, 2013 through December 31, 2015 as provided by senior management of FNB;
- median publicly available analyst earnings estimates for the Company for the years ending December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014 and an estimated long-term growth rate for the year ending December 31, 2015 as discussed with senior management of the Company;
- the pro forma financial impact of the proposed merger on the Company, based on assumptions relating to transaction expenses, purchase accounting adjustments and cost savings as determined by the senior managements of FNB and the Company;
- the publicly reported historical price and trading activity for FNB's and the Company's common stock, including a comparison
 of certain financial and stock market information for FNB and the Company with similar publicly available information for
 certain other commercial banks, the securities of which are publicly traded;
- the terms and structures of other recent mergers and acquisition transactions in the commercial banking sector;
- · the current market environment generally and in the commercial banking sector in particular; and
- such other information, financial studies, analyses and investigations and financial, economic and market criteria as Sandler O'Neill considered relevant.

Sandler O'Neill also discussed with certain members of senior management of FNB the business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects of FNB and held similar discussions with senior management of the Company concerning the business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects of the Company.

In performing its review, Sandler O'Neill has relied upon the accuracy and completeness of all of the financial and other information that was available to Sandler O'Neill from public sources, that was provided to Sandler O'Neill by FNB and the Company or their respective representatives, or that was otherwise reviewed by Sandler O'Neill, and has assumed such accuracy and completeness for purposes of rendering its Opinion. Sandler O'Neill has further relied on the assurances of the respective managements of FNB and the Company that they are not aware of any facts or circumstances that would make any of such information inaccurate or misleading. Sandler O'Neill has not been asked to and has not undertaken an independent verification of any of such information and does not assume any responsibility or liability for the accuracy or completeness thereof. Sandler O'Neill did not make an independent evaluation or appraisal of the specific assets, the collateral securing assets or the liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of FNB and the Company or any of their respective subsidiaries. Sandler O'Neill renders no opinion or evaluation on the collectability of any assets or the future performance of any loans of FNB and the Company, or the combined entity after the merger, and it has not reviewed any individual credit files relating to FNB and the Company. Sandler O'Neill has assumed, with FNB's consent, that the respective allowances for loan losses for both FNB and the Company are adequate to cover such losses and will be adequate on a pro forma basis for the combined entity.

In preparing its analyses, Sandler O'Neill used internal financial projections for FNB as provided by the senior management of FNB and median publicly available earnings estimates and a long-term growth rate for the Company as discussed with senior management of the Company. Sandler O'Neill also received and used in its analyses certain projections of transaction costs, purchase accounting adjustments, expected cost savings and other synergies which were prepared by and/or reviewed with the senior management of FNB. With respect to those projections, estimates and judgments, the respective managements of FNB and the Company confirmed to

Sandler O'Neill that those projections, estimates and judgments reflected the best currently available estimates and judgments of those respective managements of the future financial performance of FNB and the Company, respectively, and Sandler O'Neill assumed that such performance would be achieved. Sandler O'Neill expresses no opinion as to such estimates or the assumptions on which they are based. Sandler O'Neill has also assumed that there has been no material change in FNB's and the Company's assets, financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects since the date of the most recent financial statements made available to Sandler O'Neill. Sandler O'Neill has assumed in all respects material to its analysis that FNB and the Company will remain as going concerns for all periods relevant to its analyses, that all of the representations and warranties contained in the merger agreement and all related agreements are true and correct, that each party to the merger agreement will perform all of the covenants required to be performed by such party under the merger agreement and that the conditions precedent in the merger agreement are not waived. Finally, with the consent of FNB, Sandler O'Neill has relied upon the advice that FNB has received from its legal, accounting and tax advisors as to all legal, accounting and tax matters relating to the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Sandler O'Neill's Opinion is necessarily based on financial, economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to us as of, the date hereof. Events occurring after the date hereof could materially affect this Opinion. Sandler O'Neill has not undertaken to update, revise, reaffirm or withdraw its Opinion or otherwise comment upon events occurring after the date thereof.

Sandler O'Neill's Opinion was directed to the Board of Directors of FNB in connection with its consideration of the merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any shareholder of either of FNB or the Company as to how any such shareholder should vote at any meeting of shareholders called to consider and vote upon the merger. Sandler O'Neill's Opinion is directed only to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to the holders of FNB common stock and does not address the underlying business decision of FNB to engage in the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business strategies that might exist for FNB or the effect of any other transaction in which FNB might engage. Sandler O'Neill's Opinion hall not be reproduced or used for any other purposes, without Sandler O'Neill's prior written consent. Sandler O'Neill's Opinion has been approved by Sandler O'Neill's fairness opinion committee. Sandler O'Neill has consented to inclusion of its Opinion and a summary thereof in this proxy statement/prospectus and in the registration statement on Form S-4 which includes this proxy statement/prospectus. Sandler O'Neill does not express any opinion as to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to be received in the merger by any officer, director, or employees, or class of such persons, relative to the compensation to be received in the merger by any other shareholder.

In rendering its Opinion, Sandler O'Neill performed a variety of financial analyses. The following is a summary of the material analyses performed by Sandler O'Neill, but is not a complete description of all the analyses underlying Sandler O'Neill's opinion. The summary includes information presented in tabular format. **In order to fully understand the financial analyses, these tables must be read together with the accompanying text. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses.** The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process involving subjective judgments as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. In arriving at its opinion, Sandler O'Neill did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor that it considered. Rather, Sandler O'Neill made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis and factor. Sandler O'Neill did not form an opinion as to whether any individual analysis or factor (positive or negative) considered in isolation supported or failed to support its Opinion; rather Sandler O'Neill made its determination as to the fairness of the merger consideration on the basis of its experience and professional judgment after considering the results of all its analyses taken as a whole. The process, therefore, is not necessarily susceptible to a partial analysis or summary description. Sandler O'Neill believes that its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of the factors and analyses to be considered without considering all factors and analyses, or attempting to ascribe relative weights to some or all such factors and analyses, could create an incomplete view of the evaluation process underlying its opinion. Also, no company

included in Sandler O'Neill's comparative analyses described below is identical to FNB or the Company and no transaction is identical to the merger. Accordingly, an analysis of comparable companies or transactions involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of the companies and other factors that could affect the public trading values or merger transaction values, as the case may be, of FNB or the Company and the companies to which they are being compared.

In performing its analyses, Sandler O'Neill also made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, business and economic conditions and various other matters, many of which cannot be predicted and are beyond the control of FNB, the Company and Sandler O'Neill. The analysis performed by Sandler O'Neill is not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results, both of which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by such analyses. Sandler O'Neill prepared its analyses solely for purposes of rendering its Opinion and provided such analyses to the Board of Directors of FNB at the January 23, 2013 meeting. Estimates on the values of companies do not purport to be appraisals or necessarily reflect the prices at which companies or their securities may actually be sold. Such estimates are inherently subject to uncertainty and actual values may be materially different. Accordingly, Sandler O'Neill's analyses do not necessarily reflect the value of FNB's common stock or the prices at which FNB's common stock may be sold at any time. The analysis and Opinion of Sandler O'Neill was among a number of factors taken into consideration by the Board of Directors of FNB in making its determination to adopt the plan of merger contained in the merger agreement and the analyses described below should not be viewed as determinative of the decision the Board of Directors of FNB or management with respect to the fairness of the merger.

At the January 23, 2013 meeting of the Board of Directors of FNB, Sandler O'Neill presented certain financial analyses of the merger. The summary below is not a complete description of the analyses underlying the opinions of Sandler O'Neill or the presentation made by Sandler O'Neill to the Board of Directors of FNB, but is instead a summary of the material analyses performed and presented in connection with its Opinion.

Summary of Proposal

Sandler O'Neill reviewed the financial terms of the proposed transaction. Shares of FNB common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the merger will be converted into a combination of shares of Company common stock and cash in aggregate amount equal to \$64,000,000, subject to certain potential adjustments as described herein. The exchange ratio at which shares of FNB common stock will be exchanged for shares of Company common stock will equal \$160.00 divided by the Company's 10-day average closing price as of the fifth business day prior to the closing date. Assuming that the 10-day average closing price of Company common stock for the ten consecutive trading days ended on May 21, 2013, the last practicable trading day before the date of this proxy statement/prospectus), then the exchange ratio will be 3.705.

Each outstanding share of common stock of FNB will be converted, at the election of each FNB shareholder, into the right to receive shares of common stock or the right to receive cash, all subject to certain conditions and potential adjustments, provided that at least 51% of the merger consideration paid to FNB shareholders will consist of shares of Company common stock. The number of shares of Company common stock to be issued will be determined based on FNB shareholder elections and the Company's 10-day average closing stock price as of the fifth business day prior to the closing date, subject to a floor of \$27.00 per share and a ceiling of \$44.20 per share. Based upon financial information as or for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, Sandler O'Neill calculated the following transaction ratios:

Transaction Value / Book Value:	64%
Transaction Value / Tangible Book Value:	64%
Transaction Value / Last Twelve Months Earnings Per Share:	31.9x
Core Deposit Premium:	(7.2%)

FNB - Comparable Company Analysis

Sandler O'Neill also used publicly available information to compare selected financial and market trading information for FNB and a group of financial institutions selected by Sandler O'Neill.

The FNB peer group consisted of the following selected North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia and Georgia publicly-traded banks and thrifts with total assets between \$400 million and \$1.5 billion and nonperforming assets to total assets between 5% and 10%:

1st Financial Services Corporation	Four Oaks Fincorp, Inc.
Carolina Bank Holdings, Inc.	Franklin Financial Corporation
Coastal Banking Company, Inc.	Highlands Bankshares, Inc.
Colony Bankcorp, Inc.	New Peoples Bankshares, Inc.
Community First Bancorporation	North State Bancorp
First South Bancorp, Inc.	Palmetto Bancshares, Inc.

The analysis compared publicly available financial information for FNB and the median financial and market trading data for the FNB peer group as of and for the last twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The table below sets forth the data for FNB and the median data for the FNB peer group as of and for the last twelve months ended September 30, 2012, with pricing data as of January 18, 2013.

	FNB	Comparable Group Median
Total Assets (in millions)	\$ 884	\$ 713
Tangible Common Equity / Tangible Assets	11.4%	5.8%
Total Risk Based Capital Ratio	17.2%	13.9%
Return on Average Assets	0.22%	(0.11%)
Return on Average Equity	2.0%	(2.1%)
Net Interest Margin	3.30%	3.34%
Efficiency Ratio	66%	79%
Loan Loss Reserve / Gross Loans	3.39%	2.20%
Non-performing Assets / Assets	7.08%	7.04%
Price / Tangible Book Value	27%	68%
Price / LTM EPS	13.5x	19.4x
Market Capitalization (in millions)	\$ 27	\$ 27

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. - Comparable Company Analysis

Sandler O'Neill also used publicly available information to compare selected financial and market trading information for the Company and two groups of financial institutions selected by Sandler O'Neill.

The first Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. peer group consisted of the selected nationwide publicly-traded banks and thrifts with total assets between \$3.5 billion and \$35.0 billion, nonperforming assets to total assets less than 1%, and return on average assets over the last 12 months greater than 14%:

Bank of Hawaii Corporation	Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc.
First Financial Bankshares, Inc.	Westamerica Bancorporation

The analysis compared publicly available financial information for the Company and the median financial and market trading data for the first Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. peer group as of and for the last twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The table below sets forth the data for the Company and the median data for the first Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. peer group as of and for the last twelve months ended September 30, 2012, with pricing data as of January 18, 2013.

	Bank of the Ozarks, Inc.	Comparable Group Median	
Total Assets (in millions)	\$ 3,823	\$ 7,371	
Tangible Common Equity / Tangible Assets	12.3%	8.349	%
Total Risk Based Capital Ratio	19.1%	16.89	%
Return on Average Assets	1.95%	1.529	%
Return on Average Equity	16.6%	15.89	%
Net Interest Margin	5.97%	4.419	%
Efficiency Ratio	45%	6 49 ⁹	%
Loan Loss Reserve / Gross Loans	1.44%	1.579	%
Non-performing Assets / Assets	0.59%	0.769	%
Price / Tangible Book Value	264%	2599	%
Price / LTM EPS	16.7x	15.22	х
Price / 2013 Estimated EPS	15.5x	14.72	х
Market Capitalization (in millions)	\$ 1,256	\$ 1,600	

The second Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. peer group consisted of the following publicly-traded banks and thrifts with assets greater than \$2.0 billion that have acquired three or more companies through strategic or FDIC-assisted transactions since January 1, 2011:

Ameris Bancorp	Investors Bancorp, Inc. (MHC)
BNC Bancorp	Prosperity Bancshares, Inc.
CenterState Banks, Inc.	SCBT Financial Corporation
Columbia Banking System, Inc.	Trustmark Corporation
Home BancShares, Inc.	Wintrust Financial Corporation
IBERIABANK Corporation	

The analysis compared publicly available financial information for the Company and the median financial and market trading data for the second Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. peer group as of and for the last twelve months ended September 30, 2012. The table below sets forth the data for the Company and the median data for the second Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. peer group as of and for the last twelve months ended September 30, 2012, with pricing data as of January 18, 2013.

	Bank of the Ozarks, Inc.	Comparable Group Median
Total Assets (in millions)	\$ 3,823	\$ 4,903
Tangible Common Equity / Tangible Assets	12.3%	8.8%
Total Risk Based Capital Ratio	19.1%	15.3%
Return on Average Assets	1.95%	0.80%
Return on Average Equity	16.6%	7.2%
Net Interest Margin	5.97%	4.12%
Efficiency Ratio	45%	63%
Loan Loss Reserve / Gross Loans	1.44%	1.80%
Non-performing Assets / Assets	0.59%	1.81%
Price / Tangible Book Value	264%	140%
Price / LTM EPS	16.7x	17.9x
Price / 2013 Estimated EPS	15.5x	13.9x
Market Capitalization (in millions)	\$ 1,256	\$ 970

FNB – Stock Price Performance

Sandler O'Neill reviewed the history of the publicly reported trading prices of FNB's common stock for the one-year period ended January 15, 2013. Sandler O'Neill also reviewed the history of the publicly reported

trading prices of FNB's common stock for the three-year period ended January 15, 2013. Sandler O'Neill then compared the relationship between the movements in the price of FNB's common stock against the movements in the prices of the S&P Bank Index, the NASDAQ Bank Index and the S&P 500 Index.

FNB One Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Index Value January 15, 2012	Ending Index Value January 15, 2013
FNB	0%	(2%)
S&P Bank	0%	15%
NASDAQ Bank Index	0%	13%
S&P 500 Index	0%	14%

FNB Three Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Index Value January 15, 2010	Ending Index Value January 15, 2013
FNB	0%	(61%)
S&P Bank	0%	21%
NASDAQ Bank Index	0%	13%
S&P 500 Index	0%	30%

The Company – Stock Price Performance

Sandler O'Neill reviewed the history of the publicly reported trading prices of the Company's common stock for the one-year period ended January 15, 2013. Sandler O'Neill also reviewed the history of the publicly reported trading prices of the Company's common stock for the three-year period ended January 15, 2013. Sandler O'Neill then compared the relationship between the movements in the price of the Company's common stock against the movements in the prices of the S&P Bank Index, the NASDAQ Bank Index and the S&P 500 Index.

The Company One Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Index Value January 15, 2012	Ending Index Value January 15, 2013
The Company	0%	12%
S&P Bank	0%	15%
NASDAQ Bank Index	0%	13%
S&P 500 Index	0%	14%

The Company Three Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Index Value January 15, 2010	Ending Index Value January 15, 2013
The Company	0%	134%
S&P Bank	0%	21%
NASDAQ Bank Index	0%	13%
S&P 500 Index	0%	30%

FNB - Net Present Value Analysis

Sandler O'Neill performed an analysis that estimated the present value of FNB through December 31, 2015.

Sandler O'Neill based the analysis on FNB's projected earnings stream as derived from the internal financial projections provided by FNB management for the years ending December 31, 2012 through 2015.

To approximate the terminal value of FNB's common stock at December 31, 2015, Sandler O'Neill applied price to forward earnings multiples of 8.0x to 18.0x and multiples of tangible book value ranging from 25% to 100%. The income streams and terminal values were then discounted to present values using different discount rates ranging from 10.7% to 16.7%.

Earnings Per Share Multiples

Discount Rate	8.0x	10.0x	12.0x	14.0x	16.0x	18.0x
10.7%	86.58	108.23	129.87	151.52	173.16	194.81
11.7%	84.09	105.11	126.13	147.15	168.17	189.19
12.7%	81.68	102.11	122.53	142.95	163.37	183.79
13.7%	79.37	99.21	119.06	138.90	158.74	178.59
14.7%	77.14	96.43	115.72	135.00	154.29	173.57
15.7%	75.00	93.75	112.50	131.25	150.00	168.74
16.7%	72.93	91.16	109.39	127.63	145.86	164.09

Tangible Book Value Per Share Multiples

Discount Rate	25%	40%	55%	70%	85%	100%
10.7%	48.99	78.38	107.77	137.16	166.55	195.94
11.7%	47.57	76.12	104.66	133.21	161.75	190.30
12.7%	46.22	73.95	101.67	129.40	157.13	184.86
13.7%	44.91	71.85	98.80	125.74	152.68	179.63
14.7%	43.65	69.84	96.02	122.21	148.40	174.59
15.7%	42.43	67.89	93.35	118.81	144.27	169.73
16.7%	41.26	66.02	90.78	115.53	140.29	165.05

Sandler O'Neill also considered and discussed with the Board of Directors of FNB how this analysis would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions, including variations with respect to net income. To illustrate this impact, Sandler O'Neill performed a similar analysis assuming FNB's net income varied from 15% above projections to 15% below projections. This analysis resulted in the following reference ranges of indicated aggregate values for FNB's common stock, using a discount rate of 13.7%:

Earnings Per Share Multiples

Annual Budget Variance	8.0x	10.0x	12.0x	14.0x	16.0x	18.0x
(15.0%)	67.47	84.33	101.20	118.07	134.93	151.80
(10.0%)	71.43	89.29	107.15	125.01	142.87	160.73
(5.0%)	75.40	94.25	113.10	131.96	150.81	169.66
0.0%	79.37	99.21	119.06	138.90	158.74	178.59
5.0%	83.34	104.18	125.01	145.85	166.68	187.52
10.0%	87.31	109.14	130.96	152.79	174.62	196.45
15.0%	91.28	114.10	136.92	159.74	182.56	205.37

The Company - Net Present Value Analysis.

Sandler O'Neill performed an analysis that estimated the present value of the Company through December 31, 2015.

Sandler O'Neill based the analysis on the Company's projected earnings stream as derived from median publicly available analyst earnings estimates for the Company for the years ending December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014 and an estimated long-term growth rate for the year ending December 31, 2015 as discussed with senior management of the Company.

To approximate the terminal value of the Company's common stock at December 31, 2015, Sandler O'Neill applied price to forward earnings multiples of 13.5x to 21.0x and multiples of tangible book value ranging from 175% to 300%. The income streams and terminal values were then discounted to present values using different discount rates ranging from 8.7% to 14.7%.

Earnings Per Share Multiples

Discount Rate	13.5x	15.0x	16.5x	18.0x	19.5x	21.0x
8.7%	28.23	31.21	34.19	37.17	40.15	43.13
9.7%	27.41	30.31	33.20	36.10	38.99	41.88
10.7%	26.63	29.44	32.25	35.06	37.87	40.68
11.7%	25.88	28.61	31.34	34.06	36.79	39.52
12.7%	25.15	27.80	30.46	33.11	35.76	38.41
13.7%	24.46	27.03	29.61	32.18	34.76	37.33
14.7%	23.78	26.29	28.79	31.29	33.80	36.30

Tangible Book Value Per Share Multiples

Discount Rate	175%	200%	225%	250%	275%	300%
8.7%	28.27	32.11	35.94	39.78	43.62	47.45
9.7%	27.46	31.18	34.90	38.63	42.35	46.07
10.7%	26.67	30.29	33.90	37.52	41.13	44.75
11.7%	25.92	29.43	32.94	36.45	39.96	43.48
12.7%	25.19	28.60	32.01	35.43	38.84	42.25
13.7%	24.49	27.81	31.12	34.44	37.75	41.07
14.7%	23.82	27.04	30.26	33.48	36.71	39.93

Sandler O'Neill also considered and discussed with the Board of Directors of FNB how this analysis would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions, including variations with respect to net income. To illustrate this impact, Sandler O'Neill performed a similar analysis assuming the Company's net income varied from 15% above projections to 15% below projections. This analysis resulted in the following reference ranges of indicated per share values for the Company's common stock, using a discount rate of 11.7%:

Earnings Per Share Multiples

Annual Budget Variance	13.5x	15.0x	16.5x	18.0x	19.5x	21.0x
(15.0%)	22.20	24.51	26.83	29.15	31.47	33.79
(10.0%)	23.42	25.88	28.33	30.79	33.25	35.70
(5.0%)	24.65	27.24	29.84	32.43	35.02	37.61
0.0%	25.88	28.61	31.34	34.06	36.79	39.52
5.0%	27.11	29.97	32.84	35.70	38.57	41.43
10.0%	28.33	31.34	34.34	37.34	40.34	43.34
15.0%	29.56	32.70	35.84	38.98	42.11	45.25



Analysis of Selected Merger Transactions

Sandler O'Neill reviewed two sets of comparable mergers and acquisitions.

The first set of mergers and acquisitions included 10 transactions announced from January 1, 2011 through January 18, 2013 in which the targets were Southeastern banks with nonperforming assets to total assets greater than 5.0% and with announced transaction values between \$15 million and \$100 million. Sandler O'Neill deemed these transactions to be reflective of the proposed combination of FNB and the Company. Sandler O'Neill reviewed the following multiples: transaction price to book value, transaction price to tangible book value, transaction price to last twelve months' earnings per share and core deposit premium. As illustrated in the following table, Sandler O'Neill compared the proposed merger multiples to the median multiples of these comparable transactions.

	FNB / Bank of the Ozarks, Inc.	Comparable Transactions Median
Transaction Value / Book Value	64%	69%
Transaction Value / Tangible Book Value	64%	69%
Transaction Value / Last Twelve Months Earnings Per Share	31.9x	23.0x
Core Deposit Premium	(7.2%)	(4.0%)

The second set of mergers and acquisitions included 15 transactions announced from January 1, 2011 through January 18, 2013 in which the targets had NPAs/Assets between 5.0% and 10% and with announced transaction values between \$15 million and \$100 million. Sandler O'Neill deemed these transactions to be reflective of the proposed FNB and the Company combination. Sandler O'Neill reviewed the following multiples: transaction price to book value, transaction price to tangible book value, transaction price to last twelve months' earnings per share and core deposit premium. As illustrated in the following table, Sandler O'Neill compared the proposed merger multiples to the median multiples of these comparable transactions.

	FNB / Bank of the Ozarks, Inc.	Comparable Transactions Median
Transaction Value / Book Value	64%	68%
Transaction Value / Tangible Book Value	64%	70%
Transaction Value / Last Twelve Months Earnings Per Share Core Deposit Premium	31.9x (7.2%)	19.2x (3.4%)

Pro Forma Merger Analysis

Sandler O'Neill analyzed certain potential pro forma effects of the merger, assuming the following: (1) the merger is completed in the second quarter of 2013; (2) the deal value per share is equal to a \$160.00 per share of FNB common stock and the exchange ratio is equal to 3.705; (3) cost savings of \$7.2 million on an annual basis fully phased-in in 2014; (4) one-time costs of \$2.2 million pre-tax are expensed prior to closing and \$0.3 million pre-tax are expensed in 2013; (5) FNB's performance was calculated in accordance with FNB's management's prepared earnings projections; (6) the Company's performance was calculated in accordance with the publicly available earnings estimates for the Company; and (7) certain other assumptions pertaining to costs and expenses associated with the transaction, intangible amortization, opportunity cost of cash and other items. The analyses indicated that, for the full years 2013 and 2014, the merger (excluding transaction expenses) would be accretive to the Company's projected earnings per share and tangible book value per share. The actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results and the variations may be material.

Sandler O'Neill's Compensation and Other Relationships with FNB

Sandler O'Neill has acted as financial advisor to the Board of Directors of FNB in connection with the merger. The Board of Directors of FNB agreed to pay Sandler O'Neill a transaction fee of 1.5% of the aggregate deal value, or \$960,000, to be paid upon the closing of the merger. Sandler O'Neill also received a fee of

\$250,000 upon the rendering of its Opinion to the Board of Directors of FNB, which is credited against the fee to be paid upon the closing of the merger. FNB has also agreed to reimburse Sandler O'Neill for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, up to \$10,000 and subsequent to management approval thereafter, and to indemnify Sandler O'Neill against any liabilities arising out of its engagement, except those resulting from Sandler O'Neill's willful misconduct or gross negligence. Sandler O'Neill's Opinion was approved by Sandler O'Neill's fairness opinion committee. Sandler O'Neill has consented to the inclusion of its opinion in this registration statement.

In the ordinary course of their respective broker and dealer businesses, Sandler O'Neill may purchase securities from and sell securities to FNB and the Company and their affiliates. Sandler O'Neill may also actively trade the debt and/or equity securities of FNB and the Company or their affiliates for their own accounts and for the accounts of their customers and, accordingly, may at any time hold a long or short position in such securities. During the two years preceding the date of its Opinion, Sandler O'Neill performed routine broker and dealer services for FNB and received customary compensation for such services. During such period, other than the compensation described above, Sandler O'Neill has not received any fees from either FNB or the Company.

Employee Matters

Each individual who is an employee of FNB as of the closing of the merger (whose employment is not specifically terminated upon the closing) will become an employee of the Company or Bank of the Ozarks.

All FNB employees who become employees of the Company or Bank of the Ozarks at the effective time of the merger will be entitled to participate in the Company's benefit plans to the same extent as similarly situated Company employees and will be given credit for their service at FNB. The Company will also use commercially reasonable efforts to cause each such Company benefit plan to waive any waiting periods, evidence of insurability requirements, and the application of any pre-existing conditions limitations. Any employee of FNB who is terminated within 180 days after the effective time of the merger and who does not receive a severance payment in connection with the merger will receive a severance payment equal to one (1) week of base weekly pay for each year of completed employment service with FNB, with a maximum severance payment equal to twelve (12) weeks of base pay. No former employee of FNB will receive a change of control or severance payment from the Company if he or she received a change of control payment from FNB.

Interests of Certain Executive Officers and Directors in the Merger

Certain directors and executive officers of FNB have interests in the merger as individuals in addition to, or different from, their interests as shareholders of FNB, including, but not limited to, (i) in the case of certain officers and directors of FNB, agreements with Bank of the Ozarks that provide for payments and benefits in addition to the merger consideration and (ii) the continuation of indemnification and insurance coverage (for officers and directors) provided by the Company for a limited time after the merger. There are no outstanding FNB stock options. The FNB board of directors was aware of these interests and circumstances and considered them in its decision to approve the merger agreement. These interests are discussed below.

Retention Agreements

The conversion of certain information technology and telecommunications systems currently operated by FNB to the systems operated by Bank of the Ozarks will not be completed until several months following the closing of the transaction. Certain members of FNB management were identified by the Company to be critical to the successful operation of FNB systems during that interval period and to the successful and efficient conversion to Bank of the Ozarks' systems. It is important to the Company that those management members continue in their present operating capacities until those conversion and integration processes are completed. Accordingly, as a condition to the closing of the merger, Helen A. Jeffords, Carol A. Wood, Thomas L. Weaver, Eric E. McIntire, and Lisa P. Alvino, all officers of FNB, will enter into retention agreements with Bank of the Ozarks (the "Retention Agreements"). Pursuant to the Retention Agreements, Bank of the Ozarks will pay each of the officers a retention bonus to induce such officers to maintain continuous full-time employment with Bank of the

Ozarks and to assist in Bank of the Ozarks' conversion and integration of FNB's operations and computer, information and telecommunications systems with those of Bank of the Ozarks. Each of the retention bonuses will equal one year's base salary for such officer, and will be payable in two equal installments, the first of which will be paid upon the closing of the merger and the second of which will be paid upon the earlier of 30 days following completion of the integration or seven (7) months after the closing of the merger, provided that such officer remains employed by Bank of the Ozarks at that time.

Employment Agreement

During the performance of its due diligence activities relating to FNB, Bank of the Ozarks determined that FNB's current President and Chief Executive Officer, Helen A. Jeffords, could play an important long term role in providing executive management to its acquired Shelby Division. In addition to her knowledge of and experience with the internal operations of FNB and her critical participation in the conversion of FNB's systems to the systems of Bank of the Ozarks, Jeffords' knowledge of the local markets and her relationships with FNB customers and vendors are viewed as important assets that Bank of the Ozarks wants to capitalize on as it conducts its business operations in the FNB markets. Therefore, as a condition to the closing of the merger, Jeffords will enter into an employment agreement with Bank of the Ozarks (the "Jeffords Employment Agreement"). Pursuant to the Jeffords Employment Agreement, Jeffords will continue her employment with Bank of the Ozarks as an executive officer of its Shelby Division, for a two-year term with an annual base salary of \$285,000, and she will be eligible to participate in all Bank of the Ozarks insurance and benefit plans. In addition, Jeffords will receive reimbursement of business expenses, including travel, cellular phone, dues for one country club membership, a car allowance of \$500 per month, taxes owed under FNB's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, and reasonable marketing and client development expenses. The Jeffords Employment Agreement has a longer term and contains numerous features not included in the Retention Agreements outlined in the preceding paragraph, including a requirement for her exclusive services, prohibitions of solicitations of FNB employees or current or prospective customers for the period of her employment and the following three years, and the requirement for cooperation after termination of her employment. The rationale for Bank of the Ozarks entering into the Jeffords Employment Agreement is separate and distinct from the objectives accomplished through the execution of the Retention Agreements with the five FNB management members, all of whom are viewed as highly important to the conduct of business during the months immediately following the closing of the merger.

Non-Competition Agreements

As a condition to the closing of the merger, all of the directors of FNB will enter into non-competition agreements with Bank of the Ozarks (the "Non-Competition Agreements"). Pursuant to the Non-Competition Agreements, in exchange for a lump sum payment of \$10,000, each of the directors of FNB will agree for the twelve (12) month period following the closing of the merger not to (i) disclose any confidential information pertaining to the business or operations of FNB, (ii) solicit any employee of FNB or the Company for employment, or (iii) engage in business that competes with the Company within a fifteen (15) mile radius of any banking office operated by FNB on the date of the closing of the merger.

The forms of the Jeffords Employment Agreement, the Non-Competition Agreements and the Retention Agreements are included as Exhibits A, B, and C, respectively, to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, which is included as Appendix A to this proxy statement/prospectus.

Indemnification

Pursuant to the merger agreement, for a period of six (6) years after the effective time of the merger, all rights to indemnification currently existing in favor of any officer or director of FNB with respect to matters occurring on or prior to the effective date of the merger will continue in effect and will be enforceable against the Company. The Company and FNB agreed that from and after the effective time of the merger, the Company will, for a period of six (6) years, indemnify, defend and hold harmless each present and former officer and director of

FNB to the fullest extent currently provided under the articles of association and/or bylaws of FNB if such claim pertains to any matter arising, existing or occurring at or before the effective time of the merger, regardless of whether such claim is asserted or claimed before or after the effective time of the merger.

Officers and Directors Liability Insurance

The Company and FNB have agreed that for a period of six (6) years after the effective time of the merger the Company will use its commercially reasonable efforts to maintain an officers' and directors' liability insurance policy for present and former officers and directors of FNB, providing substantially similar coverage to that offered under FNB's existing officers' and directors' liability insurance policy.

Management and Operations After the Merger

Upon closing of the merger between FNB and Bank of the Ozarks, the separate existence of FNB will cease. The directors and officers of the Company and Bank of the Ozarks immediately prior to the merger will continue as directors and officers of the Company and Bank of the Ozarks, respectively, after the merger.

Under the terms of the merger agreement, the articles of incorporation and bylaws of Bank of the Ozarks will be the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the combined entity which will retain the name of Bank of the Ozarks. Bank of the Ozarks, as the resulting entity, will continue to operate under its policies, practices and procedures currently in place. Upon completion of the merger, all assets and property owned by FNB will immediately become the property of Bank of the Ozarks.

Effective Date of the Merger

The parties expect that the merger will be effective in the third quarter of 2013, or as soon as possible after the receipt of all regulatory and shareholder approvals, all regulatory waiting periods expire and all other conditions to the completion of the merger have been satisfied or waived. The merger will be legally completed by the filing of the merger agreement and articles of merger with the Arkansas State Bank Department. If the merger is not consummated by August 31, 2013, and no consent to extend the date of consummation of the merger beyond such date has been granted by the party seeking to terminate, the merger agreement may be terminated by either FNB or the Company.

Conduct of Business Pending the Merger

The merger agreement contains various restrictions on the operations of FNB before the effective time of the merger. In general, the merger agreement obligates FNB to conduct its business in the usual, regular and ordinary course of business consistent with past practice. In addition, FNB has agreed that, except as expressly contemplated by the merger agreement or specified in a schedule to the merger agreement, without the prior written consent of the Company, it will not, among other things:

- Issue, sell, pledge, or otherwise dispose of any shares of its capital stock, any substantial part of its assets or earning power, or any asset other than in the ordinary course of business;
- Declare or pay any dividends or make other distributions in respect of its capital stock, unless such dividend was declared on or prior to October 31, 2012;
- Amend any existing employment, severance or similar contract, or enter into any new such contract except as contemplated by the merger agreement;
- Grant any increase in compensation or benefits to its officers or other employees or pay any bonus except as contemplated by the merger agreement;
- Hire any new employee with an annual salary in excess of \$50,000 or promote any employee, except to satisfy contractual obligations existing on the date of the merger agreement;

- Adopt any new employee benefit plan or make any material change to any existing employee benefit plan, except as contemplated by the merger agreement or as may be required by law or that is made to satisfy contractual obligations;
- Enter into transactions with officers, directors or affiliates of FNB other than compensation or business expense reimbursement in the ordinary course of business or as otherwise contemplated in the merger agreement;
- Acquire all or any portion of the assets, business, deposits or properties of any other entity, other than in connection with, among other things, good faith foreclosures in the ordinary course of business;
- Other than in the ordinary course of its business, make any capital expenditures in amounts exceeding \$50,000 in the aggregate;
- Amend its articles of association or bylaws;
- Implement or adopt any change in its accounting principles, practices or methods, other than as may be required by law or generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. ("GAAP");
- Enter into, amend, modify or terminate any material contract, lease or insurance policy;
- Settle any action, suit, claim or proceeding that involves payment by FNB in excess of \$25,000 individually, or \$50,000 in the aggregate or that would impose any material restriction on the business of FNB or any of its subsidiaries;
- Enter into any derivative transaction;
- Incur any additional debt obligation or other obligation for borrowed money, except in the ordinary course of its business consistent with past practices;
- Repurchase or acquire any shares of its capital stock;
- Acquire, sell or otherwise dispose of any investment securities, other than by way of foreclosures or acquisitions in a bona fide fiduciary capacity or in satisfaction of debts previously contracted in good faith;
- Make any changes to deposit pricing;
- Except as is contemplated in the merger agreement, make, renew, renegotiate, increase, extend or modify any unsecured loan over \$25,000, any loan over \$25,000 secured by other than a first lien, any loan over \$25,000 in excess of regulatory loan-to-value ratios, any loan that would result in the outstanding credit to any borrower being over \$250,000, or any loan with a duration of more than 60 months; or
- Make any investment or commitment to invest in real estate or in any real estate development project other than by way of foreclosure or deed in lieu thereof.

In addition to these covenants, the merger agreement contains various other customary covenants, including, among other things, access to information and each party's agreement to use its commercially reasonable efforts to obtain all required consents.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains a number of customary representations and warranties by the Company and FNB regarding aspects of their respective businesses, financial condition, structure and other facts pertinent to the merger that are customary for a transaction of this kind. They include, among other things:

- the organization, existence, and corporate power and authority of each of the companies;
- the capitalization of each of the companies;

- the status of subsidiaries;
- the corporate power and authority to consummate the merger;
- · the regulatory approvals required to consummate the merger;
- the absence of conflicts with and violations of law;
- the absence of any undisclosed liabilities;
- the absence of adverse material litigation;
- accuracy of information in the Company's reports and financial statements filed with the SEC;
- the existence, performance and legal effect of certain contracts and insurance policies;
- the filing of tax returns, payment of taxes and other tax matters by each party;
- labor and employee benefit matters;
- · compliance with applicable environmental laws by each party; and
- the status of tangible property, intellectual property, certain loans and non-performing and classified assets of FNB.

Conditions to the Merger

The respective obligations of the Company and FNB to complete the merger are subject to various conditions prior to the merger. The conditions include the following:

- the accuracy of the representations and warranties of the parties set forth in the merger agreement subject to the standards set forth in the merger agreement;
- the performance of all agreements and covenants required by the merger agreement to be performed prior to the closing of the merger;
- the delivery of certain certificates of the appropriate officers of FNB and the Company;
- approval of the merger agreement by the shareholders of FNB;
- the receipt of all required regulatory approvals or authorizations, provided that none of these approvals contain any nonstandard condition that would prohibit or materially limit the ownership or operation of the business of FNB by the Company or Bank of the Ozarks or would compel the Company or Bank of the Ozarks to dispose of any material portion of the business or assets of FNB, the Company or Bank of the Ozarks;
- the absence of any injunction, order, judgment or decree restraining or prohibiting completion of any of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;
- the registration statement of the Company of which this proxy statement/prospectus is a part must have become effective under the Securities Act and no "stop order" shall have been entered by the SEC and be continuing in effect;
- neither FNB nor the Company shall have suffered a material adverse effect;
- the issuance of tax opinions to each of FNB and the Company to the effect that the merger will qualify as a tax-free reorganization under United States federal income tax laws;
- the number of shares of FNB common stock outstanding as of the effective date of the merger shall not exceed 400,000;
- Bank of the Ozarks and certain officers and directors of FNB shall have entered into the Jeffords Employment Agreement, the Non-Competition Agreements and the Retention Agreements; and

• Either (i) the transactions contemplated by that certain Agreement of Purchase and Sale between Bank of the Ozarks, as purchaser, and Shelby Loan and Mortgage and SLMC, collectively as sellers, dated January 24, 2013 (the "Real Estate Purchase Agreement") shall have closed simultaneous with the merger or (ii) the Real Estate Purchase Agreement shall not have been terminated and none of the parties thereto shall be in material breach of the Real Estate Purchase Agreement.

The parties may waive conditions to their obligations unless they are legally prohibited from doing so. Shareholder approval and regulatory approvals may not be legally waived.

Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger

General

FNB and the Company have agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain all permits, consents, approvals and authorizations of all third parties and governmental authorities that are necessary or advisable to consummate the merger. This includes the approval of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") unless waived, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Arkansas State Bank Department. The Company requested from the FRB a waiver from the requirement to file an application for approval on March 19, 2013. The requirement to submit an application to the FRB was waived on March 29, 2013. The applications seeking such respective approvals from the FDIC and the Arkansas State Bank Department by the Company, Bank of the Ozarks and FNB were filed with the requisite banking regulatory agencies on February 26, 2013. The applications for approval of the merger were approved by the FDIC on April 9, 2013 and by the Arkansas State Bank Department on April 18, 2013. Such approvals require the observance by the parties of certain waiting periods following the agencies' approvals before the merger may be consummated. The Company cannot assure that there will not be any litigation challenging the approvals or waivers. The Company also cannot assure that the United States Department of Justice or any state attorney general will not attempt to challenge the merger on antitrust grounds, or what the outcome will be if such a challenge is made.

The Company is not aware of any material governmental approvals or actions that are required prior to the merger other than those described below. In particular, neither FNB nor the Company is required to file any applications for approval of the merger by the OCC, although the OCC is to be provided with copies of applications and notices filed with the other regulatory agencies. The Company presently contemplates that it will seek any additional governmental approvals or actions that may be required; however, it cannot assure that it will obtain any such additional approvals or actions.

FRB

Unless approval is waived, the merger is subject to the prior approval of the FRB, which may not approve a merger if:

- such transaction would result in a monopoly or would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States; or
- the effect of such transaction, in any section of the country, may be to substantially lessen competition, or tend to create a monopoly, or in any manner restrain trade, unless in each case the FRB finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the communities to be served. In every case, the FRB is required to consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the banks concerned and the convenience and needs of the communities to be served. Under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977, the FRB also must take into account the record of performance of each bank in meeting the credit needs of the entire community, including low and moderate-income neighborhoods, served by each bank holding company and its subsidiaries. Applicable regulations require publication of notice of an application for approval of the merger and an opportunity for the public to comment on the application in writing and to request a hearing.

If a waiver is sought but not granted, and application is thereafter made to the FRB, any transaction approved by the FRB may not be completed until thirty (30) days after such approval, during which time the U.S. Department of Justice may challenge such transaction on antitrust grounds and seek divesture of certain assets and liabilities. With the approval of the FRB and the U.S. Department of Justice, the waiting period may be reduced to fifteen (15) days.

The Company filed a request for a waiver of the required application with the FRB on March 19, 2013 and the request was approved on March 29, 2013.

FDIC

The Bank Merger Act requires the prior written approval of the FDIC before any insured depository institution may merge or consolidate with another insured depository institution if the resulting institution is to be a state non-member bank. As a state non-member bank, the Company's subsidiary, Bank of the Ozarks, filed its application for approval of the merger with the FDIC on February 26, 2013. The application was approved by the FDIC on April 9, 2013.

The Bank Merger Act prohibits the FDIC from approving any proposed merger transaction that would result in a monopoly, or would further a combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States. Similarly, the Bank Merger Act prohibits the FDIC from approving a proposed merger transaction whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade.

In every proposed merger transaction, the FDIC must also consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the existing and proposed institutions, the convenience and needs of the community to be served, and the effectiveness of each insured depository institution involved in the proposed merger transaction in combatting money-laundering activities, including in overseas branches. Any transaction approved by the FDIC may not be completed until thirty (30) days after such approval.

Arkansas State Bank Department

The merger is subject to approval of the Bank Commissioner and the State Banking Board and after a public hearing following notice as prescribed by the Arkansas Banking Code. In the event an out-of-state bank is involved in the merger, the merger must comply with the requirements of the laws applicable to the out-of-state bank. The Company filed its application regarding the merger with the Arkansas State Bank Department on February 26, 2013. The application was approved by the Arkansas State Bank Department on April 18, 2013.

The Bank Commissioner shall approve the application if at the hearing both the Bank Commissioner and the State Banking Board find that:

- The proposed merger provides adequate capital structure;
- The terms of the merger agreement are fair;
- The merger is not contrary to the public interest;
- · The proposed merger adequately provides for dissenters' rights; and
- The requirements of all applicable state and federal laws have been complied with.

Agreement to Not Solicit Other Offers

Until the merger is completed or the merger agreement is terminated, FNB has agreed that it, its subsidiaries, its officers and its directors will not, subject to its fiduciary obligations:

- · solicit, initiate or encourage any inquiries or the making of any acquisition proposal; or
- enter into or continue any discussions or negotiations regarding any acquisition proposals.

FNB may, however, furnish information regarding FNB to, or enter into and engage in discussions with, any person or entity in response to an unsolicited bona fide acquisition proposal by the person or entity, if the board of directors of FNB reasonably determines, after consultation with its outside legal counsel, that (i) the acquisition proposal constitutes or is reasonably likely to lead to a superior proposal and (ii) the action is required for the directors of FNB to comply with their fiduciary obligations under applicable law.

If the board of directors of FNB determines that such acquisition proposal is a superior proposal, before it may withdraw or adversely modify its approval or recommendation of the merger with Bank of the Ozarks and recommend the superior proposal, FNB must notify the Company of such superior proposal and the material terms and conditions of the superior proposal. A "superior proposal" is an unsolicited, bona fide, acquisition proposal that the board of directors of FNB determines in good faith (after receiving advice from outside counsel and its financial advisor), taking into account all legal, financial, regulatory and other aspects of the proposal and the person (or group of persons) making the proposal (including the break-up fees, expense reimbursement provisions and conditions to consummation) that (i) if consummated, would be more favorable to shareholders of FNB from a financial point of view than the Company merger and (ii) if accepted, is reasonable likely to be completed on the terms proposed on a timely basis. The Company has a right of first refusal for four (4) business days after receipt from FNB of a notice that it has received a superior proposal to adjust the terms of the merger agreement in order to allow the board of directors of FNB to proceed with the merger agreement without breaching its fiduciary duty.

Termination; Amendment

The merger agreement may be terminated prior to the closing, before or after approval by FNB shareholders, for various reasons, including the following:

- by mutual consent of the boards of directors of the Company and FNB;
- by either party if any required regulatory approvals for consummation of the merger are not obtained;
- by either party if FNB shareholders do not approve the merger agreement and merger;
- by a party who is not in material breach of the agreement if the other party (1) materially breaches any covenants or undertakings contained in the merger agreement or (2) materially breaches any representations or warranties contained in the merger agreement, in each case if such breach is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on either party and such breach cannot be or has not been cured within thirty (30) days after notice from the terminating party;
- by either party if the merger has not occurred on or before August 31, 2013; or
- by the Company, if the board of directors of FNB (1) materially breaches its non-solicitation obligations provided in the merger agreement, (2) fails to recommend, or withdraws its previous recommendation of, the merger and the merger agreement, (3) recommends, proposes or publicly announces its intention to recommend or propose to engage in an acquisition transaction with any person other than the Company, or (4) fails to convene the special meeting.

The merger agreement may also be amended or modified at any time, before or after its approval by the shareholders of FNB, by mutual agreement, except that no amendment shall be made after the special meeting without FNB shareholder approval if such amendment, by law, would require further approval by the shareholders of FNB.

Effect of Termination

If the merger agreement is terminated, it will become void and have no effect and the parties will be relieved of all obligations and liabilities, except that certain specified provisions of the agreement will survive and:

- if the agreement is terminated because of a material breach of a representation, warranty, covenant or agreement that is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on either party, the breaching party will not be relieved of liability for any breach giving rise to the termination, and in the case of a breach by FNB, may be liable for the termination fee or liquidated damages described below; and
- each party will remain liable for any subsequent breach of any covenant that survives termination of the agreement.

If the merger agreement is terminated by the Company because the board of directors of FNB (i) materially breaches its nonsolicitation obligations provided in the merger agreement, (ii) fails to recommend, or withdraws its previous recommendation of, the merger and the merger agreement, (iii) recommends, proposes or publicly announces its intention to recommend or propose to engage in an acquisition transaction with any person other than the Company, or (iv) fails to convene the special meeting, then FNB will pay to the Company a termination fee equal to 4% of the purchase price to be paid within two business days after FNB's receipt of the Company's termination notice. If the merger agreement is terminated by the Company following FNB's uncured material breach of any of its representations, warranties, covenants or agreements in the merger agreement, which breach is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on either party (other than those breaches described immediately above), then FNB will pay to the Company liquidated damages of \$500,000, to be paid within two business days after FNB's receipt of the Company's termination notice.

Real Estate Purchase Agreement

In connection with the merger, Bank of the Ozarks entered into a separate Real Estate Purchase Agreement with Shelby Loan and Mortgage and its wholly-owned subsidiary, SLMC, to purchase four parcels of land and the buildings thereon, which are presently leased to FNB and operated as FNB banking locations. A majority of the shareholders of Shelby Loan and Mortgage are also shareholders of FNB. The purchase price for the real property is \$3,792,000. It is presently anticipated that the closing of the Real Estate Purchase Agreement will occur contemporaneously with the closing of the merger.

Fees and Expenses

The Company and FNB will each pay its own costs and expenses in connection with the merger and the transactions contemplated thereby except as described above.

Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger

The following summary describes the anticipated material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to holders of FNB common stock. The following summary is based upon the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"), its legislative history, existing and proposed regulations thereunder and published rulings and decisions, all as currently in effect as of the date hereof, and all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. Tax considerations under state, local or federal laws other than those pertaining to income tax, or federal laws applicable to alternative minimum taxes, are not addressed in this Proxy Statement/Prospectus.

The parties intend for the merger to be treated as a "reorganization" for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The Company has received an opinion from Kutak Rock LLP, and FNB has received an opinion from Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP, each to the effect that the merger will be treated for federal income tax purposes as a "reorganization" within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. These tax opinions are filed as exhibits to this registration statement and the disclosure in this section is based upon the tax opinions. It is also a

condition to the parties' respective obligations to complete the merger that the Company receive a closing opinion from Kutak Rock LLP, and that FNB receive a closing opinion from Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP, each dated the closing date of the merger and to the effect that the merger will be treated for federal income tax purposes as a "reorganization" within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. This condition may be waived, and in such event the Company and FNB will undertake to recirculate and re-solicit shareholders of FNB if the condition is waived by either party and the change in tax consequences is material. These opinions are and will be based on representation letters provided by the Company and FNB and on customary factual assumptions. The opinions described above will not be binding on the IRS or any court. The Company and FNB have not sought and will not seek any ruling from the IRS regarding any matters relating to the merger and, as a result, there can be no assurance that the IRS will not assert, or that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of the conclusions set forth below. In addition, if any of the representations or assumptions upon which the opinions are based are inconsistent with the actual facts, the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger could be adversely affected. The remainder of this discussion assumes that the merger will qualify as a "reorganization" within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code.

If a partnership (or other entity that is taxed as a partnership for federal income tax purposes) holds FNB common stock, the tax treatment of a partner in the partnership generally will depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Partnerships and partners in partnerships should consult their tax advisors about the tax consequences of the merger to them.

The actual tax consequences of the merger to you may be complex and will depend on your specific situation and on factors that are not within the control of the Company or FNB. You should consult with your own tax advisor as to the tax consequences of the merger in your particular circumstances, including the applicability and effect of the alternative minimum tax and any state, local or foreign and other tax laws and of changes in those laws.

Tax Consequences of the Merger Generally

The merger is intended to qualify as a "reorganization" within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. Accordingly, the material U.S. federal income tax consequences will be as follows:

- no gain or loss will be recognized by the Company or FNB as a result of the merger;
- except as discussed below with respect to cash received instead of a fractional share of Company common stock, under "
 –
 Receipt of Cash Consideration Only and Cash Received In Lieu of a Fractional Share of Company Common Stock," no gain or
 loss will be recognized by holders of FNB common stock who exchange all of their FNB common stock solely for Company
 common stock pursuant to the merger;
- gain (but not loss) will be recognized by holders of FNB common stock who receive shares of Company common stock and cash in exchange for shares of FNB common stock pursuant to the merger in an amount equal to the lesser of (1) the amount by which the sum of the fair market value of the Company common stock and cash received by a U.S. holder of FNB common stock exceeds such holder's basis in its FNB common stock and (2) the amount of cash received by such holder of FNB common stock. (The tax treatment of holders who receive the entirety of their consideration in cash is discussed below under "– Receipt of Cash Consideration Only and Cash Received Instead of a Fractional Share of Company Common Stock" on page 80);
- the aggregate basis of the Company common stock received by a holder of FNB common stock in the merger (including fractional shares of Company common stock deemed received and redeemed as described below) will be the same as the aggregate basis of the FNB common stock for which it is exchanged, decreased by the amount of cash received in the merger (other than cash received in lieu of a fractional share in Company common stock), and increased by the amount of gain recognized on the exchange, other than with respect to cash received in lieu of a fractional share in Company common

stock (regardless of whether such gain is classified as capital gain or as dividend income, as discussed below under "- Potential Recharacterization of Gain as a Dividend"); and

 the holding period of Company common stock received in exchange for shares of FNB common stock (including fractional shares of Company common stock deemed received and redeemed as described below) will include the holding period of the FNB common stock for which it is exchanged.

If a holder of FNB common stock acquired different blocks of FNB common stock at different times or at different prices, any gain or loss will be determined separately with respect to each block of FNB common stock, and the cash and shares of Company common stock received will be allocated pro rata to each such block of stock. Holders of FNB common stock should consult their tax advisors with regard to identifying the bases or holding periods of the particular shares of Company common stock received in the merger.

At the time a holder makes a cash or stock election pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, such holder will not know whether, and to what extent, the proration provisions of the merger agreement might alter the mix of consideration such holder will receive. As a result, the U.S. federal income tax consequences to such holder will not be ascertainable with certainty until such holder knows the precise amount of cash and Company common shares that such holder will receive in the merger.

Taxation of Capital Gain

Except as described under "– Potential Recharacterization of Gain as a Dividend" below, gain that holders of FNB common stock recognize in connection with the merger generally will constitute capital gain and will constitute long-term capital gain if such holders have held (or are treated as having held) their FNB common stock for more than one year as of the date of the merger. For holders of FNB common stock that are noncorporate holders, long-term capital gain generally will be taxed at a maximum U.S. federal income tax rate that is lower than the rate for ordinary income or for short-term capital gains. As a result of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 ("ATRA"), the maximum U.S. federal income tax rate in effect for long-term capital gains recognized during 2013 is 20% for high income taxpayers, *i.e.*, married couples filing joint returns with taxable income in excess of \$450,000, heads of household with taxable income in excess of \$425,000 and other individuals with taxable income in excess of \$400,000. The maximum long-term capital gains rate for non-high income taxpayers is 15%.

Potential Recharacterization of Gain as a Dividend

All or part of the gain that a particular holder of FNB common stock recognizes could be treated as dividend income rather than capital gain if (1) such holder is a significant shareholder of the Company or (2) such holder's percentage ownership, taking into account constructive ownership rules, in the Company after the merger is not meaningfully reduced from what its percentage ownership would have been if it had received solely shares of Company common stock rather than a combination of cash and shares of Company common stock in the merger. This could happen, for example, because of ownership of additional shares of Company common stock by such holder, ownership of shares of Company common stock by a person related to such holder or a share repurchase by the Company from other holders of Company common stock. The IRS has indicated in rulings that any reduction in the interest of a minority shareholder that owns a small number of shares in a publicly and widely held corporation and that exercises no control over corporate affairs would result in capital gain as opposed to dividend treatment. ATRA increases the maximum rate on qualified dividends for high income taxpayers to 20% (as compared to 15% prior to 2013). Because the possibility of dividend treatment depends primarily upon the particular circumstances of a holder of FNB common stock, including the application of certain constructive ownership rules, holders of FNB common stock should consult their own tax advisors regarding the potential tax consequences of the merger to them.

Receipt of Cash Consideration Only and Cash Received Instead of a Fractional Share of Company Common Stock

A holder of FNB common stock who receives the entirety of his or her consideration in the form of cash will generally recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the basis in his or her FNB common stock. In addition, a holder of FNB common stock who receives cash in lieu of a fractional share of Company common stock will be treated as having received the fractional share pursuant to the merger and then as having exchanged the fractional share for cash in a redemption by the Company. As a result, such holder of FNB common stock will generally recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the basis in his or her fractional share interest as set forth above. The gain or loss recognized by the holders described in this paragraph will generally be capital gain or loss, and will be long-term capital gain or loss if, as of the effective date of the merger, the holder's holding period for the relevant shares is greater than one year. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations. As of January 1, 2013, net investment income of certain high-income taxpayers will be subject to an additional 3.8% tax. Because the impact of the net investment income tax depends primarily upon the particular circumstances of a holder of FNB common stock, holders of FNB common stock should consult their own tax advisors regarding the potential impact of these recent tax changes to them.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Payments of cash to a holder of FNB common stock pursuant to the merger may, under certain circumstances, be subject to information reporting and backup withholding unless the holder provides proof of an applicable exemption or, in the case of backup withholding, furnishes its correct taxpayer identification number and otherwise complies with all applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules. Any amounts withheld from payments to a holder under the backup withholding rules are not additional tax and generally will be allowed as a refund or credit against the holder's U.S. federal income tax liability.

A holder of FNB common stock who receives Company common stock as a result of the merger will be required to retain records pertaining to the merger. Each holder of FNB common stock who is required to file a U.S. federal income tax return and who is a "significant holder" that receives Company common stock in the merger will be required to file a statement with such U.S. federal income tax return in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.368-3 setting forth information regarding the parties to the merger, the date of the merger, such holder's basis in the FNB common stock surrendered and the fair market value of the Company common stock and cash received in the merger. A "significant holder" is a holder of FNB common stock who, immediately before the merger, owned at least 1% of the outstanding stock of FNB or securities of FNB with a basis for federal income tax purposes of at least \$1 million.

This discussion does not address tax consequences that may vary with, or are contingent on, individual circumstances. Moreover, it does not address any non-income tax or any foreign, state or local tax consequences of the merger. Tax matters are very complicated, and the tax consequences of the merger to you will depend upon the facts of your particular situation. Accordingly, we strongly urge you to consult with a tax advisor to determine the particular federal, state, local or foreign income or other tax consequences to you of the merger.

The foregoing summary of material federal U.S. income tax consequences of the merger is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any shareholder of FNB, any shareholder of the Company or any other person for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed by the IRS.

Resale of Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Common Stock

The shares of Company common stock to be issued to shareholders of FNB under the merger agreement will be freely tradable by such shareholders without restriction, except that if any FNB shareholders are deemed to be affiliates of the Company they must abide by certain transfer restrictions under the Securities Act.

Accounting Treatment

The Company will account for the merger using the acquisition method of accounting. Under this accounting method, the Company would record the acquired identifiable assets and liabilities assumed at their fair market value at the time the merger is complete. Any excess of the cost of FNB over the sum of the fair values of tangible and identifiable intangible assets less liabilities assumed would be recorded as goodwill. Based on an assumed purchase price of \$64,000,000 and utilizing information as of March 31, 2013, estimated goodwill and other intangibles would total approximately \$12.5 million. The Company's reported income would include the operations of FNB after the merger. Financial statements of the Company after completion of the merger would reflect the impact of the acquisition of FNB. Financial statements of the Company issued before completion of the merger would not be restated retroactively to reflect FNB historical financial position or results of operation.

Dissenters' Appraisal Rights

Under Section 214a of the National Bank Act, holders of FNB common stock will be entitled to dissent from the merger and obtain payment in cash of the appraised fair value of such holder's shares of FNB common stock. Set forth below is a summary of the procedures that must be followed by holders of FNB common stock in order to perfect their dissenters' rights of appraisal.

This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the text of Section 214a of the National Bank Act, a copy of which is included as Appendix C to this proxy statement/prospectus. Also included in Appendix C is an excerpt from the "Business Combinations" section of the Comptroller's Licensing Manual, adopted by the OCC, describing the methods used by the OCC to estimate the value of a bank's shares when requested to do so by a dissenting shareholder.

In order to receive payment as a dissenting shareholder, a shareholder must (i) either vote against the merger or, at or prior to the FNB shareholder meeting, provide written notice of such shareholder's dissent to the merger to FNB; and (ii) within thirty (30) days of the consummation of the merger, make a written demand for payment of the fair value of such shareholder's shares from Bank of the Ozarks. The failure of any shareholder to vote against, or provide notice of dissent to, the merger and to make a written demand for payment of fair value within the thirty (30) days following consummation of the merger will result in such shareholder being bound by the terms of the merger, and such shareholder's shares of FNB common stock will be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration.

The value of dissenting shares will be determined, as of the date of the meeting at which shareholders of FNB approve the merger, by a committee of three appraisers, one selected by the holders of a majority of the dissenting shares, one selected by the Company and the third selected by the other two appraisers. If the value determined is unsatisfactory to any dissenting shareholder, such shareholder may appeal to the OCC, within five (5) days of being notified of the value set by the appraisers, for a reappraisal, which shall be final and binding. If no appraisal is made within ninety (90) days of the consummation of the merger, the OCC shall, upon the written request of any interested party, make a final and binding appraisal.

A dissenting shareholder has no rights with respect to his or her shares of FNB common stock or the merger consideration into which such shares would have been converted, except the right to receive the payment of fair value, conditioned upon such shareholder following all procedures set forth above and surrendering such shareholder's certificates.

The expenses of the OCC in making the reappraisal or the appraisal, as the case may be, shall be paid by the Company. Dissenting shareholders and the Company each will bear their own expenses incurred in connection with all other aspects of the appraisal process.

Exercise of dissenters' rights by holders of FNB common stock will result in the recognition of gain or loss, as the case may be, for federal income tax purposes.

COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS

As a result of the proposed merger, holders of FNB common stock may be exchanging all or a portion of their shares of a national banking association governed by the National Bank Act, the regulations of the OCC, the North Carolina Business Corporation Act (the "North Carolina Act"), and the articles of association and bylaws of FNB, for shares of the Company, an Arkansas corporation governed by the Arkansas Business Corporation Act (the "Arkansas Act") and the Company's articles of incorporation and bylaws. Certain significant differences exist between the rights of FNB shareholders and those of Company shareholders. Material differences are summarized below. Federal banking regulations provide that, to the extent not inconsistent with applicable Federal banking law, a national bank may elect to follow the corporate governance procedures of the law of the state in which the main office of the bank is located, the Delaware General Corporation Law, or the Model Business Corporation Act. Pursuant to Section 8.4 of its bylaws, FNB has elected to adopt the corporate governance procedures of the State of North Carolina, the state in which its main office is located.

The following discussion is necessarily general; it is not intended to be a complete statement of all differences affecting the rights of shareholders and their respective entities, and it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the National Bank Act, the regulations of the OCC, the North Carolina Act, and the Arkansas Act, as well as to the articles of association and bylaws of FNB and the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the Company.

The Company's articles of incorporation and bylaws contain a number of provisions relating to corporate governance and rights of shareholders that might discourage future takeover attempts. As a result, shareholders who might desire to participate in such transactions may not have an opportunity to do so.

The following description is a summary of the provisions of the articles of incorporation and bylaws. See "Where You Can Find More Information" as to how to obtain or review a copy of these documents.

Authorized Capital Stock

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. The Company's articles of incorporation authorize the issuance of 50,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, of which 35,366,824 shares were outstanding as of March 31, 2013, and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, of which none are issued or outstanding.

Holders of Company common stock are entitled to one vote per share for all purposes. They are entitled to such dividends, if any, as may be declared by the board of directors in compliance with the provisions of the Arkansas Act and the regulations of the appropriate regulatory authorities and to receive the net assets of the corporation upon dissolution. Company shareholders do not have any preemptive, conversion or redemption rights. The outstanding shares of Company common stock are, and the shares to be issued in connection with the merger will be, when issued, fully paid and nonassessable.

The Company's board of directors may authorize the issuance of authorized but unissued shares of Company common stock without shareholder approval, unless such approval is required in a particular case by applicable laws or regulations. The authorized but unissued shares of Company common stock will be issuable from time to time for any corporate purpose, including, without limitation, stock splits, stock dividends, employee benefit and compensation plans, acquisitions, and public or private sales for cash as a means of raising capital. These shares could be used to dilute the stock ownership of persons seeking to obtain control of the Company. In addition, the sale of a substantial number of shares of Company common stock to persons who have an understanding with the Company concerning the voting of such shares, or the distribution or declaration of a common stock dividend to Company shareholders, may have the effect of discouraging or increasing the cost of unsolicited attempts to acquire control of the Company.

The Company also is authorized to issue preferred stock from time to time in one or more series with such designations, powers, preferences and rights as the Company's board of directors may from time to time determine. The Company's board of directors can, without shareholder approval, issue preferred stock with

voting, dividend, liquidation and conversion rights that could dilute the voting strength of the common stock and may assist management in impeding an unfriendly takeover or attempted takeover. The board of directors of the Company has no present plan or understanding to issue any preferred stock.

The First National Bank of Shelby. The authorized capital stock of FNB consists of 400,000 shares of common stock, par value \$10.00 per share, all of which shares were issued and outstanding as of the record date. FNB is not authorized to issue any shares of preferred stock. Holders of FNB common stock are entitled to one vote per share for all purposes. They are entitled to such dividends, if any, as may be declared by the board of directors in compliance with the provisions of the National Bank Act, the regulations of the OCC and FNB's dividend policy, and to receive the net assets of the bank upon dissolution. Shareholders of FNB do not have any preemptive rights. The outstanding shares of FNB common stock are fully paid and nonassessable.

Amendment of Articles of Incorporation/Articles of Association and Bylaws

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Under the Arkansas Act, the board of directors may amend the articles of a corporation to extend its duration, change the name of the corporation to include words required by the Arkansas Act, declare a forward stock split in a class of shares if there is only one class outstanding, and for certain other ministerial actions. Any other amendment to the articles of incorporation must first be approved by a majority of the board of directors and thereafter by the affirmative vote of a majority of all shares voting thereon (assuming the presence of a quorum), voting together as a single class, as well as any such additional vote of any preferred stock, if then issued and outstanding, as may be required by the provisions thereof. The affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the shares entitled to vote on the matter, voting together as a single class, as well as such additional vote of any preferred stock, if then issued and outstanding, as may be required by the provisions thereof, is required to amend charter provisions relating to the number, election and removal of directors.

The bylaws of the Company may be amended by the board of directors or the shareholders. Amendment of the bylaws by the board of directors requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the directors then in office. Shareholders of the Company can amend the bylaws at a regular or special meeting of the shareholders at which a quorum is present. A shareholder amendment of the bylaws requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shareholders.

The First National Bank of Shelby. FNB's articles of association may be amended by a vote of two-thirds of the outstanding common stock of FNB. Further, the National Bank Act requires the vote of two-thirds of the outstanding FNB stock to approve, among other things, an amendment to increase or decrease the authorized capital stock of FNB.

Directors and Absence of Cumulative Voting

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. The Company's articles of incorporation and bylaws provide that the number of directors shall be fixed from time to time by resolution of the board of directors and shall not be less than three (3) nor more than fifteen (15). The number of directors is presently fixed at thirteen (13) directors. Directors are not required to be shareholders of the Company.

The articles of incorporation authorize the board, by resolution, to divide the directors into two or three classes, with the members of each class to be elected for staggered two or three year terms, as applicable. Despite this authorization, the board of directors has not resolved to classify the board of directors and presently, all directors are elected annually for one year terms.

There is no cumulative voting on directors. With cumulative voting, a shareholder has the right to cast a number of votes equal to the total number of such holder's shares multiplied by the number of directors to be elected. The shareholder has the right to cast all of such holder's votes in favor of one candidate or to distribute such holder's votes in any manner among any number of candidates. Directors are elected by a plurality of the

total votes cast by all shareholders. With cumulative voting, it may be possible for minority shareholders to obtain representation on the board of directors. Without cumulative voting, the holders of more than 50% of the shares of Company common stock generally have the ability to elect 100% of the directors. As a result, the holders of the remaining common stock effectively may not be able to elect any person to the board of directors. The absence of cumulative voting, therefore, could make it more difficult for a shareholder who acquires less than a majority of the shares of common stock to obtain representation on the Company's board of directors.

The articles of incorporation of the Company provide generally that vacancies on the board of directors (including any vacancy resulting from an increase in the number of directors) shall be filled by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors for an unexpired term.

The First National Bank of Shelby. The articles of FNB provide that the number of directors shall be not less than five (5) nor more than twenty-five (25), such number to be determined from time to time by a vote of a majority of the outstanding common stock of FNB, and such directors shall be elected annually for one year terms. Directors of FNB are required to be shareholders of FNB. Presently, fourteen (14) individual directors comprise the board of directors of FNB and an additional two individuals serve as directors in a non-voting honorary capacity. Directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast in an election and cumulative voting is not permitted.

Removal of Directors

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. The Company's articles of incorporation provide that a director may be removed only for cause, and then only by the affirmative vote of shareholders holding two-thirds of the outstanding shares entitled to vote in the election of such director, at a special meeting of shareholders called for such purpose.

The First National Bank of Shelby. The articles of association and bylaws of FNB are silent with regard to the removal of directors. Pursuant to Section 8.4 of FNB's bylaws, the North Carolina Act is applicable in determining the ability of shareholders to remove directors. Section 55-8-08 of the North Carolina Act provides that absent anything to the contrary in the articles of incorporation, shareholders may remove a director from office with or without cause.

Limitations on Director Liability

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. The Company's articles of incorporation provide that a director of the Company will not be personally liable for monetary damages arising from his or her breach of fiduciary duty as a director of the Company. This provision, however, does not eliminate or limit the liability of the Company's directors for (1) any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to the Company or its shareholders, (2) acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, (3) liability under the Arkansas Act for unlawful distributions, (4) any transaction from which the director received an improper personal benefit, or (5) any action, omission, transaction or breach of a director's duty creating any third-party liability to any person or entity other than the Company or its shareholders.

The First National Bank of Shelby. The articles of association of FNB do not address limitations on director liability.

Indemnification

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. The Arkansas Act permits a corporation to indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit, or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative (other than an action by or in the right of the corporation) by reason of the fact that he is or was a director, officer, employee, or agent of the corporation or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee, or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments,

fines, and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with such action, suit, or proceeding if he acted in good faith and in a manner he reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful.

Additionally, the Arkansas Act permits a corporation to indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending, or completed action or suit by or in the right of the corporation to procure a judgment in its favor by reason of the fact that he is or was a director, officer, employee, or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee, or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise against expenses (including attorneys' fees) actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the defense or settlement of such action or suit if he acted in good faith and in a manner he reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation and except that no indemnification shall be made in respect of any claim, issue, or matter as to which such person shall have been adjudged to be liable to the corporation that, despite the adjudication of liability but in view of all the circumstances of the case, such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expenses which the court of chancery or such other court shall deem proper.

To the extent that a director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation has been successful on the merits regarding any such action, he shall be indemnified against expenses (including attorneys' fees) actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection therewith.

Unless ordered by a court, the determination of whether indemnification is proper in a specific case will be determined by (1) a majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not party to such suit, (2) if such quorum is unobtainable and the board of directors so directs, by special legal counsel, or (3) by the shareholders.

The Company's articles of incorporation provide that the Company shall indemnify any person who is or was serving as a director, officer, employee or agents of the Company (or who was serving in such capacity for another corporation or entity at the request of the Company) to the full extent permitted by the Arkansas Act.

The rights of indemnification provided in the articles of incorporation are not exclusive of any other rights which may be available under the bylaws, any insurance or other agreement, by vote of shareholders or directors (regardless of whether directors authorizing such indemnification are beneficiaries thereof) or otherwise. In addition, the articles of incorporation authorize the Company to maintain insurance on behalf of any person who is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the Company, whether or not the Company would have the power to provide indemnification to such person. These provisions are designed to reduce, in appropriate cases, the risks incident to serving as a director, officer, employee or agent and to enable the Company to attract and retain the best personnel available.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling the Company pursuant to the foregoing provisions, the Company has been informed that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

The First National Bank of Shelby. The bylaws of FNB provide that FNB may indemnify officers, directors and employees for any payments incurred in connection with an administrative proceeding or civil action initiated by any federal banking agency, provided such payments are reasonable and consistent with federal law. The bylaws further provide that FNB may indemnify officers, directors and employees for damages and expenses, including the advancement of expenses and legal fees, in cases involving an administrative proceeding or civil action not initiated by a federal banking agency, in accordance with the laws of the State of North Carolina, provided such payments are consistent with safe and sound banking practices.

Under the North Carolina Act, a corporation may indemnify any director against liability if such person (i) acted in his or her official capacity as a director; (ii) conducted himself or herself in good faith; (iii) reasonably believed, in the case of conduct in his or her official capacity with the corporation, that his or her conduct was in the best interests of the corporation, and in all other cases, that his or her conduct was at least not opposed to the corporation's best interests; and (iv) in the case of any criminal proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. Also under the North Carolina Act, a corporation may not indemnify a director in connection with a proceeding by or in the right of the corporation in which such person was held liable to the corporation or in connection with a proceeding in which such person was held liable on the basis that personal benefit was improperly received by him or her.

Unless limited by its articles of incorporation, a North Carolina corporation must indemnify, against reasonable expenses incurred, a director who is wholly successful, on the merits or otherwise, in defending any proceeding to which the director was a party because of his or her status as a director of the corporation. Expenses incurred by a director in defending a proceeding may be paid by the corporation in advance of the final disposition of the proceeding if that director furnishes the corporation a written undertaking to repay such amount if it is ultimately determined that he or she is not entitled to be indemnified by the corporation against such expenses. A director may apply for court-ordered indemnification under certain circumstances.

Under the North Carolina Act, unless a corporation's articles of incorporation provide otherwise, (i) an officer of a corporation is entitled to mandatory indemnification and is entitled to apply for court-ordered indemnification to the same extent as a director and (ii) the corporation may indemnify and advance expenses to an officer, employee or agent of the corporation to the same extent as to a director.

In addition and separate from the statutory indemnification rights discussed above, the North Carolina Act provides that a corporation may in its articles of incorporation or bylaws or by contract or resolution indemnify or agree to indemnify any one or more of its directors, officers, employees or agents against liability and expenses in any proceeding (including without limitation a proceeding brought by or on behalf of the corporation itself) arising out of their status as such or their activities in any of the foregoing capacities. A corporation may not indemnify or agree to indemnify a person against liability or expenses he or she may incur on account of activities that were at the time taken known or believed by him or her to be clearly in conflict with the best interests of the corporation. A corporation may likewise and to the same extent indemnify or agree to indemnify any person who, at the request of the corporation, is or was serving as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or agent of another foreign or domestic corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise or as a trustee or administrator under an employee benefit plan. Any such provision for indemnification also may include provisions for recovery from the corporation of reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys' fees in connection with the enforcement of rights to indemnification and may further include provisions establishing reasonable procedures for determining and enforcing the rights granted therein.

Special Meetings of Shareholders

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Special meetings of the shareholders may be called only by the chairman of the board of directors, the chief executive officer, the president, the board of directors, or by a duly designated committee of the board of directors. At the request of holders of at least 10% of the shares entitled to vote, the chairman, the chief executive officer or the president shall call a special meeting of the shareholders.

The First National Bank of Shelby. Special meetings of the shareholders may be called at any time by the board of directors or by any three (3) or more shareholders owning, in the aggregate, not less than 10% of the outstanding common stock of FNB.

Shareholder Action by Written Consent

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Shareholder action on a proposal to increase the capital stock or bond indebtedness of the Company may be taken without a meeting if one or more written consents, setting forth the action so taken, shall be signed by all of the shareholders of the Company. Any other action required or permitted

to be taken at a meeting of shareholders may be taken without a meeting if one or more written consents, setting forth the action so taken, shall be signed by the holders of outstanding shares having not less than the minimum number of votes that would be necessary to authorize or take such action at a meeting at which all shares entitled to vote thereon were present and voted.

The First National Bank of Shelby. The articles of association and bylaws of FNB do not address shareholder action by written consent. The North Carolina Act permits shareholders to act by written consent only if authorized to do so in the articles of association, with the exception that shareholders may act by written consent, even if not authorized to do so in the articles, to elect directors if such action is approved by all shareholders entitled to vote in the election of directors.

Shareholder Proposals and Advance Notice Requirement

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. The bylaws of the Company provide that in order to be properly brought before the annual meeting, a shareholder proposal must be delivered, in writing, to the secretary of the Company not less than 120 calendar days prior to the one year anniversary of the date on which the Company first released to shareholders its proxy statement in connection with the previous year's annual meeting, and such proposal must meet the requirements of SEC Rule 14a-8. The board of directors, in its discretion, may waive the requirement of advance written notice for shareholder proposals if the party proposing the business is the record owner at the time of the proposal of more than 25% of the voting stock of the Company.

The First National Bank of Shelby. Shareholders of FNB that desire to nominate a person for election to the board of directors must submit their nominations to the chief executive officer of FNB not less than fourteen (14) days and no more than fifty (50) days prior to the meeting of shareholders at which directors will be elected; provided, however, if shareholders receive less than twenty-one (21) days' notice of the meeting, the shareholder nomination must be mailed no later than the close of business on the seventh day following the day on which the notice of meeting was mailed. FNB does not require its shareholders to provide any advance notice of other business to be brought at the annual meeting.

Dissenters' Appraisal Rights

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Under Arkansas law, a shareholder of a corporation participating in certain major corporate transactions may, under varying circumstances, be entitled to appraisal rights, pursuant to which such shareholder may receive cash in the amount of the fair value of his, her or its shares in lieu of the consideration he, she or it would otherwise receive in the transaction. Under Arkansas law, "fair value" means the value of the shares immediately before the effectuation of the corporate action to which the dissenter objects, excluding any appreciation or depreciation in anticipation of the corporate action unless exclusion would be inequitable. A shareholder that complies with Arkansas law governing dissenting shareholders' appraisal rights has a right of appraisal with respect to: (1) a plan of merger that requires the approval of the shareholders, (2) a merger of a parent corporation with its subsidiary effected without shareholder approval, (3) a plan of share exchange in which the corporation's shares will be acquired that requires the approval of the shareholders, (4) a sale or exchange of all or substantially all of the property other than in the usual and regular course of business that requires the approval of the shareholders within one year of the sale, (5) certain amendments of the articles of incorporation that materially and adversely affect rights of a holder of shares, and (6) any corporate action taken pursuant to a shareholder vote to the extent that the articles of incorporation, bylaws or a resolution of the board of directors provide that voting or nonvoting shareholders are entitled to dissent and obtain payment for their shares.

The First National Bank of Shelby. A summary of the pertinent provisions of Federal law pertaining to dissenters' rights is set forth under the caption "Approval of the Merger – Dissenters' Appraisal Rights", on page 81, and such provisions are included as Appendix C.

Shareholders' Rights to Examine Books and Records

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Arkansas law provides a shareholder and his, her or its agent or attorney with a right to inspect (beginning two (2) business days after notice of a meeting is given) and copy the corporation's shareholder list. Arkansas law also permits any shareholder, on at least five (5) business days advance written demand to the corporation, to inspect (1) the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the corporation and all amendments thereto that are in effect, (2) board resolutions of the corporation relating to the creation or fixing the rights, preferences and limitations of any class of shares that are still outstanding, (3) minutes of shareholder meetings, records of actions taken by shareholders without a meeting and all written communications to shareholders, including financial statements furnished to shareholders, for the past three years, (4) the names and business addresses of the current directors and officers and (5) the most recent annual franchise tax report delivered to the Arkansas Secretary of State. In addition, a shareholder satisfying specified conditions is entitled to inspect (a) excerpts of minutes of any meeting of the board of directors and records of any actions of any committee of the board of directors and of actions taken by the board of directors without a meeting, (b) accounting records, (c) the record of shareholders, and (d) the shareholder list as described above, in each case if the demand is made in good faith and for a proper purpose, describes the purpose of the inspection and the desired records with reasonable particularity, and the desired records are directly connected to the purpose of such inspection.

The First National Bank of Shelby. Under the North Carolina Act, a complete list of the shareholders entitled to vote at a shareholders meeting must be available for shareholder inspection beginning two (2) business days after notice of the shareholders meeting is given, and continuing through the meeting at the corporation's principal office or at a place identified in the meeting notice in the city where the meeting will be held.

The North Carolina Act permits a shareholder on at least five (5) business days advance written demand to the corporation, to inspect (1) the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the corporation and all amendments thereto that are in effect, (2) board resolutions of the corporation relating to the creation or fixing the rights, preferences and limitations of any class of shares that are still outstanding, (3) minutes of shareholder meetings, records of actions taken by shareholders without a meeting and all written communications to shareholders, including financial statements furnished to shareholders, for the past three years, (4) the names and business addresses of the current directors and officers and (5) the most recent annual report delivered to the North Carolina Secretary of Revenue. In addition, a shareholder satisfying specified conditions is entitled to inspect (a) excerpts of minutes of any meeting of the board of directors and records of any actions of any committee of the board of directors and of actions taken by the board of directors without a meeting, (b) accounting records, and (c) the record of shareholders, in each case if the demand is made in good faith and for a proper purpose, describes the purpose of the inspection and the desired records with reasonable particularity, and the desired records are directly connected to the purpose of such inspection.

Dividends

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Under Arkansas law, a corporation may not make any distribution to its shareholders if, after giving effect to the distribution (1) the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business or (2) the corporation's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were to be dissolved at the time of distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of shareholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution. The ability of the Company to pay dividends to its shareholders is directly influenced by the ability of Bank of the Ozarks to pay dividends to the Company, as its sole shareholder. Approval of the Arkansas State Bank Commissioner is required before Bank of the Ozarks can declare and pay any dividend of 75% or more of its net profits after all taxes for the current year plus 75% of the retained net profits for the immediately preceding year.

The First National Bank of Shelby. The ability of a national bank to pay cash dividends is subject to 12 U.S.C. § 56, which states that no bank may pay dividends from its capital; all dividends must be paid out of net profits then on hand, after deducting for expenses including losses and bad debts. The payment of dividends out

of net profits of a national bank is further limited by 12 U.S.C. § 60(a), which prohibits a bank from declaring a dividend on its shares of common stock until the surplus fund equals the amount of capital stock, or if the surplus fund does not equal the amount of capital stock, until one-tenth of the bank's net profits of the preceding half-year, in the case of quarterly or semi-annual dividends, or the preceding two consecutive half-year periods, in the case of annual dividends, are transferred to the surplus fund before each dividend is declared.

Pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 60(b), the approval of the OCC is required, if the total of all dividends declared by a national bank in any calendar year shall exceed the total of its net profits for that year combined with its net profits for the two preceding years, less any required transfers to surplus or a fund for the retirement of any preferred stock. The OCC has adopted guidelines indicating that a national bank, in assessing the payment of dividends, should evaluate the bank's capital position, its maintenance of an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses, and the need to review or develop a comprehensive capital plan, complete with financial projections, budgets and dividend guidelines. Thus, the payment of dividends by a national bank is also governed by its ability to maintain minimum required capital levels and an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses. Additionally, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 1818(b), the OCC may prohibit the payment of any dividend that would constitute an unsafe and unsound banking practice.

Additionally, in accordance with the requirements of the Formal Agreement with the OCC, FNB adopted a dividend policy that permits the declaration of a dividend only when FNB is in compliance with its approved capital program, when FNB is in compliance with 12 U.S.C. §§56 and 60, and after obtaining a written determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC.

DESCRIPTION OF BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC. CAPITAL STOCK

In this section, we describe the material features and rights of the Company's capital stock after the merger. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to applicable Arkansas law and the Company's articles of incorporation and bylaws. See "Where You Can Find More Information" on page 228.

General

The Company is authorized to issue 50,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, none of which authorized shares of preferred stock is issued or outstanding. Each share of Company common stock has the same relative rights as, and is identical in all respects to, each other share of Company common stock.

As of March 31, 2013, there were 35,366,824 shares of common stock of the Company outstanding, no shares of common stock of the Company were held in treasury and 854,350 shares of common stock of the Company were reserved for issuance pursuant to the Company's employee benefit and stock option plans. After giving effect to the merger on a pro forma basis assuming that shareholders of FNB elect to receive the minimum amount of stock consideration upon completion of the merger, approximately 36.1 million shares of Company common stock will be outstanding.

Common Stock

Dividends. Subject to certain regulatory restrictions, the Company can pay dividends from funds legally available if, as and when declared by its board of directors. Company dividends are generally provided through dividends from Bank of the Ozarks. Payments of dividends by Bank of the Ozarks are subject to limitations that are imposed by law and applicable regulations. The holders of common stock of the Company are entitled to receive and share equally in such dividends as may be declared by the board of directors of the Company out of funds legally available therefore. If the Company issues preferred stock, the holders thereof may have a priority over the holders of the common stock with respect to dividends.

Voting Rights. The holders of common stock of the Company currently possess exclusive voting rights in the Company. They elect the Company's board of directors and act on such other matters as are required to be presented to them under Arkansas law or as are otherwise presented to them by the board of directors. Each holder of common stock is entitled to one vote per share and does not have any right to cumulate votes in the election of directors. If the Company were to issue preferred stock, holders of the preferred stock might also possess voting rights.

Liquidation. Subsequent to the merger, in the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Bank of the Ozarks, the Company, as holder of the subsidiary's capital stock, would be entitled to receive, after payment or provision for payment of all debts and liabilities of Bank of the Ozarks (including all deposit accounts and accrued interest thereon), all assets of Bank of the Ozarks available for distribution. In the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the holders of its common stock would be entitled to receive, after payment or provision for payment of all of its debts and liabilities, all of the assets of the Company available for distribution. If preferred stock is issued, the holders thereof may have a priority over the holders of Company common stock in the event of liquidation or dissolution.

Preemptive Rights. The holders of common stock of the Company are not entitled to preemptive rights with respect to any shares that may be issued. The Company's common stock is not subject to redemption.

Preferred Stock

Shares of Company preferred stock may be issued with such designations, powers, preferences and rights as the Company's board of directors may from time to time determine. The Company's board of directors can, without shareholder approval, issue preferred stock with voting, dividend, liquidation and conversion rights that could dilute the voting strength of the holders of the common stock and may assist management in impeding an unfriendly takeover or attempted change in control.

CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.

General

The Company is a registered bank holding company subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Reserve and is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Arkansas. Its main office is located at 17901 Chenal Parkway, Little Rock, Arkansas 72223 (telephone number: (501) 978-2265). The Company owns all of the outstanding stock of Bank of the Ozarks, an Arkansas state banking corporation.

At March 31, 2013, the Company had consolidated total assets of approximately \$3.95 billion, total deposits of approximately \$2.99 billion, and total common stockholders' equity of approximately \$524 million. Additional information about the Company is included in documents incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus. See "Where You Can Find More Information, on page 228."

Recent Financial Results of the Company; Additional Information

Information relating to executive compensation, various benefit plans, voting securities and the principal holders of voting securities, relationships and related transactions and other related matters as to the Company is incorporated by reference or set forth in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 or in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which are incorporated into this document by reference. See "Where You Can Find More Information," on page 228.

CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY

General

FNB is a national banking association subject to the supervision and regulation of the OCC. Its main office is located at 106 South Lafayette Street, Shelby, North Carolina (telephone number: (704) 484-6200.

Business

First National Bank of Shelby, a national bank, began operations in 1874. The Bank is primarily engaged in the business of obtaining deposits and originating commercial, industrial, consumer and real estate loans within its North Carolina lending area of Cleveland County, Gaston County, Lincoln County, Rutherford County and the surrounding counties.

At March 31, 2013, FNB had consolidated total assets of approximately \$716 million, total deposits of approximately \$608 million, and total common stockholders' equity of approximately \$85.8 million.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Unless the context requires otherwise, throughout this management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, "we," "us," "our," "management," and "Bank" refers to FNB and its management.

The following discussion and analysis identifies significant factors that have affected our financial position and operating results during the periods included in the accompanying financial statements. We encourage you to read this discussion and analysis in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes and the other statistical information also included in this report.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We have adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with general practices within the banking industry in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by us that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. We consider these accounting policies to be critical accounting policies. The judgments and assumptions we use are based on historical experience and other factors, which we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions we make, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates and such differences could have a material impact on the carrying values of our assets and liabilities and our results of operations. Management has reviewed and approved these critical accounting policies and has discussed these policies with the Bank's Audit Committee.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the collectability of all or some portion of a loan balance is unlikely. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

We have an established process to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses that assesses the losses inherent in our portfolio. While we attribute portions of the allowance to specific portfolio segments, the entire allowance is available to absorb credit losses inherent in the total loan portfolio. Our allowance levels are influenced by loan volumes, loan grade migration or delinquency status, historic loss experience and other economic conditions.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components.

The specific component relates to impaired loans that are identified by analyzing loans classified as doubtful, substandard or a troubled debt restructuring. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the value of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a borrower will be unable to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include, but are not limited to, loan repayment pattern, source of repayment, and value of collateral. The major sources for identification of loans to be evaluated for impairment include past due and nonaccrual reports, internally generated lists of loans of certain risk grades, and regulatory reports of examination. An allowance on an impaired loan is required if the present value of the future cash flows discounted using the loan's effective interest rate is less than the carrying value of the loan. An impaired loan can also be valued based upon its fair value in the marketplace or on the basis of its underlying collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If foreclosure is imminent, and the loan is collateral dependent, the loan must be valued based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral.

The general component relates to loans not individually evaluated for impairment under the specific component of the allowance and is based on historical loan loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors, such as loan to value exceptions, credit concentrations, past due status and non-accrual status.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. The unallocated component incorporates external factors such as interest rates, unemployment rates and changes in gross domestic product and internal factors relating to underwriting and credit policy.

In conjunction with the changes in the current economic environment and as required by our Formal Agreement with the OCC, we have revised and updated our allowance for loan losses policy. Specifically, since December 31, 2011, we have modified our allowance methodology to calculate historical loss rates from annualized quarter end losses for each of the previous eight quarters. These historical loss rates are subsequently assigned equal weighting for each quarter. The Bank had previously calculated historical loss rates over a period of 20 quarters with descending weighting for each prior quarter. In addition, the Bank utilizes quarterly probability of default and loss given default analysis for the previous eight quarters to allocate historical loss rates and qualitative factors by risk category within each homogenous loan pool.

The objective of the revisions to the allowance for loan losses policy was to ensure that the Bank's allowance methodology conformed to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and complied with regulatory guidelines. A thorough review of interagency guidance, financial accounting standards and accounting standards updates was performed to identify elements necessary to meet compliance requirements.

Migration analysis and historical loss analysis of the Bank's own loss history was conducted within each risk category for groups of loans with similar characteristics. The results of these analyses were used to establish an appropriate loss period to calculate annualized average loss rates. The effect of the historical loss rates for each group of similar loans is adjusted by current qualitative factors to appropriately reflect estimated credit losses. Additionally, measurable qualitative factors identified within interagency guidance were incorporated into the allowance calculation, and were based upon historical analysis of these factors relative to the Bank's loss history. Adjusted historical loss rates are further allocated within the risk categories of each loan group according to probability and loss given default percentages derived from the historical loss analysis.

The established eight quarter loss period is based upon the consistency of the Bank's loss history over the prior two year period, the results of impairment migration analysis within the Bank's loan portfolio and industry observed loss periods for allowance models that incorporate probability of default methodology.

While management uses available information to identify, measure and provide for losses on loans, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in local economic conditions. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require us to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Fair Valuation of Financial Instruments

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial instruments required by GAAP to be accounted for at fair value and to determine fair value disclosures. Additionally, we may be required to record other assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write downs of individual assets. Further, we include in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities, the valuation methodologies used, and the related impact to income. Additionally, for financial instruments not recorded at fair value, we disclose the estimate of their fair value.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell the asset or paid to transfer the liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Accounting standards establish a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value. The classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy is based on whether the inputs to the valuation methodology used for measurement are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market-derived or market-based information obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our estimates about market data. The three levels of inputs that are used to classify fair value measurements are as follows:

Level 1 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets. Level 1 instruments generally include securities traded on active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange, as well as securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets. Instruments we classify as Level 1 are instruments that have been priced directly from dealer trading desks and represent actual prices at which such securities have traded within active markets.

Level 2 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques, such as matrix pricing, for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market. Instruments we classify as Level 2 include securities that are valued based on pricing models using relevant observable information generated by transactions that have occurred in the market place and involve similar securities.

Level 3 – Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Bank's estimates of assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques.

We attempt to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. When available, we use quoted market prices to measure fair value. Specifically, we use independent pricing services to obtain fair values based on quoted prices. Quoted prices are subject to our internal price verification procedures. If market prices are not available, fair value measurement is based upon models that use primarily market-based or independently-sourced market parameters. Most of our financial instruments use Level 2 measurements, to estimate the fair value of the financial instrument. However, in certain cases, when market observable inputs for model-based valuation techniques may not be readily available, we are required to make judgments about assumptions market participants would use in estimating the fair value of the financial instrument.

The degree of management judgment involved in determining the fair value of an instrument is dependent upon the availability of quoted market prices or observable market parameters. For instruments that trade actively and have quoted market prices or observable market parameters, there is minimal subjectivity involved in measuring fair value. When observable market prices and parameters are not fully available, management's judgment is necessary to estimate fair value. In addition, changes in market conditions may reduce the availability of quoted prices or observable data. For example, reduced liquidity in the capital markets or changes in secondary market activities could result in observable market inputs becoming unavailable. When significant adjustments are required to available observable inputs, it may be appropriate to utilize an estimate based primarily on unobservable inputs. When an active market for a security does not exist, the use of management estimates that incorporate current market participant expectations of future cash flows, and include appropriate risk premiums, is acceptable.

Significant judgment may be required to determine whether certain assets measured at fair value are included in Level 2 or Level 3. If fair value measurement is based upon recent observable market activity of such assets or comparable assets (other than forced or distressed transactions) that occur in sufficient volume and do not require significant adjustment using unobservable inputs, those assets are classified as Level 2. If not, they are classified as Level 3. Making this assessment requires significant judgment.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis

Debt securities that management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and are recorded at amortized cost. Other debt securities are classified as securities available for sale and reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses, after applicable taxes, on securities classified as available for sale are reported in stockholders' equity. We conduct other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") analysis on a quarterly basis or more often if a potential loss-triggering event occurs. In estimating OTTI, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Bank to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned

Real estate acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure is initially recorded at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs of disposal at the date of foreclosure. Subsequent to the date of acquisition, it is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, adjusted for net selling costs. Fair values of real estate owned are reviewed at least annually or more frequently as conditions warrant and write downs are recorded when it is determined that the carrying value of real estate exceeds the fair value less estimated costs to sell. Revenue and expense from the operations of other real estate owned are included in noninterest expense.

Income Taxes

The Bank's consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis. When income and expenses are recognized in different periods for financial reporting purposes versus for the purposes of computing income taxes currently payable, deferred taxes are provided on such temporary differences. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be realized or settled. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Bank believes that its income tax filing positions taken or expected to be taken on its tax returns will more likely than not be sustained upon audit by the taxing authorities and does not anticipate any adjustments that will result in a material adverse impact on the Bank's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flow.

OVERVIEW

Like most community banks, we derive the majority of our income from interest received on our loans and investments. Our primary source of funds for making these loans and investments is our deposits, including interest bearing deposits on which we pay interest as well as non-interest bearing deposits. Consequently, one of the key measures of our success is our amount of net interest income, or the difference between the income on our interest earning assets, such as loans and investments, and the expense on our interest bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Another key measure is the difference between the yield we earn on these interest earning assets and the rate we pay on our interest bearing liabilities, which is called our net interest spread.

There are risks inherent in all loans, so we maintain an allowance for loan losses to absorb losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. We maintain this allowance by charging a provision for loan losses against our operating earnings for each period. We have included a detailed discussion of this process, as well as several tables describing our allowance for loan losses, in this management's discussion and analysis.

In addition to earning interest on our loans and investments, we earn income through fees and other services provided to our customers. We have also included a discussion of the various components of this noninterest income, as well as of our noninterest expense, in this management's discussion and analysis.

Economic conditions, competition, and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government significantly affect most financial institutions, including the Bank. Lending and deposit activities and fee income generation are influenced by levels of business spending and investment, consumer income, consumer spending and savings, capital market activities, and competition among financial institutions, as well as customer preferences, interest rate conditions and prevailing market rates on competing products in our market areas.

Additionally, on June 8, 2011, the Bank entered into the Formal Agreement with the OCC. The Formal Agreement seeks to enhance the Bank's existing practices and procedures in the areas of credit risk management, credit underwriting, liquidity, and funds management. In addition, the OCC has established Individual Minimum Capital Ratio (IMCR) levels of Tier 1 and total capital for the Bank that are higher than the minimum and well capitalized ratios applicable to all banks. Specifically, we must maintain total risk-based capital of at least 13%, Tier 1 capital of at least 12%, and a leverage ratio of at least 8.5%.

Effect of Economic Trends

The quarter ended March 31, 2013 continues to reflect the tumultuous economic conditions experienced in recent years which have negatively impacted the liquidity and credit quality of a significant number of financial institutions in the United States. Concerns regarding increased credit losses from the weakened economy have negatively affected capital and earnings of many financial institutions. Also, many financial institutions have experienced significant declines in the value of collateral for real estate loans, which have resulted in record levels of nonperforming assets, heightened credit losses, charge-offs and foreclosures.

Liquidity in the debt markets remains low in spite of efforts by U.S. Department of the Treasury and the Federal Reserve to inject capital into financial institutions, albeit the Bank did not participate in these programs. The federal funds rate set by the Federal Reserve has remained at 0.25% since December 2008, following a decline from 4.25% to 0.25% during 2008 through a series of seven rate reductions.

Financial institutions have experienced and will likely continue to experience competition for loans and earning assets in the form of more aggressive pricing and structures, which results in downward pressure on earning asset yields and consequently earnings and capital.

QUARTERLY RESULTS

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income and Margin

Our level of net interest income is determined by the level of earning assets, interest bearing liabilities, and the management of our net interest margin. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, our net interest income was \$4.8 million and \$6.9 million, respectively. The decrease in net interest income during the first three months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 was primarily the result of the sale of higher yielding investment securities and the decrease in the size of our loan portfolio through a combination of early loan pay-offs and lack of loan demand, partially offset by a reduction in the cost of liabilities. In addition, average interest earning assets decreased \$120 million while our average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$113 million during the first three months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

Our net interest margin was 2.64% for the first three months of 2013, a 68 basis point ("bps") decrease from 3.32% for the same period in 2012. The decrease in net interest margin during the first three months of 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012 was primarily the result of a decrease in yields on earnings assets of 111 bps, partially offset by a decrease in costs of interest bearing liabilities of 49 bps. While we do not expect our loan yields to change significantly in the near future, we do anticipate our future deposit costs will decrease as we have approximately \$38 million of retail certificates of deposit at a weighted-average rate of 0.60% scheduled to mature and reprice in the second quarter of 2013. Also, during the first quarter of 2013, due to low yield opportunities in the securities market, lack of loan demand, our existing high cost wholesale funding and in anticipation of the business combination, the Bank executed balance sheet deleveraging transactions totaling \$104 million by using excess interest bearing cash to prepay/unwind all FHLB-Atlanta advances (\$61.5 million) and structured repurchase agreements (\$42.5 million). In doing so, the Bank also unwound all interest rate swaps with a notional value of \$34 million. The prepay/unwind penalties of \$10.5 million associated with these transactions were recorded as noninterest expense during the first quarter of 2013 and consisted of \$4.3 million in FHLB advance prepayment penalties, \$694 thousand in interest rate swap unwind fees, and \$5.4 million in structured repurchase agreements unwind fees. These transactions should provide significant interest costs savings and should improve net interest margin.

Interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$6.5 million and \$9.8 million, respectively. During the first three months of 2013, 89% of our interest income related to interest on loans and 11% related to interest on investments, compared to the first three months of 2012, when 73% of our interest income related to interest on loans and 27% related to interest on investments.

Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$1.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. The decrease in deposit interest expense during the first three months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 relates primarily to the decrease in the average balance of interest bearing deposits from \$569 million for the first quarter of 2012 to \$536 million for the same period in 2013, as well as a decline in average rates on interest bearing deposits of 27 bps in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Interest expense on borrowings decreased in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 due primarily to the above mentioned balance sheet deleverage transaction, where the average balance of borrowings decreased from \$121 million in the first three months of 2012 to \$41 million in the same period of 2013. Interest expense on deposits for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 represented 77% and 62%, respectively, of total interest expense, while interest expense on other borrowings represented 23% and 38%, respectively, of total interest expense.

The following table sets forth information related to our average balance sheets, average yields on assets, and average rates of liabilities at March 31, 2013 and 2012. We derived these yields or rates by dividing income or expense by the average balance of the corresponding assets or liabilities. We derived average balances from

the daily balances throughout the periods indicated. Yields on investment securities include amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts as an adjustment to yield. Nonaccrual loans are included in earning assets in the following tables and the average balance of loans includes loans on nonaccrual status. The net of capitalized loan costs and fees are amortized into interest income on loans.

Average Balances, Income and Expenses, Yields and Rates

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,						
		2013			2012		
	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate(1)(2)	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate(1)(2)	
	Dalance	Expense	(Dollars in t		Expense	Rate(1)(2)	
Interest earning assets							
Federal funds sold	\$ 88,225	\$ 45	0.21%	\$ 29,847	\$ 8	0.11%	
Securities	171,330	614	1.45%	301,927	2,662	3.68%	
Federal Bank Stock	2,943	29	4.00%	6,129	24	1.57%	
Loans	470,184	5,783	4.99%	514,762	7,117	5.58%	
Total earning assets	732,682	6,471	3.58%	852,665	9,811	4.69%	
Non earning assets	43,915			48,217			
Total assets	\$776,597			\$900,882			
Interest bearing liabilities							
NOW accounts	\$150,762	\$ 38	0.10%	\$140,689	\$ 73	0.21%	
Savings & money market	92,404	38	0.17%	91,957	63	0.28%	
Time deposits	225,890	1,009	1.81%	256,622	1,351	2.12%	
Wholesale time deposits	42,883	239	2.27%	61,863	306	1.99%	
Collateralized customer deposits	24,476	5	0.08%	18,038	5	0.11%	
Total deposits	536,415	1,329	1.00%	569,169	1,798	1.27%	
FHLB advances	25,967	231	3.60%	78,249	652	3.35%	
Repurchase agreements	14,611	150	4.16%	42,500	455	4.31%	
Total interest bearing liabilities	576,993	1,710	1.20%	689,918	2,905	1.69%	
Non interest bearing liabilities	109,866			109,133			
Stockholders' equity	89,738			101,831			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$776,597			\$900,882			
Net interest spread			2.38%			3.00%	
Net interest income/margin		\$4,761	2.64%		\$6,906	3.32%	

(1) Annualized for the three month period.

(2) Fully tax-equivalent basis at 35% tax rate for nontaxable securities.

The decline in income on our interest earning assets during the first three months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 was driven primarily by a lack of loan demand as the average balance of loans outstanding decreased \$45 million, or 8.7%, for the first three months of 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012. Additionally, the average balance of investment securities decreased \$131 million, or 43.3%, for the first three months of 2013 compared to 2012. Not only did the average balances decline, but yields on earning assets fell as assets repriced at market rates which were at historic lows. The yield on loans fell from 5.58% in the first three months of 2012 to 4.99% in the same period in 2013, a decline of 59 bps. The yield on investment securities decreased from 3.68% in the first three months of 2012 to 1.45% in 2013 as prepayment speeds on mortgage-backed securities increased as market rates continued to decline and these securities were replaced at lower yields. In addition, as investment securities in our portfolio with unrealized gains were sold, the purchase of replacement securities yielded lower rates than did the securities sold.

Interest expense also decreased during the first three months of 2013 compared to 2012 due to lower rates on our interest bearing liabilities. Our average interest bearing liabilities decreased by \$113 million during the first three months of 2013 compared to the same time period of 2012. Additionally, the rates on interest bearing liabilities decreased 49 bps in the first three months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. During the first three months of 2013 and 2012, our interest bearing liabilities continued to reprice downward. At March 31, 2013 the balances of our FHLB-Atlanta advances and structured repurchase agreements were \$0 as a result of the prepayment/unwind of such advances and structured repurchase agreements during the first quarter of 2013. At March 31, 2012, our structured repurchase agreements of \$42.5 million and approximately \$20 million of our FHLB-Atlanta advances were at fixed interest rates, with the remaining FHLB-Atlanta advances of \$41.5 million at variable interest rates. At March 31, 2012, \$34 million of our variable rate FHLB-Atlanta advances were part of an interest rate swap agreement converting the variable rate borrowings to fixed rate.

Rate/Volume Analysis

Net interest income can be analyzed in terms of the impact of changing interest rates and changing volume. The following table sets forth the effect which the varying levels of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and the applicable rates have had on changes in net interest income for the periods presented.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Over Three Months Ended March 31, 2012		
	Volume	Yield / Rate	Net Change
	· oralle	(Dollars in thousands)	<u> </u>
Increase (decrease) in:			
Interest income:			
Federal funds sold	\$ 30	\$ 7	\$ 37
Securities	(388)	(1,660)	(2,048)
Federal Bank Stock	(32)	37	5
Loans	(585)	(749)	(1,334)
Total interest income	(975)	(2,365)	(3,340)
Interest expense:			
NOW accounts	3	(38)	(35)
Savings & money market		(25)	(25)
Time deposits	(152)	(190)	(342)
Wholesale time deposits	(110)	43	(67)
Collateralized customer deposits			
Sub-total deposit interest expense	(259)	(210)	(469)
FHLB advances	(467)	46	(421)
Repurchase agreements	(289)	(16)	(305)
Total interest expense	(1,015)	(180)	(1,195)
Increase (decrease) net interest income	\$ 40	\$(2,185)	\$(2,145)

Provision for Loan Losses

We have established an allowance for loan losses through a provision charged as an expense on our statements of operations. We review our loan portfolio periodically to evaluate our outstanding loans and to measure both the performance of the portfolio and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Please see the discussion below under "Balance Sheet Review – Allowance for Loan Losses" for a description of the factors we consider in determining the provision necessary to maintain this allowance.

Following is a summary of the activity in the allowance for loan losses.

	Three Mon Marc		
	2013	2012	
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Balance, beginning of quarter	\$15,314	\$17,439	
Provision	(780)	1,735	
Loan charge-offs	(370)	(1,870)	
Loan recoveries	646	135	
Balance, end of quarter	\$14,810	\$17,439	

At March 31, 2013, the allowance for loan losses was 3.17% of total loans as compared to 3.42% at March 31, 2012. The \$14.8 million allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2013 is a \$2.6 million reduction as compared to the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2012. This reduction is a function of both the lower level of charge-offs that occurred during the first three months of 2013 and a reduction of specific reserves on impaired loans. During the first quarter of 2013, we charged-off \$370 thousand in loans, while recoveries on loans previously charged off were \$646 thousand, a net positive adjustment of \$276 thousand. Additionally, there were \$384 thousand in reductions to specific reserves on impaired loans during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to March 31, 2012.

At March 31, 2013 and 2012, the allowance for loan losses represented 34% and 42% respectively of the amount of nonperforming loans. A significant portion of nonperforming loans are secured by real estate, 95% at March 31, 2013 and 94% at March 31, 2012. As a result of recognizing impairment charges on our impaired loans to record such at estimated fair value, the carrying value of our nonperforming loans was approximately 82% of their unpaid principal balance at March 31, 2013 and approximately 81% at March 31, 2012.

Noninterest Income

The following table sets forth information related to our noninterest income.

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2013 2012		2012	Yr over Y	
			(Dollars in thousands)		
Service charges	\$	758	\$ 857	\$	(99)
Trust Income		326	352		(26)
Mortgage banking income		186	337		(151)
Net gain (loss) on sale of securities			36		(36)
Other		529	339		190
Other than temporary impairment losses			(67)		67
Total noninterest income	\$1	,799	\$1,854	\$	(55)

Noninterest income decreased \$55 thousand from \$1.85 million for the first quarter of 2012 to \$1.80 million for the first quarter of 2013. The decrease in total noninterest income during the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 resulted primarily from the \$151 thousand decrease in mortgage banking income and the \$99 thousand decrease in service charges. These decreases were partially offset by other income which increased \$190 thousand for the first quarter of 2013 compared to 2012, due to income from a Small Business Investment Company (SBIC) fund.

The Dodd-Frank Act calls for limits on interchange transaction fees that banks receive from merchants via card networks like Visa, Inc. and MasterCard, Inc. when a customer uses a debit card. In June 2011, the Federal Reserve approved the final rule which caps an issuer's base fee at 21 cents per transaction and allows an additional 5 basis point charge per transaction to help cover fraud losses. Although the rule technically does not

apply to institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets, such as the Bank, there is concern that the price controls may harm community banks as they are pressured by the marketplace to lower their own interchange rates. Our interchange fee income is included in service charges and was \$265 thousand and \$285 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Noninterest Expenses

The following table sets forth information related to our noninterest expenses.

Three Months Ended March 31,			
2013 2012		Yr over Yr	
	(Dollars in thousand	ls)	
\$ 2,757	\$2,965	\$	(208)
260	300		(40)
268	266		2
500	492		8
4,350	_		4,350
694	_		694
5,415	_		5,415
1,878	1,565		313
\$16,122	\$5,588	\$	10,534
	2013 \$ 2,757 260 268 500 4,350 694 5,415 1,878	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2013 2012 Yr (Dollars in thousands) (Dollars in thousand

Noninterest expense was \$16.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, a \$10.5 million, or 188%, increase from noninterest expense of \$5.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to the \$10.5 million of costs incurred in the prepayment/unwinding of all FHLB-Atlanta advances, structured repurchase agreements, and interest rate swaps.

Our efficiency ratio was 245.76% and 63.79% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The efficiency ratio represents the percentage of one dollar of expense required to be incurred to earn a full dollar of revenue and is computed by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income. Based on this calculation, we spent \$2.46 on average to earn each \$1.00 of revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$0.64 spent on average to earn each \$1.00 of revenue during the same period in 2012. The negative trend in efficiency ratios is related to the termination/unwinding of FHLB advances, structured repurchase agreements and interest rate swaps.

While total noninterest expense increased during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, compensation and benefits decreased \$208 thousand, or 7.0%, during the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012, primarily due to a reduction in personnel primarily through staff attrition. The number of full-time equivalents at March 31, 2013 was 182 compared to 193 at March 31, 2012, a 5.7% reduction in full-time equivalents.

Occupancy expenses remained flat at \$268 thousand for the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$266 thousand for the first quarter of 2012.

Insurance expenses decreased \$40 thousand, or 13.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to a reduction in the FDIC quarterly assessments, which resulted from a declining assessment base comprised of average consolidated total assets and average tangible equity.

BALANCE SHEET REVIEW

At March 31, 2013, we had total assets of \$716 million, consisting principally of \$450 million in net loans (excluding loans held for sale), \$167 million in investments (excluding Federal bank stock), and \$48 million in cash

and cash equivalents. Our liabilities at March 31, 2013 totaled \$630 million, consisting principally of \$608 million in deposits and \$21 million related to collateralized customer deposits. At March 31, 2013, our stockholders' equity was \$85.8 million.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, our board of directors began various actions and negotiations to sell the Bank. As part of this decision to engage in a business combination, we sold \$79 million of held-to-maturity investment securities at a realized loss of \$4.2 million. To mitigate a portion of the loss and to preserve capital, we also sold available-for-sale securities of \$41.5 million, realizing a gain of \$1.4 million. Due to this intent to enter into a business combination and since the majority of the held-to-maturity securities were sold, we transferred the remaining held-to-maturity security, recorded at \$2.9 million, to available-for-sale, as our intent to hold this security to maturity was no longer part of our strategic plan.

The cash received from these investment sales totaled \$122.8 million, but the proceeds were not immediately reinvested while we considered an alternative strategy of prepaying high cost wholesale funding liabilities. In the first quarter of 2013, due to low yield opportunities in the securities market and lack of loan demand and in anticipation of the business combination, we executed balance sheet deleveraging transactions totalling \$104 million by using excess interest bearing cash to prepay/unwind all FHLB-Atlanta advances (\$61.5 million) and structured repurchase agreements (\$42.5 million). In doing so, we also unwound all interest rate swaps with a notional value of \$34 million. Although, we incurred prepay/unwind penalties of \$10.5 million, consisting of \$4.3 million in FHLB advance prepayment penalties, \$694 thousand in interest rate swap unwind fees, and \$5.4 million in structured repurchase agreements unwind fees, that were recorded as noninterest expense, we believe these transactions should provide significant interest cost savings and should improve our net interest margin.

Investment Securities (excluding Federal bank stock)

At March 31, 2013, the \$167 million in our investment securities portfolio, all of which was classified as available for sale, represented approximately 23% of our total assets. Our investment portfolio consisted of mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$167 million and an amortized cost of \$170 million for an unrealized loss of \$3 million.

The amortized costs and the fair value of our investments are as follows.

	March 31,					
	20)13	2012			
	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair		
	Cost	Value	Cost	Value		
		(Dollars in	thousands)			
Available for Sale						
U.S. government agency securities	\$	\$ —	\$ 1,518	\$ 1,618		
State and political subdivisions		—	21,296	22,424		
Mortgage-backed securities	170,073	167,404	184,349	187,165		
Corporate		—		—		
Total	\$170,073	\$167,404	\$207,163	\$211,207		
Held to Maturity						
Collateralized debt securities	\$	\$ —	\$ 14,825	\$ 5,259		
Mortgage-backed securities			75,402	81,887		
Total	\$	\$ —	\$ 90,227	\$ 87,146		

December 31, 2012		
Amortized		
Cost	Value	
(Dollars in	thousands)	
\$ —	\$ —	
—	—	
179,456	178,888	
—	—	
\$179,456	\$178,888	
\$ —	\$ —	
_		
\$ —	\$	
	Amortized Cost (Dollars in \$ 179,456 \$179,456	

Contractual maturities and yields on our investments are shown in the following table. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. At March 31, 2013, we had no securities with a maturity of less than one year.

				March	a 31, 2013			
	One to Fi	One to Five Years Five to Ten Years		S Over Ten Years		Tota	1	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
				(Dollars i	n thousands)			
Available for sale								
Mortgage-backed securities	\$1,116	4.175%	\$17,918	2.470%	\$148,370	1.463%	\$167,404	1.587%
Total	\$1,116	4.175%	\$17,918	2.470%	\$148,370	1.463%	\$167,404	1.587%

At March 31, 2013, the Bank had 28 individual investments that were in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. At December 31, 2012, the Bank had 19 individual investments that were in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. The unrealized losses were attributable to changes in interest rates, rather than deterioration in credit quality.

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no material individual investments that were in an unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months. At March 31, 2012, the Bank had 13 individual investments that were in an unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months. The unrealized losses were concentrated in the Bank's private label collateralized mortgage obligations and collateralized debt obligations. The Bank engaged a third party to review the securities for impairment quarterly. As of March 31, 2012, the review substantially indicated that the losses were temporary and the Bank had the intent and ability to hold the securities until their maturity, thus collecting all remaining contractual cash flows. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Bank recognized other-than-temporary impairment of \$67 thousand.

			March	31, 2012			
Less than t	welve mor	nths	Twelve mo	nths or more	T	Total	
Unrealized				E. S. L.	Unrealized		
Fair value	1055	ses			Fair value	losses	
\$20,680	\$	268	\$ 9,286	\$ 520	\$29,966	\$ 788	
20,680		268	9,286	520	29,966	788	
87		23			87	23	
		_	14,825	9,566	14,825	9,566	
87		23	14,825	9,566	14,912	9,589	
\$20,767	\$	291	\$ 24,111	\$ 10,086	\$44,878	\$ 10,377	
	Fair value \$20,680 20,680 87 87 87 87	Fair value Unresolution § 20,680 \$ 20,680 \$ 87	Fair value losses $\frac{\$ 20,680}{20,680}$ $\frac{\$ 268}{268}$ $\frac{\$7}{$	Less than twelve months Unrealized Fair value Twelve months Fair value Fair value Fair value (Dollars in \$20,680 \$268 20,680 268 9,286 87 23 14,825 87 23	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

The Bank considers the length of time and extent to which the fair value of available-for-sale debt securities have been less than cost to conclude that such securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired. We also consider other factors such as the financial condition of the issuer including credit ratings and specific events affecting the operations of the issuer, volatility of the security, underlying assets that collateralize the debt security, and other industry and macroeconomic conditions. As the Bank has no intent to sell these securities with unrealized losses and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Bank will be required to sell these securities before recovery of amortized cost, we have concluded that the securities are not impaired on an other-than-temporary basis.

Other investments are comprised of the following and are recorded at cost which approximates fair value.

	Mar	ch 31,
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Federal Reserve Bank stock	\$ 360	\$ 360
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	1,025	5,769
Total	\$ 1,385	\$ 6,129
	December 3 (Dollars in the	ousands)
Federal Reserve Bank stock	\$	360
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		4,115
Total	\$	4,475

<u>Concentrations.</u> The following tables summarize issuer concentrations of collateralized mortgage obligations for which aggregate fair values exceed 10% of shareholder's equity at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

As of March 31, 2013

<u>Issuer</u>	Aggregate amortized cost		Aggregate fair value	Fair value as a % of shareholders' equit <u>y</u>
			(Dollars in thousands)	
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	\$	33,726	\$32,136	37.4%
Federal National Mortgage Association		25,191	24,669	28.7%

As of December 31, 2012

Issuer	Aggregate amortized cost		Aggregate fair value	Fair value as a % of shareholders' equity
			(Dollars in thousands)	
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	\$	35,234	\$25,974	27.2%
Federal National Mortgage Association		25,752	34,384	36.0%

The following tables summarize issuer concentrations of other mortgage-backed investments securities for which fair values exceed 10% of shareholder's equity at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

As of March 31, 2013

Issuer_	Aggregate amortized cost	Aggregate fair value	Fair value as a % of shareholders' equit <u>y</u>
Federal Home Lean Mortgage Corneration	\$ 38,411	(Dollars in thousands) \$38,166	44.5%
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation			-
Federal National Mortgage Association	55,645	55,320	64.4%
Government National Mortgage Association	10,410	10,464	12.2%
December 31, 2012			
Issuer_	Aggregate amortized cost	Aggregate fair value	Fair value as a % of shareholders' equity
		(Dollars in thousands)	

		(Dollars in thousands)	
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	\$ 40,153	\$40,093	42.0%
Federal National Mortgage Association	57,510	57,562	60.3%
Government National Mortgage Association	12,305	12,353	12.9%

Loans

As of D

Since loans typically provide higher interest yields than other types of interest earning assets, a substantial percentage of our earning assets are invested in our loan portfolio. Average loans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$470 million and \$515 million, respectively. Average loans for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$492 million. Before allowance for loan losses, total loans outstanding (excluding loans held for sale) at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012 were \$464 million, \$473 million, and \$506 million, respectively.

The principal component of our loan portfolio is loans secured by real estate mortgages. Our real estate loans are secured by residential or commercial property. We originate traditional long term residential mortgages, but the majority are sold into the secondary market. We originate traditional second mortgage residential real estate loans and variable rate home equity lines of credit. We obtain a security interest in loans collateralized by real estate whenever possible, and other collateral where appropriate. Generally, we limit the loan-to-value ratio on loans we make to 85%. We attempt to maintain a relatively diversified loan portfolio to help reduce the risk inherent in concentration in certain types of collateral.

The following table summarizes the composition of our loan portfolio, excluding loans held for sale.

	March 31, 2013		December 3	1, 2012	March 31,	2012
		% of		% of	· ·	% of
	Amount	Total	(Dollars in th	Total	Amount	Total
Construction and Land	\$ 41,742	8.99%	\$ 43,700	9.24%	\$ 55,333	10.92%
Owner Occupied Commercial RE	141,064	30.40%	144,036	30.45%	141,092	27.85%
Non Owner Occupied Commercial RE	53,978	11.58%	55,743	11.78%	63,898	12.61%
1-4 Family Residential	100,352	21.66%	97,765	20.67%	100,128	19.76%
Multifamily	22,113	4.76%	22,105	4.67%	28,639	5.65%
Home Equity Lines of Credit	44,504	9.58%	46,964	9.93%	49,556	9.78%
Commercial	43,917	9.22%	45,051	9.52%	48,356	9.28%
Consumer	8,491	1.83%	9,353	1.98%	11,516	2.27%
All Other	8,454	1.98%	8,341	1.76%	8,164	1.88%
Total Loans	464,615		473,058		506,682	
Less-deferred loan fees	188		169		267	
Less-allowance for loan losses	14,810		15,314		17,439	
Total loans, net	\$449,617		\$457,575		\$488,976	

Maturities and Sensitivity of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates

The following table summarizes the loan maturity distribution, excluding loans held for sale, by type and related interest rate characteristics. The information in this table is based on the contractual maturities of individual loans, including loans which may be subject to renewal at their contractual maturity. Renewal of such loans is subject to review and credit approval, as well as modification of terms upon maturity. Actual repayments of loans may differ from the maturities reflected below because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

		March 31, 2013					
	One year or less	After one but within five years	After five years	Total			
		(Dollars i	n thousands)				
Construction and land	\$15,996	\$ 17,431	\$ 8,315	\$ 41,742			
Owner occupied commercial RE	25,190	89,847	26,027	141,064			
Non owner occupied commerical RE	9,662	41,997	2,319	53,978			
1-4 family residential	16,547	51,046	32,759	100,352			
Multifamily	1,361	19,335	1,417	22,113			
Home equity line of credit	95	4,317	40,092	44,504			
Commercial	14,397	26,263	3,257	43,917			
Consumer	1,462	5,998	1,031	8,491			
All other	1,221	5,257	1,976	8,454			
Total Loans	\$85,931	\$261,491	\$117,193	\$464,615			
Loans maturing – after one year with							
Fixed interest rates				\$256,985			
Floating interest rates				121,699			

Allowance for Loan Losses

At March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012, the allowance for loan losses was \$14.8 million and \$17.4 million, respectively, or 3.17% and 3.42% of total loans, respectively. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses is a result of a reduction of the size of the loan portfolio, as well as the reduction in specific reserves on impaired loans. Our net charge-offs decreased by \$2.0 million during the first quarter of 2013 compared to the same period

in 2012. See the discussion of our critical accounting policies above and Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the three months ended March 31, 2013 for more information on our allowance for loan losses.

The following table summarizes the activity related to our allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Mor Marc			
	2013	2012		
		llars in thousands)		
Balance, beginning of period	\$15,314	\$17,439		
Provision for loan losses	(780)	1,735		
Loan Charge-offs:				
Construction and land	84	186		
Owner Occupied commercial RE	6	207		
Non-Owner occupied commerical RE		21		
1-4 Family residential	116	866		
Multifamily	_			
Home Equity Lines of credit	57	278		
Commercial	92	264		
Consumer	15	48		
All other				
Total Loan Charge-offs	370	1,870		
Loan recoveries:				
Construction and land	442	18		
Owner Occupied commercial RE	5	17		
Non-Owner occupied commerical RE		1		
1-4 Family residential	97	4		
Multifamily		1		
Home Equity Lines of credit	23	10		
Commercial	66	60		
Consumer	13	24		
All other	_			
Total Recoveries	646	135		
Net Loan charge-offs	(276)	1,735		
Balance, end of period	\$14,810	\$17,439		
Allowance for loan losses to gross loans	3.17%	3.42		
Net charge-offs to average loans (1)	(0.24)%	1.36		

(1) Annualized for the three month period.

The following table summarizes the activity related to our allowance for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2012.

	December 31, 2012
	(Dollars in thousands)
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 17,439
Provision for loan losses	8,233
Loan charge-offs:	
Construction and land	3,034
Owner occupied commercial RE	2,159
Non-owner occupied commercial RE	1,450
1-4 family residential	2,919
Multifamily	199
Home equity lines of credit	675
Commercial	551
Consumer	105
All other	
Total loan charge-offs	11,092
Loan recoveries:	
Construction and land	277
Owner occupied commercial RE	133
Non-owner occupied commercial RE	4
1-4 family residential	78
Multifamily	
Home equity lines of credit	30
Commercial	148
Consumer	64
Total recoveries	734
Net loan charge-offs	10,358
Balance, end of year	\$ 15,314
Allowance for loan losses to gross loans	3.239
Net charge-offs to average loans	2.119

Nonperforming Assets

The following table shows the nonperforming assets and the related percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets and gross loans at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012. Generally, a loan is placed on nonaccrual status when it becomes 90 days past due as to principal or interest, or when we believe, after considering economic and business conditions and collection efforts, that the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of the loan is doubtful. A payment of interest on a loan that is classified as nonaccrual is recognized as a reduction in principal when received.

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Construction and land	\$ 5,914	\$ 5,810	\$ 9,794
Owner occupied commercial RE	5,139	4,972	5,381
Non owner occupied comercial RE	2,624	2,916	4,023
1-4 family residential	6,856	7,388	9,595
Multifamily	1,652	1,658	1,740
Home equity lines of credit	1,761	1,326	1,258
Commercial	1,506	1,751	1,546
Consumer	174	205	178
Nonaccruing troubled debt restructurings	17,170	21,547	8,189
Total nonaccrual loans including			
nonaccruing TDRs	42,796	47,573	41,704
Other real estate owned	3,330	3,641	3,593
Total nonperforming assets	\$46,126	\$ 51,214	\$45,297
Nonperforming assets to total assets	6.44%	6.00%	5.09%
Nonperforming loans to total loans	9.17%	10.03%	8.19%
Total loans over 90 days past due	\$15,480	\$ 14,983	\$17,782
Loans over 90 days past due and still			
accruing	\$ 188	\$ 54	\$ 88
Accruing troubled debt restructurings	\$ 6,280	\$ 20,233	\$ 3,890

At March 31, 2013, nonperforming assets were \$46 million, or 6.44% of total assets, and nonperforming loans were 9.17% of total loans. Comparatively, at December 31, 2012, nonperforming assets were \$51 million, or 6.00% of total assets, and nonperforming loans were 10.03% of total loans. Comparatively, at March 31, 2012, nonperforming assets were \$45 million, or 5.09% of total assets, and nonperforming loans were 8.19% of total loans. Nonaccrual loans decreased \$4.8 million to \$42.8 million at March 31, 2013 from \$47.6 million at December 31, 2012 due to the shrinkage of the Bank's loan portfolio. Nonaccrual loans increased \$1 million to \$42.8 million at \$42.8 million at March 31, 2012. The amount of foregone interest income on the nonaccrual loans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$614 thousand and \$653 thousand, respectively. The amount of foregone interest income on the nonaccrual loans for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$2.6 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we added \$1.7 million or 24 new loans to nonaccrual while removing or charging off \$6.5 million, a decrease in nonaccrual loans of \$4.8 million, or 10.0% as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, and an increase in nonaccrual loans of \$1.1 million, or 2.6% as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, total loans decreased \$8.4 million, or 1.8%, compared to December 31, 2012. During the twelve months ended March 31, 2013, total loans decreased \$42.1 million, or 8.3%, compared to March 31, 2012. This year-over-year decrease resulted in a disproportionate effect on the percentage of nonaccrual loans and impaired loans as a percentage of total loans.

At March 31, 2013, impaired loans totaled \$49.8 million of which, \$11.7 million had a specific allowance allocation of approximately \$2.4 million, or 5.49% of loans individually evaluated for impairment. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the average recorded investment in impaired loans was \$50.2 million. At December 31, 2012, impaired loans totaled \$50.3 million, of which \$8.2 million had a specific allowance allocation of approximately \$2.0 million, or 4.65% of loans individually evaluated for impairment. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the average recorded investment in impaired loans totaled \$47.8 million, of which \$15.5 million of these impaired loans had a specific allowance allocation of \$2.8 million, or 7.04% of loans individually evaluated for impairment. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the average recorded investment in impaired loans had a specific allowance allocation of \$2.8 million, or 7.04% of loans individually evaluated for impairment. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the average recorded investment in impaired loans had a specific allowance allocation of \$2.8 million, or 7.04% of loans individually evaluated for impairment. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the average recorded investment in impaired loans was approximately \$48.4 million.

Other nonperforming assets include other real estate owned. These assets decreased to \$3.3 million at March 31, 2013 from \$3.6 million at December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012. During the first quarter of 2013, we sold seven properties for approximately \$692 thousand and recognized a \$56 thousand gain on the sales. In addition we added three properties totaling \$328 thousand to other real estate owned during the first quarter of 2013, and recorded no write downs on other real estate properties owned. The balance at March 31, 2013 includes five commercial properties totaling \$460 thousand and 52 residential real estate properties totaling \$3.2 million. We believe that these properties are appropriately valued at the lower of cost or market as of March 31, 2013.

As a general practice, most of our loans are originated with relatively short maturities of five years or less. When a loan reaches its maturity we frequently renew the loan, thereby extending its maturity. Such renewals and extensions are made in accordance with our existing credit policy, using appropriate credit standards and are based upon updated financial information on the borrower. Nonperforming loans are renewed at terms generally consistent with the ultimate source of repayment and appropriate rates. In these cases, the Bank will seek additional credit enhancements, such as additional collateral or additional guarantees to further protect the loan. When a loan is no longer performing in accordance with its stated terms, the Bank will typically seek performance under the guarantee.

At March 31, 2013, approximately 87% of our loans were collateralized by real estate, and over 95% of our impaired loans were secured by real estate. The Bank utilizes third party appraisers to determine the fair value of collateral dependent loans. Our current loan and appraisal policies require the Bank to obtain updated appraisals on an annual basis, either through a new external appraisal or an internal appraisal evaluation. Impaired loans are individually reviewed on a monthly basis to determine the level of impairment. As of March 31, 2013, we do not have any impaired loans carried at a value in excess of the appraised value. We typically record a charge-off or create a specific reserve for impaired loans when we do not expect repayment to occur as agreed upon under the original terms of the loan agreement.

The Bank considers a loan to be a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) when the debtor experiences financial difficulties and the Bank provides concessions on the original terms of the loan agreement. Concessions can relate to the contractual interest rate, maturity date, or payment structure of the note. As part of our workout plan for individual loan relationships, we may restructure loan terms to assist borrowers facing challenges in the current economic environment. As of March 31, 2013, we determined that we had loans totaling \$6.3 million, which we considered accruing TDRs. As of December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, we had loans totaling \$20.2 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, which we considered accruing TDRs. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 for additional information on TDRs.

Deferred Tax Assets

At March 31, 2013 December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively, deferred tax assets before any valuation allowance totaled \$18.4 million \$14.5 million and \$13.6 million. Realization of deferred tax assets is

dependent upon future taxable income within the carry forward periods of 20 years available under tax law as well as any taxable income available within the carry back periods of 2 years. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, management's projections of future earnings did not support with sufficient certainty the ability to fully utilize all deferred tax assets within the next three years. Accordingly, management concluded it was appropriate to establish an additional valuation allowance of \$3.4 million and \$3.9 million for deferred tax assets at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The total deferred tax asset valuation allowance as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012 was \$7.3 million \$3.9 million, and \$0, respectively.

Deposits and Other Interest Bearing Liabilities

Our primary source of funds for loans and investments are our deposits, advances from the FHLB-Atlanta, and structured repurchase agreements. In the past, we have chosen to obtain a portion of our certificates of deposits from areas outside of our market in order to obtain longer term deposits than are readily available in our local market. In accordance with our Formal Agreement with the OCC, we have adopted guidelines regarding our use of brokered certificates of deposit that limit our brokered certificates of deposits to 25% of total deposits at terms that are consistent with our current interest rate risk profile. In addition, we do not obtain deposits through the Internet. These guidelines allow us to take advantage of the attractive terms that wholesale funding can offer while mitigating a large portion of the related inherent risk.

Our retail deposits represented \$580 million, or 95% of total deposits, at March 31, 2013, while our out-of-market, or brokered, deposits represented \$28 million, or 5% of our total deposits. At December 31, 2012, retail deposits represented \$603.2 million, or 94% of total deposits and brokered deposits were \$38.2 million, or 6% of our total deposits. At March 31, 2012, retail deposits represented \$622 million, or 94% of our total deposits and brokered deposits and brokered deposits were \$38 million, representing 6% of our total deposits. Our loan-to-deposit ratio was 76.77%, 73.97% and 77.20% at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, respectively.

The following table shows the average balance amounts and the average rates paid on deposits held by us as of the dates indicated.

	March 31, 2013		December 2012	• 31,	March 3 2012	,	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	
		(Dollars in the	ousands)				
Noninterest bearing demand deposits	\$108,318	0.00%	\$106,299	%	\$106,682	0.00%	
Interest bearing demand deposits	150,762	0.10%	147,937	0.17%	140,689	0.21%	
Money market accounts	59,941	0.23%	61,455	0.33%	60,140	0.39%	
Savings accounts	32,464	0.05%	32,311	0.05%	31,817	0.05%	
Collateralized customer deposits	24,476	0.08%	16,010	0.08%	18,038	0.07%	
Time deposits less than \$100,000	99,490	1.45%	108,626	1.61%	112,676	1.72%	
Time deposits greater than \$100,000	169,282	2.14%	191,834	2.25%	205,809	2.29%	
Total Average Deposits	\$644,733	0.83%	\$664,472	0.98%	\$675,851	1.07%	

Core deposits, which exclude out-of-market deposits and time deposits of \$100,000 or more, provide a relatively stable funding source for our loan portfolio and other earning assets. Our core deposits were \$447 million, \$427 million, and \$461 million at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, respectively.

All of our time deposits are certificates of deposits. The maturity distribution of our time deposits of \$100,000 or more is as follows:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Three months or less	\$ 19,500	\$ 26,755	\$ 26,382
Over three through six months	15,267	16,272	28,556
Over six through twelve months	36,127	22,410	30,025
Over twelve months	89,905	110,743	114,205
Total	\$160,799	\$ 176,180	\$199,168

The Dodd-Frank Act permanently raised the standard maximum deposit insurance amount to \$250,000. The FDIC insurance coverage limit applies per depositor, per insured depository institution for each account ownership category.

Short-Term Borrowings

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the year ended December 31, 2012, and the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Bank had no short-term borrowings for which the average balance outstanding during the period was 30% or greater of stockholders' equity.

Capital Resources

Total stockholders' equity was \$85.8 million at March 31, 2013 and \$95.5 million at December 31, 2012. The \$9.7 million decrease during the first quarter of 2013 is primarily related to the net loss of \$8.8 million during the first quarter of 2013, due in large part to the execution of the balance sheet deleveraging transactions in anticipation of the business combination which resulted in the \$10.5 million in costs incurred from prepaying/unwinding all FHLB-Atlanta advances, structured repurchase agreements, and interest rate swaps.

The following table shows the return on average assets (net income divided by average total assets), return on average equity (net income divided by average equity), and equity to assets ratio (average equity divided by average total assets) for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Cash dividends were suspended beginning in 2010.

	Three Mo Ended March	1
	2013	2012
Return on average assets (1)	(4.59)%	0.45%
Return on average equity (1)	(39.69)%	3.96%
Dividend Payout ratio	—	
Average equity to average assets ratio	11.56%	11.30%
Common equity to assets ratio	11.98%	11.40%

(1) Annualized for the three month period.

Our annualized return on average assets was (4.59)% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 0.45% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. In addition, our annualized return on average equity was (39.69)% for three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to 3.95% for the same period in 2012. The average equity to average assets ratio increased from 11.30% at March 31, 2012 to 11.56% at March 31, 2013, related primarily to the decrease in average assets during the first quarter of 2013 of \$124 million and the decrease in average equity during the first quarter of 2013 of \$12 million. In addition, our common equity to assets ratio was 11.98% at March 31, 2012,

The following table shows the return on average assets (net income divided by average total assets), return on average equity (net income divided by average equity, and equity to assets ratio (average equity divided by average total assets) for the year ended December 31, 2012.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012
Return on average assets	(0.39)%
Return on average equity	(3.36)%
Dividend Payout ratio	—
Average equity to average assets ratio	11.57%
Common equity to assets ratio	11.19%

Under the capital adequacy guidelines, regulatory capital is classified into two tiers. These guidelines require an institution to maintain a certain level of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital to risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital consists of common stockholders' equity, excluding the unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale, minus certain intangible assets. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off-balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100% based on the risks believed to be inherent in the type of asset. Tier 2 capital consists of Tier 1 capital plus the general reserve for loan losses, subject to certain limitations. We are also required to maintain capital at a minimum level based on total average assets, which is known as the Tier 1 leverage ratio.

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. To be considered "well capitalized," we must maintain total risk-based capital of at least 10%, Tier 1 capital of at least 6%, and a leverage ratio of at least 5%. To be considered "adequately capitalized" under these capital guidelines, we must maintain a minimum total risk-based capital of 8%, with at least 4% being Tier 1 capital. In addition, we must maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 3%.

On June 8, 2011, the Bank entered into the Formal Agreement with the OCC. The Formal Agreement seeks to enhance the Bank's existing practices and procedures in the areas of credit risk management, credit underwriting, liquidity, and funds management. In addition, the OCC has established IMCR levels of Tier 1 and total capital for the Bank that are higher than the minimum and well capitalized ratios applicable to all banks. Specifically, we must maintain total risk-based capital of at least 13%, Tier 1 capital of at least 12%, and a leverage ratio of at least 8.5%.

As of March 31, 2013, our capital ratios exceeded these ratios and we remain "well capitalized." However, if we fail to maintain these required capital levels, then the OCC may deem noncompliance to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice which may make the Bank subject to a capital directive, a consent order, or such other administrative actions or sanctions as the OCC considers necessary. It is uncertain what actions, if any, the OCC would take with respect to noncompliance with these ratios, what action steps the OCC might require the Bank to take to remedy this situation, and whether such actions would be successful.

The following table summarizes the capital amounts and ratios of the bank and the regulatory minimum requirements.

	Actual		OCC required IMCR levels (1)				ired to ately"	OCC min ratios requ be "we capitali	iired to ell"
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
				(Dollars in t	housands)				
As of March 31, 2013									
Total Risk Based Capital	\$85,846	17.85%	\$ 62,521	13.00%	\$ 38,474	8.00%	\$ 48,093	10.00%	
Tier 1 Risk Based Capital	\$79,727	16.58%	\$ 57,703	12.00%	\$ 19,234	4.00%	\$ 28,852	6.00%	
Tier 1 Leverage Capital	\$79,727	10.35%	\$ 65,413	8.50%	\$ 23,087	3.00%	\$ 38,478	5.00%	

	Actus	OCC required Actual IMCR levels (1)			OCC minimum ratios required to be "adequately" capitalized		o ratios required	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
				(Dollars in th	ousands)			
As of December 31, 2012								
Total Risk Based Capital	\$ 95,528	19.22%	\$64,613	13.00%	\$39,752	8.00%	\$49,690	10.00%
Tier 1 Risk Based Capital	\$ 89,204	17.95%	\$59,635	12.00%	\$19,876	4.00%	\$29,814	6.00%
Tier 1 Leverage Capital	\$ 89,204	10.41%	\$72,837	8.50%	\$25,702	3.00%	\$42,836	5.00%
As of March 31, 2012								
Total Risk Based Capital	\$102,026	16.25%	\$81,621	13.00%	\$50,228	8.00%	\$62,785	10.00%
Tier 1 Risk Based Capital	\$ 94,060	14.98%	\$75,348	12.00%	\$25,116	4.00%	\$37,674	6.00%
Tier 1 Leverage Capital	\$ 94,060	10.54%	\$75,855	8.50%	\$26,772	3.00%	\$44,620	5.00%

(1) The OCC has established IMCR pursuant to 12 C.F.R. Section 3.10, which exceed the normal regulatory requirements to be well capitalized. Capital levels continue to exceed these thresholds by a significant margin.

Dividends that may be paid by the Bank are subject to legal limitations, regulatory capital requirements, and prior approval by the OCC. The approval of the OCC is required if the total of all dividends declared by a national bank in any calendar year exceeds the total of its net profits for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. Additionally, in accordance with the requirements of the Formal Agreement with the OCC, FNB adopted a dividend policy that permits the declaration of a dividend only when FNB is in compliance with its approved capital program, when FNB is in compliance with 12 U.S.C. §§56 and 60, and after obtaining a written determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC.

Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices

The effect of relative purchasing power over time due to inflation has not been taken into account in our consolidated financial statements. Rather, our financial statements have been prepared on an historical cost basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Unlike most industrial companies, our assets and liabilities are primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, the effect of changes in interest rates will have a more significant impact on our performance than will the effect of changing prices and inflation in general. In addition, interest rates may generally increase as the rate of inflation increases, although not necessarily in the same magnitude. We seek to manage the relationships between interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to protect against wide rate fluctuations, including those resulting from inflation.

Off-Balance Sheet Risk

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as the customer has not violated any material condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. At March 31, 2013, unfunded commitments to extend credit were approximately \$41.2 million, of which \$5.7 million were at fixed rates and \$35.5 million were at variable rates. At December 31, 2012, unfunded commitments to extend credit were approximately \$47.9 million, of which \$11.9 million were at fixed rates and \$36.0 million were at variable rates. At March 31, 2012, unfunded commitments to extend credit were \$51.6 million, of which approximately \$7.6 million were at fixed rates and \$44.0 million were at variable rates. A significant portion of the unfunded commitments related to consumer equity lines of credit. Based on historical experience, we anticipate that a significant portion of these lines of credit will not be funded. We evaluate each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of

collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by us upon extension of credit, is based on our credit evaluation of the borrower. The type of collateral varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and commercial and residential real estate.

At March 31, 2013 December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, there were \$430 thousand \$563 thousand, and \$1 million of commitments under letters of credit, respectively. The credit risk and collateral involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. Since most of the letters of credit are expected to expire without being drawn upon, they do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

On January 24, 2013, Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. (the "Company") entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger (the "Agreement") with the Bank, whereby the Company will acquire all of the outstanding common stock of the Bank in a transaction valued at approximately \$64.0 million for the outstanding common stock of the Bank. Completion of the transaction is subject to certain closing conditions, including regulatory approvals and the approval of the shareholders of the Bank. The transaction approvals of the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the Arkansas State Bank Department were received subsequent to the balance sheet statement date. The transaction is subject to shareholder approval and is expected to close during the third quarter of 2013. Upon approval of the shareholders, the Bank will be liable to Sandler O'Neill for the remaining balance (\$710 thousand) of the transaction fee of 1.5% of the aggregate deal value which is to be paid upon the closing of the merger.

Except as disclosed in this document, we are not involved in off-balance sheet contractual relationships, do not have any unconsolidated related entities that have off-balance sheet arrangements and are not involved in any transactions that could result in liquidity needs or other commitments that significantly impact earnings.

Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates, which principally arises from interest rate risk inherent in our lending, investing, deposit gathering, and borrowing activities. Other types of market risks, such as foreign currency exchange rate risk and commodity price risk, do not generally arise in the normal course of our business.

We actively monitor and manage our interest rate risk exposure in order to control the mix and maturities of our assets and liabilities utilizing a process we call asset/liability management. The essential purposes of asset/liability management are to ensure adequate liquidity and to maintain an appropriate balance between interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to minimize potentially adverse impacts on earnings from changes in market interest rates. Our asset/liability management committee ("ALCO") monitors and considers methods of managing exposure to interest rate risk. We have an internal ALCO consisting of certain board members and senior management that meets quarterly. ALCO is responsible for maintaining the level of interest rate sensitivity of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities within board-approved limits.

Our interest rate risk exposure is managed principally by measuring our interest sensitivity which is the positive or negative dollar difference between assets and liabilities that are subject to interest rate repricing within a given period of time. Interest rate sensitivity can be managed by repricing assets or liabilities, selling securities available for sale, replacing an asset or liability at maturity, or adjusting the interest rate during the life of an asset or liability. Managing the amount of assets and liabilities repricing in this same time interval helps to hedge the risk and minimize the impact on net interest income of rising or falling interest rates. In general, we would benefit from increasing market rates of interest when we have an asset-sensitive gap position and from decreasing market rates of interest when we are liability-sensitive.

The following table sets forth information regarding our rate sensitivity, as of March 31, 2013, at each of the time intervals.

			March 31, 2013		
	Within three months	After three but within twelve months	After one but within five years	After five years	Total
Internet coming egets		(Dollars in thousands))	
Interest-earning assets:	¢ 22.740	¢	¢	¢	¢ 22.740
Federal funds sold	\$ 33,749	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 33,749
Investment securities	9,453	23,278	75,920	60,137	168,788
Loans	167,894	60,547	166,875	71,616	466,932
Total earning assets	\$211,096	\$ 83,825	\$242,795	\$131,753	\$669,469
Interest-bearing liabilities:					
Money market and NOW	107,263			98,144	205,407
Regular savings				32,930	32,930
Time deposits	37,919	82,060	138,530	_	258,509
Collateralized customer deposits	21,081			_	21,081
Total interest-bearing liabilities	166,263	82,060	138,530	131,074	517,927
Period gap	\$ 44,833	\$ 1,765	\$104,265	\$ 679	\$151,542
Cumulative gap	\$ 44,833	\$ 46,598	\$150,863	\$151,542	
Ratio of cumulative gap to total earning assets	7%	7%	23%	23%	23%

As measured over the one-year time interval, the above analysis would suggest that we were asset sensitive at March 31, 2013, since we have \$46.6 million more assets than liabilities repricing in the next twelve months. However, our gap analysis is not a precise indicator of our interest sensitivity position. This analysis presents only a static view of the timing of maturities and repricing opportunities, without taking into consideration that changes in interest rates do not affect all assets and liabilities equally. For example, rates paid on a substantial portion of core deposits may change contractually within a relatively short time frame, but those rates are viewed by us as significantly less interest-sensitive than market-based rates such as those paid on noncore deposits. We periodically utilize more complex interest rate models than indicated above, and based on those results we believe that our net interest income will be positively impacted by an increase in interest rates. Our variable rate loans, which comprised approximately 34% of our total loans, and a substantial portion of our deposits reprice over the next 12 months. Net interest income may be affected by other significant factors in a given interest rate environment, including changes in the volume and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

At March 31, 2013, approximately 73% of our interest bearing liabilities were either variable rate or had a maturity of less than one year. Of the \$166 million of interest bearing liabilities set to reprice within three months, 65% are transaction, money market or savings accounts which are already at or near their lowest rates and provide little opportunity for benefit for us should market rates continue to decline or stay constant. However, certificates of deposit that are currently maturing or renewing are repricing at lower rates. We expect to benefit as these deposits reprice, even if market rates increase slightly.

In addition, we believe that the interest rates that we pay on the majority of our interest bearing transaction accounts would only be impacted by a portion of any change in market rates. This key assumption is utilized in our overall evaluation of our level of interest sensitivity.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity represents the ability of a company to convert assets into cash or cash equivalents without significant loss, and the ability to raise additional funds by increasing liabilities. Liquidity management involves

monitoring our sources and uses of funds in order to meet our day-to-day cash flow requirements while maximizing profits. Liquidity management is made more complicated because different balance sheet components are subject to varying degrees of management control. For example, the timing of maturities of our investment portfolio is fairly predictable and subject to a high degree of control at the time investment decisions are made. However, net deposit inflows and outflows are far less predictable and are not subject to the same degree of control.

At March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, our liquid assets, which consisted of cash and cash equivalents and unencumbered investment collateral, amounted to \$122 million, \$173 million, and \$150 million, or 17%, 20%, and 16% of total assets, respectively. Our investment securities, excluding Federal bank stock, at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012 amounted to \$167 million, \$179 million \$301 million, or 23%, 21% and 34% of total assets, respectively. Investment securities traditionally provide a secondary source of liquidity since they can be converted into cash in a timely manner.

Our ability to maintain and expand our deposit base and borrowing capabilities serves as our primary source of liquidity. We plan to meet our future cash needs through the liquidation of temporary investments, the generation of deposits, and from additional borrowings. In addition, we will receive cash upon the maturity and sale of loans and the maturity of investment securities. We maintain a Borrower In Custody line of credit with the Federal Reserve totaling \$4.9 million for which there were no borrowings against the line at March 31, 2013.

We are also a member of the FHLB-Atlanta, from which applications for borrowings can be made. The FHLB-Atlanta requires that securities, qualifying mortgage loans, and stock of the FHLB-Atlanta owned by the Bank be pledged to secure any advances from the FHLB-Atlanta. The unused borrowing capacity available from the FHLB-Atlanta at March 31, 2013 was \$51.3 million, based on the Bank's \$14.8 million pledged investment collateral, as well as \$36.5 million of lendable collateral value derived from our loans pledged to FHLB-Atlanta. However, we are able to pledge additional securities to the FHLB-Atlanta in order to increase our available borrowing capacity. As of March 31, 2013 there were no outstanding borrowings.

As previously discussed, during the first quarter of 2013, due to low yield opportunities in the securities market, lack of loan demand, our existing high cost wholesale funding and in anticipation of the business combination, the Bank executed balance sheet deleveraging transactions totaling \$104 million by using excess interest bearing cash to prepay/unwind all FHLB-Atlanta advances (\$61.5 million) and structured repurchase agreements (\$42.5 million). In doing so, the Bank also unwound all interest rate swaps with a notional value of \$34 million. The Bank incurred costs consisting of prepayment/unwind penalties and fees totaling \$10.5 million that were recorded as noninterest expense during the first quarter of 2013. These transactions should provide significant interest cost savings and should improve net interest margin. Additionally, management does not expect these transactions to have an adverse effect on the Bank's liquidity.

Contractual Obligations

We utilize a variety of short-term and long-term borrowings to supplement our supply of lendable funds, to assist in meeting deposit withdrawal requirements, and to fund growth of interest earning assets in excess of traditional deposit growth. Certificates of deposit, structured repurchase agreements and FHLB-Atlanta advances serve as our primary sources of such funds.

Obligations under noncancelable operating lease agreements are payable over several years, with the longest obligation expiring in 2038. We do not believe any existing noncancelable operating lease agreements are likely to materially impact the Bank's financial condition or results of operations in an adverse way. Contractual obligations relative to these agreements are noted in the table below. Option periods that we have not yet exercised are not included in this analysis as they do not represent contractual obligations until exercised.

The following table provides payments due by period for obligations under long-term borrowings and operating lease obligations.

	March 31, 2013						
			Payments Du	ie by Period			
	Within One Year	Over One to Two Years	Over Two to Three Years	Over Three to Five Years	After Five Years	Total	
			(Dollars in	thousands)			
Certificates of deposit	\$119,979	\$91,371	\$27,284	\$19,875	\$ —	\$258,509	
Repurchase agreements	_				—		
FHLB advances and related debt							
Operating lease obligations	280	280	280	521	4,209	5,570	
Total	\$120,256	\$91,651	\$27,564	\$20,399	\$4,209	\$264,079	

ANNUAL RESULTS

EARNINGS REVIEW

In 2010, the Bank adopted a strategic plan to reduce nonperforming loans and classified assets. This strategic plan was intensified during 2011 and 2012 as classified assets were reduced from \$112 million at December 31, 2010 to \$104 million at December 31, 2011 and to \$78 million at December 31, 2012, resulting in a total net reduction over the two years of \$34 million, or 30.7%. Positive core operating income (defined in the table appearing under the caption, "Retained Earnings," below, as Net Income before Asset Quality Losses) enabled the Bank to aggressively expedite the resolution of nonperforming and classified assets through note sales (\$12.5 million), net loan charge-offs (\$10.4 million), foreclosures (\$1.9 million) and the sale of OREO properties (\$3.9 million) during 2012.

In early 2012, management fulfilled its commitment to the Bank's regulators to sell two performing classified securities (\$4.9 million of private label mortgage-backed securities) at a loss of \$968,000, which was offset by realizing gains from the sale of performing securities in the investment portfolio. During the third quarter of 2012, additional securities were sold at a gain of \$2.2 million to offset losses on the sale of classified loans. In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Bank's remaining portfolio of performing classified securities (\$16 million of collateralized debt obligations) were sold at a loss of \$9.3 million, which was partially offset by realizing gains from the sale of \$109 million of performing securities in its investment portfolio. These securities sales during 2012 included all of the Bank's held to maturity investment securities portfolio and a portion of its available for sale investment securities portfolio. As of December 31, 2012, all investment securities (\$179 million) were classified as available for sale.

Management expects to continue its strategy of reducing levels of nonperforming loans while also preserving capital. Therefore, over the next couple of years, the Bank may be unable to utilize its deferred tax asset, which totaled \$12.8 million at December 31, 2012. At that time, management determined that its projections of future earnings did not support the ability to fully utilize all deferred tax assets and established a valuation allowance of \$3.9 million for deferred tax assets. This allowance, along with other income tax benefits, resulted in a net loss of \$3.4 million for 2012, compared to net income of \$247 thousand for 2011 and net loss of \$7.9 million in 2010, primarily due to the write down of goodwill. Net earnings (loss) to common stockholders was \$(8.54) per share for 2012 compared to \$0.62 per share for 2011 and \$(19.86) per share for 2010.

Retained Earnings

At January 1, 2009 retained earnings were \$93.3 million compared to \$84.3 million at December 31, 2012, a reduction of \$9 million, of which \$6.6 million was due to losses and \$3.2 million was due to payment of dividends, partially offset by \$0.718 million pursuant to the adoption of FAS 115-2. Over this four year period, the provision for loan losses totaled \$46.6 million, losses on sales of OREO properties totaled \$2.7 million, and net security losses totaled \$1.1 million. These asset quality and investment securities losses, which were brought on by the economic downturn, significant concentration in assets collateralized by real estate, and disruption to real estate market values were offset by the Bank's core operating income. The \$6.0 million write-down of goodwill in 2010 and the \$3.9 million valuation allowance on deferred tax assets established in 2012 are not related to the core operations of the Bank but are, however, closely aligned to the general economic deterioration which occurred throughout the country.

Nevertheless, despite these reductions to retained earnings, the Bank's capital ratios are strong and exceed both the regulatory requirements to be considered "well capitalized," and the Individual Minimum Capital Requirements (ICMR) established by the OCC.

Although the following table does not conform to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and thus is considered "non-GAAP," it is intended to be a transparent representation of management's implementation of the strategic plan, where continued positive core earnings enabled the Bank to aggressively expedite the resolution of nonperforming and classified assets without significant erosion to retained earnings.

		Year Ended December 31,			
	Cumulative	2012	2011	2010	2009
		(I	Dollars in thousands)	
Beginning Retained Earnings	\$ 93,349	\$87,681	\$ 87,434	\$ 96,020	\$93,349
Net Income before Asset Quality Losses	53,763	9,840	14,439	15,491	13,993
Provision for Loan Losses	(46,632)	(8,233)	(13,369)	(16,350)	(8,680)
Net Sale (and/or Cost) of OREO	(2,744)	(452)	(798)	(854)	(640)
Gain(Loss) on Sale of Securities & OTTI	(1,060)	(677)	(25)	(198)	(160)
Sub-Total Asset Quality Losses	(50,436)	(9,362)	(14,192)	(17,402)	(9,480)
Earnings after Asset Quality Losses	3,327	478	247	(1,911)	4,513
Write-off of Goodwill	(6,035)			(6,035)	—
Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance	(3,892)	(3,892)			
Net Income/(Loss) transferred to Retained Earnings	(6,600)	(3,414)	247	(7,946)	4,513
Reclassification of OTTI pursuant to FAS115-2	718				718
Dividends Paid	(3,200)			(640)	(2,560)
Ending Retained Earnings	\$ 84,267	\$84,267	\$ 87,681	\$ 87,434	\$96,020

Net Interest Income and Margin

Our level of net interest income is determined by the level of earning assets, interest bearing liabilities, and the management of our net interest margin. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, our net interest income was \$23.9 million, \$27.6 million, and \$28.9 million, respectively. The decrease in net interest income during 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily the result of the sale of higher yielding investment securities, the decrease in the size of our loan portfolio through a combination of early loan pay-offs and lack of loan demand, partially offset by a reduction in the cost of liabilities. The slight decrease in net interest income in 2011 compared to 2010 was related to the decrease in the loan portfolio and loan yields as well as a decrease in the size of the investment securities portfolio, partially offset by the decrease in cost of liabilities.

Our net interest margin was 2.87% for 2012, an 18 bps decrease from 3.05% for 2011. The decrease in net interest margin during 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily the result of a decrease in yields on earnings assets of 38 bps, partially offset by a decrease in costs of interest bearing liabilities of 19 bps. Net interest margin for 2011 increased 14 bps over the 2010 net interest margin of 2.91%, primarily due to the 38 bps reduction in the cost of interest bearing liabilities compared to a 23 bps reduction in yields on interest earning assets. While we do not expect our loan yields to change significantly in the near future, we do anticipate our future deposit costs to continue to decrease as we have approximately \$65 million of retail certificates of deposit scheduled to mature and reprice in the first six months of 2013. In addition, \$10 million of wholesale certificates of deposit will mature in the first quarter of 2013 and we do not anticipate replacing these deposits.

Interest income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$34.6 million, \$41.1 million, and \$47.3 million, respectively. During 2012, 74.2% of our interest income related to interest on loans and 25.3% related to interest on investments. Comparatively, during 2011 and 2010, loan interest income comprised 71.8% and 69.2% of interest income, and investment interest comprised 27.9% and 30.5%, respectively, of interest income.

Interest expense for 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$10.7 million, \$13.5 million, and \$18.5 million, respectively. The decrease in interest expense during 2012 compared to 2011 and during 2011 compared to 2010 relates primarily to a decrease in interest expense on deposits due to the decrease in the average balance of interest bearing deposits from \$669 million for 2010 to \$612 million for 2011 and \$558 million for 2012, as well as a decline in rates on interest bearing deposits of 32 bps in 2012 and 35 bps in 2011. Interest expense on borrowings

from other sources decreased in 2012 compared to 2011 and in 2011 compared to 2010 due primarily to the decrease in the average balance of other borrowings from \$179 million in 2010 to \$139 million in 2011 and \$108 million in 2012. Interest expense on deposits for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 represented 61.0%, 67.3%, and 66.6%, respectively, of total interest expense, while interest expense on other borrowings represented 39.0%, 32.7%, and 33.4%, respectively, of total interest expense.

The following table sets forth information related to our average balance sheet, average yields on assets, and average rates of liabilities at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. We derived these yields or rates by dividing income or expense by the average balance of the corresponding assets or liabilities. We derived average balances from the daily balances throughout the periods indicated. Yields on investment securities include amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts as an adjustment to yield. Nonaccrual loans are included in earning assets in the following tables. The average balance of loans includes loans on nonaccrual status. The net of capitalized loan costs and fees are amortized into interest income on loans.

Average Balances, Income and Expenses, Yields and Rates

				For the Yea	r Ended Dece	mber 31,			
		2012			2011			2010	
	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate
					ars in thousand				
Interest earning assets									
Federal funds sold	\$ 47,951	\$ 73	0.15%	\$ 42,362	\$ 73	0.17%	\$ 34,727	\$ 73	0.21%
Securities	287,511	8,757	3.05%	318,036	11,478	3.61%	372,267	14,460	3.88%
Federal Bank Stock	5,159	91	1.77%	7,226	60	0.84%	8,637	32	0.37%
Loans	491,604	25,671	5.22%	538,235	29,509	5.48%	575,743	32,771	5.69%
Total earning assets	832,225	34,592	4.16%	905,859	41,120	4.54%	991,374	47,336	4.77%
Nonearning assets	46,786			50,207			60,391		
Total assets	\$879,011			\$956,066			\$1,051,765		
Interest bearing liabilities									
NOW accounts	\$147,937	\$ 245	0.17%	\$149,982	\$ 504	0.34%	\$ 134,367	\$ 714	0.53%
Savings & money market	93,766	220	0.23%	85,533	305	0.36%	60,996	284	0.47%
Time deposits	245,170	4,905	2.00%	282,562	6,679	2.36%	318,185	8,476	2.66%
Wholesale time deposits	55,327	1,158	2.09%	76,924	1,599	2.08%	134,754	2,821	2.09%
Collateralized customer deposits	16,010	13	0.08%	17,218	14	0.08%	20,725	30	0.14%
Total deposits	558,210	6,541	1.17%	612,219	9,101	1.49%	669,027	12,325	1.84%
FHLB advances	65,664	2,323	3.54%	77,527	2,585	3.33%	98,694	4,436	4.49%
Repurchase agreements	42,500	1,831	4.31%	60,993	1,826	2.99%	80,000	1,702	2.13%
Total interest bearing liabilities	666,374	10,695	1.61%	750,739	13,512	1.80%	847,721	18,463	2.18%
Noninterest bearing liabilities	110,936			104,291			95,382		
Stockholders' equity	101,701			101,036			108,662		
Total liabilities and stockholders'				<u> </u>			·		
equity	\$879,011			\$956,066			\$1,051,765		
Net interest spread			2.55%			2.74%			2.59%
Net interest income/margin		\$23,897	2.87%		\$27,608	3.05%		\$28,873	2.91%

The decline in income on our interest earning assets during 2012 compared to 2011 and during 2011 compared to 2010 was driven primarily by a lack of loan demand as the average balance of loans outstanding decreased \$47 million, or 8.7%, for 2012 compared to 2011 and decreased \$38 million, or 6.5%, for 2011 compared to 2010. Additionally, the average balance of investment securities decreased \$31 million, or 9.6% for 2012 compared to 2011 and decreased \$54 million, or 6.5%, for 2011 compared to 2010. Not only did the average balances decline, but yields on earning assets fell as assets repriced at market rates which were at historic lows. The yield on loans fell from 5.69% in 2010 to 5.48% in 2011 and to 5.22% in 2012, a decline of 21 bps for 2011 compared to 2010 and a decline of 26 bps for 2012 compared to 2011. The yield on investment securities decreased from 3.88% in 2010 to 3.61% in 2011 and 3.05% in 2012 as prepayment speeds on mortgage-backed securities increased as market rates continued to decline and these securities were replaced at lower yields. In addition, as investment securities in our portfolio with unrealized gains were sold to offset losses on the sale of certain classified investment securities and other nonperforming assets, the purchase of replacement securities yielded lower rates than did the securities sold.

Interest expense also decreased during 2012 compared to 2011 and in 2011 compared to 2010 due to lower rates on our interest bearing liabilities. Our average interest bearing liabilities decreased by \$84 million during 2012 compared to 2011, and decreased by \$97 million during 2011 compared to 2010. Additionally, the rates on interest bearing liabilities decreased 19 bps in 2012 compared to 2011 and decreased 38 bps in 2011 compared to 2010. During both 2012 and 2011, our interest bearing liabilities continued to reprice downward. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, our repurchase agreements of \$42.5 million and approximately \$20 million of our FHLB-Atlanta advances were at fixed interest rates, with the remaining FHLB-Atlanta advances of \$41.5 million at December 31, 2012 and \$56.5 million at December 31, 2011 at variable interest rates. At both December 31, 2012 and 2011, \$34 million of our variable rate FHLB-Atlanta advances were part of an interest rate swap agreement converting the variable borrowings to fixed rate.

Rate/Volume Analysis

Net interest income can be analyzed in terms of the impact of changing interest rates and changing volume. The following table sets forth the effect which the varying levels of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and the applicable rates have had on changes in net interest income for the periods presented.

		2012 over 2011		2011 over 2010			
	Volume Yield / Rate		Net Change	Volume	Yield / Rate	Net Change	
			(Dollars in	thousands)			
Increase (decrease) in:							
Interest income:							
Federal funds sold	\$9	\$ (9)	\$ —	\$ 13	\$ (13)	\$ —	
Securities	(930)	(1,791)	(2,721)	(1,957)	(1,025)	(2,982)	
Federal Bank Stock	(36)	67	31	(12)	40	28	
Loans	(2,435)	(1,403)	(3,838)	(2,056)	(1,206)	(3,262)	
Total interest income	(3,392)	(3,136)	(6,528)	(4,012)	(2,204)	(6,216)	
Interest expense:							
NOW accounts	(3)	(256)	(259)	52	(262)	(210)	
Savings & money market	19	(104)	(85)	87	(66)	21	
Time deposits	(748)	(1,026)	(1,774)	(842)	(955)	(1,797)	
Wholesale time deposits	(452)	11	(441)	(1,202)	(20)	(1,222)	
Collateralized customer deposits	(1)		(1)	(3)	(13)	(16)	
Sub-total deposit interest expense	(1,185)	(1,375)	(2,560)	(1,907)	(1,317)	(3,224)	
FHLB advances	(420)	158	(262)	(706)	(1,145)	(1,851)	
Repurchase agreements	(797)	802	5	(569)	693	124	
Total interest expense	(2,402)	(415)	(2,817)	(3,182)	(1,769)	(4,951)	
Increase (decrease) net interest income	<u>\$ (990</u>)	\$ (2,721)	\$(3,711)	\$ (830)	\$ (435)	\$(1,265)	

Net interest income, the largest component of our income, was \$23.9 million for 2012, a \$3.7 million decrease from \$27.6 million for 2011. Our average interest earning assets decreased \$73.6 million during 2012 compared to 2011 while our average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$84.3 million during 2012 compared to 2011. Although our average interest earning assets decreased by \$10.7 million less than our interest bearing liabilities, the 38 bps decrease in yields on our interest earning assets was partially offset by the 19 bps decrease in rates on interest bearing liabilities, resulting in the decrease in net interest margin of 18 bps for 2012 compared to 2011.

During 2011, our net interest income decreased by \$1.3 million to \$27.6 million, compared to \$28.9 million for 2010. Our average interest earning assets decreased by \$85.5 million during 2011, compared to 2010, and our average interest bearing liabilities decreased by \$97.0 million during 2011 compared to 2010. Although our average interest earning assets decreased by \$11.5 million less than our interest bearing liabilities, the decrease in rates of 38 bps on our interest-bearing liabilities more than offset the 23 bps decrease in yields on interest earning assets resulting in a 14 bps improvement in net interest margin for 2011 compared to 2010.

Provision for Loan Losses

We have established an allowance for loan losses through a provision charged as an expense on our statements of income. We review our loan portfolio periodically to evaluate our outstanding loans and to measure both the performance of the portfolio and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Please see the discussion below under "Balance Sheet Review – Allowance for Loan Losses" for a description of the factors we consider in determining the amount of the provision we expense each period to maintain this allowance.

Following is a summary of the activity in the allowance for loan losses.

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2012	2011	2010			
		Dollars in thousands)				
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 17,439	\$ 16,763	\$ 11,145			
Provision	8,233	13,368	16,350			
Loan charge-offs	(11,092)	(13,402)	(11,237)			
Loan recoveries	734	710	504			
Balance, end of year	\$ 15,314	\$ 17,439	\$ 16,763			

At December 31, 2012, the allowance for loan losses was 3.23% of total loans. The \$8.2 million provision for 2012 was a function of both the level of charge-offs that occurred during 2012 and the reduction of specific reserves on impaired loans. During 2012, we charged-off \$10.4 million in loans, net of recoveries on loans previously charged off, and had \$1.9 million reductions in specific reserves on impaired loans at December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans was 3.37% and 2.99% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we added \$13.4 million and \$16.4 million, respectively, to the allowance for loan losses through the provision, resulting in an allowance of \$17.4 million and \$16.8 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We reported charge-offs, net of recoveries on loans previously charged off, of \$12.7 million and \$10.7 million for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

At December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the allowance for loan losses represented 32%, 39%, and 39% of the amount of nonperforming loans. A significant portion, or 95%, of nonperforming loans at December 31, 2012 are secured by real estate. In recognition of impairment, our nonperforming loans are written down to approximately 80% of their current unpaid principal balance.

Noninterest Income

The following table sets forth information related to our noninterest income.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
	(I	Dollars in thousand	ds)		
Service charges	\$3,395	\$3,766	\$ 3,893		
Trust income	1,317	1,395	1,338		
Mortgage banking income	1,372	993	1,663		
Net gain (loss) on sale of securities	(610)	440	855		
Other	1,315	1,290	1,244		
Other than temporary impairment losses	(67)	(465)	(1,052)		
Total noninterest income	\$6,722	\$7,419	\$ 7,941		

Noninterest income decreased \$697 thousand from \$7.4 million for 2011 to \$6.7 million for 2012. The decrease in total noninterest income during 2012 compared to 2011 resulted primarily from the \$610 thousand loss on sale of investment securities in 2012 compared to \$440 thousand gain on sale of securities in 2011 and the \$371 thousand decrease in service charges. These decreases were partially offset by mortgage banking income which increased \$379 thousand for 2012 compared to 2011.

Noninterest income decreased \$522 thousand to \$7.4 million for 2011 from \$7.9 million for 2010. The decrease during 2011 compared to 2010 resulted primarily from a decrease of \$670 thousand in mortgage banking income. Additionally, gains on the sale of securities decreased \$415 thousand in 2011 compared to 2010 and other-than-temporary impairment charges totaled \$464 thousand in 2011 compared to \$1.1 million in 2010.

The Dodd-Frank Act calls for limits on interchange transaction fees that banks receive from merchants via card networks like Visa, Inc. and MasterCard, Inc. when a customer uses a debit card. In June 2011, the Federal Reserve approved the final rule which caps an issuer's base fee at 21 cents per transaction and allows an additional 5 basis point charge per transaction to help cover fraud losses. Although the rule technically does not apply to institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets, such as the Bank, there is concern that the price controls may harm community banks as they are pressured by the marketplace to lower their own interchange rates. Our interchange fee income is included in service charges and was \$1.1 million, \$1.1 million, and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Noninterest Expenses

The following table sets forth information related to our noninterest expenses.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012 2011				
	(Dollars in thousands	5)		
Compensation and benefits	\$11,455	\$12,528	\$13,529		
Federal and other insurance premiums	1,181	1,252	1,299		
Occupancy	1,070	1,169	1,397		
Equipment rentals, depreciation and maintenance	2,043	2,095	2,186		
Impairment of goodwill	—	—	6,035		
Other	6,626	5,391	5,242		
Total noninterest expenses	\$22,375	\$22,435	\$29,688		

Noninterest expense was \$22.37 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a \$60 thousand, or 0.3%, decrease from noninterest expense of \$22.43 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Noninterest expense for 2011 decreased \$7.25 million, or 24.4%, from \$29.69 million for 2010. Compensation and benefits and occupancy comprised 56.0% of total noninterest expense during 2012, compared to 61.1% in 2011 and 50.3% in 2010.

Our efficiency ratio was 73.08%, 64.05%, and 80.64% for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The efficiency ratio represents the percentage of one dollar of expense required to be incurred to earn a full dollar of revenue and is computed by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income. Based on this calculation, we spent \$0.73 on average to earn each \$1.00 of revenue during the year ended December 31, 2012.

While total noninterest expense remained flat during 2012 compared to 2011, compensation and benefits decreased \$1.1 million, or 8.6%, during 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to attrition.

Of the \$1.23 million increase in "Other" expenses, \$1.16 million was primarily due to costs attributable to management of nonperforming assets in 2012, of which 50% was attributable to external credit risk management consultants, 22% to appraisals on nonperforming loans, 22% to legal fees, and the remaining 6% to external credit risk consultant reviews.

Occupancy expenses decreased \$98 thousand, or 8.4%, for 2012 compared to 2011 driven primarily by ongoing general cost controls.

Insurance expenses decreased \$71 thousand, or 5.6%, for 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to a reduction in the FDIC quarterly assessments, which resulted from a declining assessment base comprised of average consolidated total assets and average tangible equity.

Noninterest expense for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$22.4 million and \$29.7 million, respectively. The \$7.3 million decrease during 2011 related primarily to the following:

Compensation and benefits expense decreased \$1.0 million for 2011 compared to 2010 due primarily to attrition.

Occupancy expense decreased \$228 thousand during 2011 compared to 2010 due primarily to savings derived by a new branch moving from a temporary location to a permanent facility. Additionally, bank-wide branch cost control initiatives were effectively put in place during 2011.

The Bank recorded a \$6.0 million goodwill impairment charge to write-off all of its goodwill during 2010. Declining value of the Bank's stock coupled with lower cash flow projections by the Bank resulted in management reducing its internal valuations resulting in the goodwill impairment.

BALANCE SHEET REVIEW

At December 31, 2012, we had total assets of \$853.8 million, consisting principally of \$459.1 million in net loans, \$178.9 million in investments (excluding Federal bank stock), and \$161.8 million in cash and cash equivalents. Our liabilities at December 31, 2012 totaled \$758.3 million, consisting principally of \$641.4 million in deposits, \$61.5 million in FHLB-Atlanta advances, and \$42.5 million related to repurchase agreements. At December 31, 2012, our stockholders' equity was \$95.5 million.

At December 31, 2011, we had total assets of \$898.4 million, consisting principally of \$500.8 million in net loans, \$315.5 million in investments (excluding Federal bank stock), and \$18.4 million in cash and cash equivalents. Our liabilities at December 31, 2011 totaled \$798.0 million, consisting principally of \$666.4 million

in deposits, \$76.5 million in FHLB-Atlanta advances, and \$42.5 million related to repurchase agreements. At December 31, 2011, our stockholders' equity was \$100.4 million.

During 2012, we committed to our primary regulator to reduce classified investment securities (private label collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs") and collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") by 23%, through sales of specific targeted bonds, amortizing payments, and write-downs. During the first and second quarters of 2012, we sold two held-to-maturity CMOs for \$4.8 million, resulting in a realized loss of \$973 thousand. In order to offset these realized losses and thereby preserve capital, we also sold available-for-sale investment securities of \$43.4 million, resulting in realized gains of \$757 thousand, with the balance of the loss offset by the \$301 thousand redemption of a classified held-to-maturity CDO. The Bank determined that the sale of the held-to-maturity securities was based on a change in circumstances related to the levels of investment in these specific securities and did not call into question their intent to hold other held-to-maturity investment securities to maturity.

Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2012, our board of directors began various actions and negotiations to sell the Bank. As part of this decision to engage in a business combination, we sold \$84 million of held-to-maturity investment securities at a realized loss of \$4.2 million. To mitigate a portion of the loss and to preserve capital, we also sold available-for-sale securities of \$41.5 million, realizing a gain of \$1.4 million. Due to this intent to enter into a business combination and because the majority of the held-to-maturity securities were sold, we transferred the remaining held-to-maturity security, recorded at \$2.9 million, to available-for-sale, as our intent to hold the security to maturity was no longer part of our strategic plan.

The cash received from these investment sales totaled \$122.8 million, but the proceeds were not reinvested while we considered an alternative strategy of prepaying/unwinding all borrowings, structured repurchase agreements, and interest rate swaps. In early 2013, due to low yield opportunities in the securities market and lack of loan demand, coupled with our existing high cost wholesale funding, we executed a \$104 million balance sheet deleverage transaction by using excess interest bearing cash to prepay/unwind all FHLB-Atlanta advances (\$61.5 million) and structured repurchase agreements (\$42.5 million). We also unwound all interest rate swaps with a notional value of \$34 million. We incurred an aggregate of prepayment/unwind penalties and fees totaling \$10.5 million that were recorded as noninterest expense in 2013. Going forward, we believe this transaction should provide significant interest cost savings, and should improve our net interest margin.

Investment Securities (excluding Federal bank stock)

At December 31, 2012, the \$178.9 million in our investment securities portfolio, all of which was classified as available for sale, represented approximately 21.0% of our total assets. Our investment portfolio included mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$178.9 million and an amortized cost of \$179.5 million for an unrealized loss of \$569 thousand.

The amortized costs and the fair value of our investments are as follows.

	December 31,						
	20	12	20)11	2010		
	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair	
	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	Cost	Value	
			(Dollars in	thousands)			
Available for Sale							
U.S. government agency securities	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,672	\$ 1,793	\$ 58,408	\$ 58,238	
State and political subdivisions		—	21,428	22,581	23,483	23,159	
Mortgage-backed securities	179,456	178,888	193,404	196,562	147,507	149,704	
Corporate	—	—	1,345	1,353	1,358	1,424	
Total	\$179,456	\$178,888	\$217,849	\$222,289	\$230,756	\$232,525	
Held to Maturity							
Collateralized debt obligations	\$	\$	\$ 14,695	\$ 5,989	\$ 17,105	\$ 3,608	
Mortgage-backed securities			78,500	85,300	89,725	94,168	
Total	\$	\$	\$ 93,195	\$ 91,289	\$106,830	\$ 97,776	

Contractual maturities and yields on our investments are shown in the following table. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. At December 31, 2012, we had no securities with a maturity of less than one year.

		December 31, 2012								
	On	e to Fi	ve Years	Five to Ten Years Over Ten		Over Ten	Years	Tota	l	
	Amo	ount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	
					(Dollars i	n thousands)				
Available for Sale										
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	2	9.869%	\$19,600	2.587%	\$159,286	1.561%	\$178,888	1.672%	
Total	\$	2	9.869%	\$19,600	2.587%	\$159,286	1.561%	\$178,888	1.672%	

At December 31, 2012, the Bank had 19 individual investments that were in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. The unrealized losses were primarily attributable to changes in interest rates, rather than deterioration in credit quality. The Bank considers the length of time and extent to which the fair value of available-for-sale debt securities have been less than cost to conclude that such securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired. We also consider other factors such as the financial condition of the issuer including credit ratings and specific events affecting the operations of the issuer, volatility of the security, underlying assets that collateralize the debt security, and other industry and macroeconomic conditions. As the Bank has no intent to sell securities with unrealized losses and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Bank will be required to sell these securities before recovery of amortized cost, we have concluded that the securities are not impaired on an other-than-temporary basis.

Other investments are comprised of the following and are recorded at cost which approximates fair value.

	Decem	ber 31,
	2012	2011
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Federal Reserve Bank stock	\$ 360	\$ 360
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	4,115	5,769
Total	\$4,475	\$ 6,129

<u>Concentrations.</u> The following table summarizes issuer concentrations of collateralized mortgage obligations for which aggregate fair values exceed 10% of shareholder's equity at December 31, 2012.

Issuer	Aggregate amortized c	88 8	Fair value as a % of shareholders' equity
		(Dollars in tho	usands)
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	\$ 35,2	\$25,974	27.2%
Federal National Mortgage Association	25,7	52 34,384	36.0%

The following table summarizes issuer concentrations of other mortgage-backed investments securities for which fair values exceed 10% of shareholder's equity at December 31, 2012.

Issuer	ggregate ortized cost	Aggregate fair value	Fair value as a % of shareholders' equity
	(Dollars in thou	isands)
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	\$ 40,153	\$40,093	42.0%
Federal National Mortgage Association	57,510	57,562	60.3%
Government National Mortgage Association	12,305	12,353	12.9%

Loans

Since loans typically provide higher interest yields than other types of interest earning assets, a substantial percentage of our earning assets are invested in our loan portfolio. Average loans for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$491.6 million and \$538.2 million, respectively. Before allowance for loan losses, total loans outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$474.4 million and \$518.2 million, respectively.

The principal component of our loan portfolio is loans secured by real estate mortgages. Most of our real estate loans are secured by residential or commercial property. We do originate traditional long term residential mortgages, but the majority is sold into the secondary market. We do issue traditional second mortgage residential real estate loans and home equity lines of credit. We obtain a security interest in real estate whenever possible, in addition to any other available collateral. This collateral is taken to increase the likelihood of the ultimate repayment of the loan. Generally, we limit the loan-to-value ratio on loans we make to 85%. We attempt to maintain a relatively diversified loan portfolio to help reduce the risk inherent in concentration in certain types of collateral.

The following table summarizes the composition of our loan portfolio, excluding loans held for sale, for each of the five years ended December 31, 2012.

	December 31,									
	2012		201		201		200		2008	
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total
					(Dollars in t	housands)				
Construction and										
Land	\$ 43,700	9.24%	\$ 59,110	11.49%	\$ 91,802	16.46%	\$ 99,304	17.25%	\$ 97,539	16.96%
Owner Occupied										
Commercial RE	144,036	30.45%	140,168	27.24%	135,708	24.33%	132,358	22.99%	145,683	25.33%
Non Owner										
Occupied										
Commercial RE	55,743	11.78%	67,123	13.04%	69,850	12.52%	64,845	11.26%	61,305	10.66%
1-4 Family										
Residential	97,765	20.67%	100,365	19.50%	105,226	18.87%	106,710	18.53%	97,919	17.03%
Multifamily	22,105	4.67%	25,467	4.95%	23,693	4.25%	26,536	4.61%	26,135	4.55%
Home Equity Lines										
of Credit	46,964	9.93%	49,344	9.59%	51,290	9.20%	47,224	8.20%	42,294	7.35%
Commercial	45,051	9.52%	49,452	9.61%	52,247	9.37%	52,332	9.09%	52,833	9.19%
Consumer	9,353	1.98%	13,464	2.62%	19,231	3.45%	26,168	4.55%	31,988	5.56%
All Other	8,341	1.76%	10,112	1.96%	8,639	1.55%	20,284	3.52%	19,400	3.37%
Total Loans	473,058	100.00%	514,605	100.00%	557,686	100.00%	575,761	100.00%	575,096	100.00%
Less-deferred loan										
fees	169		280		315		330		413	
Less – allowance for										
loan losses	15,314		17,439		16,763		11,145		7,703	
Total loans, net	\$457,575		\$496,886		\$540,608		\$564,286		\$566,980	

Maturities and Sensitivity of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates

The following table summarizes the loan maturity distribution, excluding loans held for sale, by type and related interest rate characteristics. The information in this table is based on the contractual maturities of individual loans, including loans which may be subject to renewal at their contractual maturity. Renewal of such loans is subject to review and credit approval, as well as modification of terms upon maturity. Actual repayments of loans may differ from the maturities reflected below because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

	December 31, 2012					
	One year or less	After one but within five years	After five years	Total		
		n thousands)				
Construction and land	\$17,454	\$ 19,043	\$ 7,203	\$ 43,700		
Owner occupied commercial RE	25,709	92,665	25,662	144,036		
Non owner occupied commercial RE	7,630	45,984	2,128	55,742		
1-4 family residential	17,717	53,396	26,652	97,765		
Multifamily	2,747	18,975	383	22,105		
Home equity lines of credit	89	3,926	42,949	46,964		
Commercial	15,585	27,425	2,041	45,051		
Consumer	1,499	6,767	1,087	9,353		
All other	484	6,126	1,732	8,342		
Total loans	\$88,914	\$274,307	\$109,837	\$473,058		
Loans maturing – after one year with						
Fixed interest rates				\$249,138		
Floating interest rates				135,006		

Allowance for Loan Losses

At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the allowance for loan losses was \$15.3 million and \$17.4 million, respectively, or 3.23% and 3.37% of total loans, respectively. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses is a result of a reduction of the size of the loan portfolio, as well as the reduction in specific reserves on impaired loans. Our net charge-offs decreased by \$2.3 million during 2012 compared to 2011. See the discussion of our critical accounting policies above and Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on our allowance for loan losses.

The following table summarizes the activity related to our allowance for loan losses for the five years ended December 31, 2012.

		Year Ended December 31,				
	Cumulative	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
			(Dollars in th	,		
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 6,687	\$17,439	\$16,763	\$11,145	\$7,703	\$6,687
Provision for loan losses	52,781	8,233	13,368	16,350	8,680	6,150
Loan charge-offs:						
Construction and land	15,453	3,034	5,316	4,502	1,575	1,026
Owner occupied commercial RE	4,249	2,159	494	1,085	372	139
Non-owner occupied commercial RE	4,716	1,450	2,150	1,066	50	_
1-4 family residential	7,516	2,919	2,787	1,124	395	291
Multifamily	796	199	458			139
Home equity lines of credit	1,777	675	451	203	383	65
Commercial	9,705	551	1,112	2,749	2,282	3,011
Consumer	2,631	105	632	492	704	698
All other	17	_	2	15	_	_
Total loan charge-offs	46,860	11,092	13,402	11,236	5,761	5,369

		Year Ended December 31,					
	Cumulative	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	
• ·			(Dollars in the	nousands)			
Loan recoveries:							
Construction and land	336	277	44	15			
Owner occupied commercial RE	215	133	41	21	2	18	
Non-owner occupied commercial RE	97	4	78	—	15	_	
1-4 family residential	371	78	37	52	153	51	
Multifamily	11		1	—	—	10	
Home equity lines of credit	37	30	4	—	2	1	
Commercial	1,022	148	370	175	246	83	
Consumer	617	64	135	241	105	72	
Total recoveries	2,706	734	710	504	523	235	
Net loan charge-offs	44,154	10,358	12,692	10,732	5,238	5,134	
Balance, end of year	\$ 15,314	\$15,314	\$17,439	\$16,763	\$11,145	\$7,703	
Allowance for loan losses to gross loans		3.23%	3.37%	2.99%	1.93%	1.34%	
Net charge-offs to average loans		2.11%	2.36%	1.86%	0.90%	0.93%	

Nonperforming Assets

The following table shows the nonperforming assets and the related percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets and gross loans for the five years ended December 31, 2012. Generally, a loan is placed on nonaccrual status when it becomes 90 days past due as to principal or interest, or when we believe, after considering economic and business conditions and collection efforts, that the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of the loan is doubtful. A payment of interest on a loan that is classified as nonaccrual is recognized as a reduction in principal when received.

	December 31,					
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	
			ollars in thousands)			
Construction and land	\$ 5,810	\$10,323	\$11,110	\$ 5,015	\$3,206	
Owner occupied commercial real estate	4,972	5,997	3,355	3,066	1,260	
Non owner occupied commercial RE	2,916	4,124	5,184	427	337	
1-4 family residential	7,388	10,025	6,275	4,305	1,145	
Multifamily	1,658	2,454	5,212	—	—	
Home equity lines of credit	1,326	1,475	350	72	—	
Commercial	1,751	1,504	1,149	1,018	78	
Consumer	205	138	338	365	253	
Nonaccruing troubled debt restructurings	21,547	8,427	10,192	3,180	—	
Total nonaccrual loans, including nonaccruing TDRs	47,573	44,467	43,165	17,448	6,279	
Other real estate owned	3,641	5,709	3,572	3,983	2,443	
Total nonperforming assets	\$51,214	\$50,176	\$46,737	\$21,431	\$8,722	
Nonperforming assets to total assets	6.00%	5.59%	4.70%	2.06%	0.88%	
Nonperforming loans to total loans	10.03%	8.58%	7.70%	3.02%	1.09%	
Total loans over 90 days past due	\$14,983	\$17,100	\$32,996	\$11,816	\$6,086	
Loans over 90 days past due and still accruing	54	482	4,458	1,096	1,281	
Accruing troubled debt restructurings	20,233	1,428	3,444	1,263	_	

At December 31, 2012, nonperforming assets were \$51 million, or 6.00% of total assets and nonperforming loans were 10.03% of total loans. Comparatively, at December 31, 2011, nonperforming assets were \$50 million,

or 5.59% of total assets and nonperforming loans were 8.58% of total loans. Nonaccrual loans increased \$3.1 million to \$47.6 million at December 31, 2012 from \$44.5 million at December 31, 2011 due to the continued strain on our borrowers caused by the general economic conditions. The amount of foregone interest income on the nonaccrual loans for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$2.6 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

During 2012, we added \$35.3 million or 208 new loans to nonaccrual while removing or charging off \$30.3 million. Consequently, there was an increase in nonaccrual loans of \$3.1 million, or 6.98%, and impaired loans increased \$1.3 million, or 2.75%. During 2012, total loans decreased \$41.5 million or 8.07%, compared to December 31, 2011. This year-over-year decrease resulted in a disproportionate effect on the percentage of nonaccrual loans and impaired loans as a percentage of total loans.

At December 31, 2012, impaired loans totaled \$50.3 million of which, \$8.2 million had a specific allowance allocation of approximately \$2.0 million, or 4.65% of loans individually evaluated for impairment. During 2012, the average recorded investment in impaired loans was \$54.8 million. At December 31, 2011, impaired loans totaled \$48.9 million of which, \$19.3 million of these impaired loans had a specific allowance allocation of \$3.5 million, or 8.88% of loans individually evaluated for impairment. During 2011, the average recorded investment in impaired loans was approximately \$47.8 million.

Other nonperforming assets include other real estate owned. These assets decreased \$2.1 million to \$3.6 million at December 31, 2012 from \$5.7 million at December 31, 2011. During 2012, we sold 42 properties for approximately \$3.8 million and recognized a \$2 thousand gain on the sales. In addition we added 28 properties totaling \$1.9 million to other real estate owned during 2012, and recorded write downs totaling \$139 thousand on nine properties. The balance at December 31, 2012 includes five commercial properties totaling \$460 thousand and 52 residential real estate properties totaling \$3.2 million. We believe that these properties are appropriately valued at the lower of cost or market as of December 31, 2012.

As a general practice, most of our loans are originated with relatively short maturities of five years or less. When a loan reaches its maturity we frequently renew the loan, thereby extending its maturity. Such renewals and extensions are made in accordance with our existing credit policy, using appropriate credit standards and are based upon updated financial information on the borrower. Nonperforming loans are renewed at terms generally consistent with the ultimate source of repayment and appropriate rates. In these cases, the Bank will seek additional credit enhancements, such as additional collateral or additional guarantees to further protect the loan. When a loan is no longer performing in accordance with its stated terms, the Bank will typically seek performance under the guarantee.

At December 31, 2012, approximately 87% of our loans were collateralized by real estate, and over 95% of our impaired loans were secured by real estate. The Bank utilizes third party appraisers to determine the fair value of collateral dependent loans. Our current loan and appraisal policies require the Bank to obtain updated appraisals on an annual basis, either through a new external appraisal or an internal appraisal evaluation. Impaired loans are individually reviewed on a monthly basis to determine the level of impairment. As of December 31, 2012, we do not have any impaired loans carried at a value in excess of the appraised value. We typically record a charge-off or create a specific reserve for impaired loans when we do not expect repayment to occur as agreed upon under the original terms of the loan agreement.

The Bank considers a loan to be a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) when the debtor experiences financial difficulties and the Bank provides concessions with the original terms of the loan agreement. Concessions can relate to the contractual interest rate, maturity date, or payment structure of the note. As part of our workout plan for individual loan relationships, we may restructure loan terms to assist borrowers facing challenges in the current economic environment. As of December 31, 2012, we determined that we had loans totaling \$20.2 million, which we considered accruing TDRs. As of December 31, 2011, we had loans totaling \$1.4 million, which we considered accruing TDRs. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on TDRs.

Deferred Tax Assets

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, deferred tax assets totaled \$12.8 million and \$11.3 million. Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future taxable income within the carry forward periods (20 years) available under tax law as well as any taxable income available within the carry back periods (2 years). As of December 31, 2012, management determined that its projections of future earnings did not support the ability to fully utilize all deferred tax assets. Accordingly, management concluded it was appropriate to establish a valuation allowance of \$3.9 million for deferred tax assets.

Deposits and Other Interest Bearing Liabilities

Our primary source of funds for loans and investments are our deposits, advances from the FHLB-Atlanta, and structured repurchase agreements. In the past, we have chosen to obtain a portion of our certificates of deposits from areas outside of our market in order to obtain longer term deposits than are readily available in our local market. In accordance with our Formal Agreement with the OCC, we have adopted guidelines regarding our use of brokered certificates of deposit that limit our brokered certificates of deposits to 25% of total deposits at terms that are consistent with our current interest rate risk profile. In addition, we do not obtain deposits through the Internet. These guidelines allow us to take advantage of the attractive terms that wholesale funding can offer while mitigating a large portion of the related inherent risk.

Our retail deposits represented \$603.2 million, or 94% of total deposits, at December 31, 2012, while our out-of-market, or brokered, deposits represented \$38.2 million, or 6% of our total deposits. At December 31, 2011, retail deposits represented \$628.1 million, or 94.3%, of our total deposits and brokered deposits were \$38.3 million, representing 5.7% of our total deposits. Our loan-to-deposit ratio was 74%, 78%, and 78% at December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

The following table shows the average balance amounts and the average rates paid on deposits held by us as of the dates indicated.

			December	· 31,		
	2012		2011		2010	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
			(Dollars in the	usands)		
Noninterest bearing demand deposits	\$106,299	— %	\$ 99,538	— %	\$ 89,301	— %
Interest bearing demand deposits	147,937	0.17%	149,982	0.34%	134,367	0.53%
Money market accounts	61,455	0.33%	55,667	0.52%	34,303	0.73%
Savings accounts	32,311	0.05%	29,866	0.05%	26,693	0.05%
Collateralized customer deposits	16,010	0.08%	17,218	0.08%	20,725	0.14%
Time deposits less than \$100,000	108,626	1.61%	121,999	1.96%	136,732	2.27%
Time deposits greater than \$100,000	191,834	2.25%	237,300	2.48%	308,613	2.65%
Total Average Deposits	\$664,472	0.98%	\$711,570	1.25%	\$750,734	1.63%

Core deposits, which exclude out-of-market deposits and time deposits of \$100,000 or more, provide a relatively stable funding source for our loan portfolio and other earning assets. Our core deposits were \$427 million, \$458 million, and \$435 million at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

All of our time deposits are certificates of deposits. The maturity distribution of our time deposits of \$100,000 or more is as follows:

	December 31,			
	2012	2011		
	(Dollars in	thousands)		
Three months or less	\$ 26,755	\$ 24,605		
Over three through six months	16,272	23,030		
Over six through twelve months	22,410	33,969		
Over twelve months	110,743	88,926		
Total	\$176,180	\$170,530		

The Dodd-Frank Act permanently raised the standard maximum deposit insurance amount to \$250,000. The FDIC insurance coverage limit applies per depositor, per insured depository institution for each account ownership category.

Short-Term Borrowings

For years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Bank had no short-term borrowings for which the average balance outstanding during the period was 30% or greater of stockholders' equity.

Capital Resources

Total stockholders' equity was \$95.5 million at December 31, 2012 and \$100.4 million at December 31, 2011. The \$4.9 million decrease during 2012 is primarily related to net loss of \$3.4 million during the year.

The following table shows the return on average assets (net income divided by average total assets), return on average equity (net income divided by average equity), and equity to assets ratio (average equity divided by average total assets) for the three years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. Cash dividends were suspended beginning in 2010.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
Return on average assets	(0.39)%	0.03%	(0.76)%		
Return on average equity	(3.36)%	0.24%	(7.31)%		
Dividend Payout ratio		—	(8.05)%		
Average equity to average assets ratio	11.57%	10.57%	10.33%		
Common equity to assets ratio	11.19%	11.18%	9.98%		

Our return on average assets was (0.39)% for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 0.03% and (0.76)% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In addition, our return on average equity was (3.36)% for 2012 compared to 0.24% for 2011 and (7.31)% for 2010. The average equity to average assets ratio increased from 10.33% at December 31, 2010 to 10.56% and 11.57% at December 31, 2011 and 2012, respectively, related primarily to the decrease in average assets during 2011 of \$96 million and the decrease in average earnings assets during 2011 of \$77 million. In addition, our common equity to assets ratio was 11.19% at December 31, 2012, compared to 11.18% at December 31, 2011 and 9.98% at December 31, 2010.

Under the capital adequacy guidelines, regulatory capital is classified into two tiers. These guidelines require an institution to maintain a certain level of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital to risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital consists of common stockholders' equity, excluding the unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale, minus certain intangible assets. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off-balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100% based on the risks believed to be

inherent in the type of asset. Tier 2 capital consists of Tier 1 capital plus the general reserve for loan losses, subject to certain limitations. We are also required to maintain capital at a minimum level based on total average assets, which is known as the Tier 1 leverage ratio.

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. To be considered "well capitalized," we must maintain total risk-based capital of at least 10%, Tier 1 capital of at least 6%, and a leverage ratio of at least 5%. To be considered "adequately capitalized" under these capital guidelines, we must maintain a minimum total risk-based capital of 8%, with at least 4% being Tier 1 capital. In addition, we must maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 3%.

On June 8, 2011, the Bank entered into the Formal Agreement with the OCC. The Formal Agreement seeks to enhance the Bank's existing practices and procedures in the areas of credit risk management, credit underwriting, liquidity, and funds management. In addition, the OCC has established IMCR levels of Tier 1 and total capital for the Bank that are higher than the minimum and well capitalized ratios applicable to all banks. Specifically, we must maintain total risk-based capital of at least 13%, Tier 1 capital of at least 12%, and a leverage ratio of at least 8.5%.

As of December 31, 2012, our capital ratios exceeded these ratios and we remain "well capitalized." However, if we fail to maintain these required capital levels, then the OCC may deem noncompliance to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice which may make the Bank subject to a capital directive, a consent order, or such other administrative actions or sanctions as the OCC considers necessary. It is uncertain what actions, if any, the OCC would take with respect to noncompliance with these ratios, what action steps the OCC might require the Bank to take to remedy this situation, and whether such actions would be successful.

The following table summarizes the capital amounts and ratios of the bank and the regulatory minimum requirements.

	Actu	ıl	OCC re IMCR le		OCC min ratios req to be "adeq capitali	uired uately"	OCC mir ratios rec to be "v capital	quired vell"
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
				(Dollars in the	ousands)			
As of December 31, 2012								
Total Risk Based Capital	\$ 95,528	19.22%	\$64,613	13.00%	\$39,752	8.00%	\$49,690	10.00%
Tier 1 Risk Based Capital	89,204	17.95%	59,635	12.00%	19,876	4.00%	29,814	6.00%
Tier 1 Leverage Capital	89,204	10.41%	72,837	8.50%	25,702	3.00%	42,836	5.00%
As of December 31, 2011								
Total Risk Based Capital	\$102,201	15.73%	\$84,464	13.00%	\$51,972	8.00%	\$64,965	10.00%
Tier 1 Risk Based Capital	93,963	14.46%	77,978	12.00%	25,986	4.00%	38,979	6.00%
Tier 1 Leverage Capital	93,963	10.30%	77,542	8.50%	27,374	3.00%	45,624	5.00%
As of December 31, 2010								
Total Risk Based Capital	\$103,054	14.41%			\$57,203	8.00%	\$71,503	10.00%
Tier 1 Risk Based Capital	94,085	13.16%			28,601	4.00%	42,902	6.00%
Tier 1 Leverage Capital	94,085	9.17%			30,777	3.00%	51,295	5.00%

(1) The OCC has established IMCR pursuant to 12 C.F.R. Section 3.10, which exceed the normal regulatory requirements to be well capitalized. Capital levels continue to exceed these thresholds by a significant margin.

Dividends that may be paid by the Bank are subject to legal limitations, regulatory capital requirements, and prior approval by the OCC. The approval of the OCC is required if the total of all dividends declared by a national bank in any calendar year exceeds the total of its net profits for that year combined with its retained net

profits for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. Additionally, in accordance with the requirements of the Formal Agreement with the OCC, FNB adopted a dividend policy that permits the declaration of a dividend only when FNB is in compliance with its approved capital program, when FNB is in compliance with 12 U.S.C. §§56 and 60, and after obtaining a written determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC.

Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices

The effect of relative purchasing power over time due to inflation has not been taken into account in our consolidated financial statements. Rather, our financial statements have been prepared on an historical cost basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Unlike most industrial companies, our assets and liabilities are primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, the effect of changes in interest rates will have a more significant impact on our performance than will the effect of changing prices and inflation in general. In addition, interest rates may generally increase as the rate of inflation increases, although not necessarily in the same magnitude. We seek to manage the relationships between interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to protect against wide rate fluctuations, including those resulting from inflation.

Off-Balance Sheet Risk

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as the customer has not violated any material condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. At December 31, 2012, unfunded commitments to extend credit were approximately \$47.9 million, of which \$11.9 million were at fixed rates and \$36.0 million were at variable rates. At December 31, 2011, unfunded commitments to extend credit were \$54.3 million, of which approximately \$7.4 million were at fixed rates and \$46.9 million were at variable rates. A significant portion of the unfunded commitments related to consumer equity lines of credit. Based on historical experience, we anticipate that a significant portion of these lines of credit will not be funded. We evaluate each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by us upon extension of credit, is based on our credit evaluation of the borrower. The type of collateral varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and commercial and residential real estate.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, there was a \$563 thousand and \$1.3 million commitment under letters of credit, respectively. The credit risk and collateral involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. Since most of the letters of credit are expected to expire without being drawn upon, they do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Except as disclosed in this document, we are not involved in off-balance sheet contractual relationships, unconsolidated related entities that have off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions that could result in liquidity needs or other commitments that significantly impact earnings.

Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates, which principally arises from interest rate risk inherent in our lending, investing, deposit gathering, and borrowing activities. Other types of market risks, such as foreign currency exchange rate risk and commodity price risk, do not generally arise in the normal course of our business.

We actively monitor and manage our interest rate risk exposure in order to control the mix and maturities of our assets and liabilities utilizing a process we call asset/liability management. The essential purposes of asset/liability management are to ensure adequate liquidity and to maintain an appropriate balance between interest

sensitive assets and liabilities in order to minimize potentially adverse impacts on earnings from changes in market interest rates. Our asset/liability management committee ("ALCO") monitors and considers methods of managing exposure to interest rate risk. We have an internal ALCO consisting of certain board members and senior management that meets quarterly. ALCO is responsible for maintaining the level of interest rate sensitivity of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities within board-approved limits.

Our interest rate risk exposure is managed principally by measuring our interest sensitivity which is the positive or negative dollar difference between assets and liabilities that are subject to interest rate repricing within a given period of time. Interest rate sensitivity can be managed by repricing assets or liabilities, selling securities available for sale, replacing an asset or liability at maturity, or adjusting the interest rate during the life of an asset or liability. Managing the amount of assets and liabilities repricing in this same time interval helps to hedge the risk and minimize the impact on net interest income of rising or falling interest rates. In general, we would benefit from increasing market rates of interest when we have an asset-sensitive gap position and from decreasing market rates of interest when we are liability-sensitive.

The following table sets forth information regarding our rate sensitivity, as of December 31, 2012, at each of the time intervals.

		-	December 31, 2012		
	Within three months	After three but within twelve months	After one but within five years	After five years	Total
Internet coming associat		()	Dollars in thousands)		
Interest-earning assets:	¢ 1 4 4 000	¢	¢	¢	¢ 1 4 4 000
Federal funds sold	\$144,098	\$	\$	\$	\$144,098
Investment securities	13,798	32,978	84,234	52,352	183,362
Loans	173,961	67,903	164,002	68,570	474,436
Total earning assets	331,857	100,881	248,236	120,922	801,896
Interest-bearing liabilities:					
Money market and NOW	121,276			101,092	222,368
Regular savings				32,886	32,886
Time deposits	42,792	71,842	162,880	_	277,514
FHLB-Atlanta advances and related debt	50,236		54,000	10,000	114,236
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$214,304	\$ 71,842	\$216,880	\$143,978	\$647,004
Period gap	\$117,553	\$ 29,039	\$ 31,356	\$ (23,056)	\$154,892
Cumulative gap	117,553	146,592	177,948	154,892	154,892
Ratio of cumulative gap to total earning assets	15%	18%	22%	19%	19%

As measured over the one-year time interval, the above analysis would suggest that we were asset sensitive at December 31, 2012, since we have more assets than liabilities repricing in the next twelve months. At December 31, 2012, we had \$146.6 million more assets than liabilities that reprice within the next twelve months. However, our gap analysis is not a precise indicator of our interest sensitivity position. This analysis presents only a static view of the timing of maturities and repricing opportunities, without taking into consideration that changes in interest rates do not affect all assets and liabilities equally. For example, rates paid on a substantial portion of core deposits may change contractually within a relatively short time frame, but those rates are viewed by us as significantly less interest-sensitive than market-based rates such as those paid on noncore deposits. We periodically utilize more complex interest rate models than indicated above, and based on those results we believe that our net interest income will be positively impacted by an increase in interest rates. Our variable rate loans, which comprised approximately 34% of our total loans, and a substantial portion of our deposits reprice over the next 12 months. Net interest income may be affected by other significant factors in a given interest rate environment, including changes in the volume and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

At December 31, 2012, approximately 44% of our interest bearing liabilities were either variable rate or had a maturity of less than one year. Of the \$214 million of interest bearing liabilities set to reprice within three months, 57% are transaction, money market or savings accounts which are already at or near their lowest rates and provide little opportunity for benefit for us should market rates continue to decline or stay constant. However, certificates of deposit that are currently maturing or renewing are repricing at lower rates. We expect to benefit as these deposits reprice, even if market rates increase slightly.

Included in our FHLB-Atlanta advances and related debt were a number of borrowings with callable features as of December 31, 2012. We believe that the optionality on many of these borrowings will not be exercised until interest rates increase significantly. In addition, we believe that the interest rates that we pay on the majority of our interest bearing transaction accounts would only be impacted by a portion of any change in market rates. This key assumption is utilized in our overall evaluation of our level of interest sensitivity.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity represents the ability of a company to convert assets into cash or cash equivalents without significant loss, and the ability to raise additional funds by increasing liabilities. Liquidity management involves monitoring our sources and uses of funds in order to meet our day-to-day cash flow requirements while maximizing profits. Liquidity management is made more complicated because different balance sheet components are subject to varying degrees of management control. For example, the timing of maturities of our investment portfolio is fairly predictable and subject to a high degree of control at the time investment decisions are made. However, net deposit inflows and outflows are far less predictable and are not subject to the same degree of control.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, our liquid assets, which consisted of cash and cash equivalents and unencumbered investment collateral, amounted to \$173.4 million and \$133.1 million, or 20.3% and 14.8% of total assets, respectively. Our investment securities, excluding Federal bank stock, at December 31, 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$179 million and \$315 million, or 21% and 35% of total assets, respectively. Investment securities traditionally provide a secondary source of liquidity since they can be converted into cash in a timely manner. However, a portion of these securities are pledged against outstanding debt. Therefore, the related debt would need to be repaid prior to the securities being sold in order for these securities to be converted to cash.

Our ability to maintain and expand our deposit base and borrowing capabilities serves as our primary source of liquidity. We plan to meet our future cash needs through the liquidation of temporary investments, the generation of deposits, and from additional borrowings. In addition, we will receive cash upon the maturity and sale of loans and the maturity of investment securities. We maintain a Borrower In Custody line of credit with the Federal Reserve totaling \$4.1 million for which there were no borrowings against the line at December 31, 2012.

We are also a member of the FHLB-Atlanta, from which applications for borrowings can be made. The FHLB-Atlanta requires that securities, qualifying mortgage loans, and stock of the FHLB-Atlanta owned by the Bank be pledged to secure any advances from the FHLB-Atlanta. The unused borrowing capacity available from the FHLB-Atlanta at December 31, 2012 was \$5.2 million, based on the Bank's \$36 million pledged investment collateral, as well as \$36 million of lendable collateral value derived from our loans pledged to FHLB-Atlanta. However, we are able to pledge additional securities to the FHLB-Atlanta in order to increase our available borrowing capacity.

We have \$10 million of wholesale certificates of deposit and \$12.5 million of structured repurchase agreements that mature during 2013 for which there are no plans to renew. We believe that our existing stable base of core deposits, borrowings from the FHLB-Atlanta, and repurchase agreements, will enable us to successfully meet our long-term liquidity needs. However, as short-term liquidity needs arise, we have the ability to sell a portion of our investment securities portfolio to meet those needs.

Contractual Obligations

We utilize a variety of short-term and long-term borrowings to supplement our supply of lendable funds, to assist in meeting deposit withdrawal requirements, and to fund growth of interest earning assets in excess of traditional deposit growth. Certificates of deposit, structured repurchase agreements and FHLB-Atlanta advances serve as our primary sources of such funds.

Obligations under noncancelable operating lease agreements are payable over several years, with the longest obligation expiring in 2038. We do not believe any existing noncancelable operating lease agreements are likely to materially impact the Bank's financial condition or results of operations in an adverse way. Contractual obligations relative to these agreements are noted in the table below. Option periods that we have not yet exercised are not included in this analysis as they do not represent contractual obligations until exercised.

The following table provides payments due by period for obligations under long-term borrowings and operating lease obligations.

	December 31, 2012					
	Payments Due by Period					
	Within	Over One	Over Two	Over	4.0. TI	
	One Year	to Two Years	to Three Years	Three to Five Years	After Five Years	Total
			(Dollars in	thousands)		
Certificates of deposit	\$114,634	\$ 92,070	\$47,024	\$ 23,786	\$ —	\$277,514
Repurchase agreements	12,500		_		30,000	42,500
FHLB advances and related debt		31,500	10,000	20,000	_	61,500
Operating lease obligations	280	280	280	528	4,272	5,640
Total	\$127,414	\$123,850	\$57,304	\$44,314	\$34,272	\$387,154

In early 2013, due to low yield opportunities in the securities market and lack of loan demand, coupled with our existing high cost wholesale funding; the bank executed a \$104 million balance sheet deleverage transaction by using excess interest bearing cash to prepay/unwind all FHLB-Atlanta advances (\$61.5 million) and structured repurchase agreements (\$42.5 million). In doing so, the bank also unwound all interest rate swaps with a notional value of \$34 million. The Bank incurred prepay/unwind penalties totaling \$10.5 million that were recorded as noninterest expense in 2013. Going forward, this transaction should provide significant interest cost savings, and should improve net interest margin.

Accounting, Reporting, and Regulatory Matters

The following is a summary of recent authoritative pronouncements that could impact the accounting, reporting, and/or disclosure of financial information by the Bank.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements: In April 2011 the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2011-02 to assist creditors with their determination of when a restructuring is a TDR. The determination is based on whether the restructuring constitutes a concession and whether the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties as both events must be present. The new guidance was effective for the Bank beginning January 1, 2012 and did not have a material effect on the Bank's TDR determinations.

In April 2011, the criteria used to determine effective control of transferred assets in the Transfers and Servicing topic of the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") was amended by ASU 2011-03. The requirement for the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms and the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion were removed from the assessment of effective control. The other criteria to assess effective control were not changed. The amendments were effective for the Bank on January 1, 2012 and had no effect on its consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2011-04 was issued in May 2011 to amend the Fair Value Measurement topic of the ASC by clarifying the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and by changing particular principles or requirements for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments were effective for the Bank beginning January 1, 2012 and had no effect on its consolidated financial statements.

The Comprehensive Income topic of the ASC was amended in June 2011. The amendment eliminates the option to present other comprehensive income as a part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and requires consecutive presentation of the statement of operations and other comprehensive income (loss). The amendments were applicable to the Bank on January 1, 2012 and have been applied retrospectively. In December 2011, the topic was further amended to defer the effective date of presenting reclassification adjustments from other comprehensive income to net income on the face of the consolidated financial statements. Companies should continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect prior to the amendments while FASB finalizes its conclusions regarding future requirements.

The FASB amended the Comprehensive Income topic of the ASC in February 2013. The amendments address reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Specifically, the amendments do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements. However, the amendments do require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, in certain circumstances an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income. The amendments will be effective for the Bank on a prospective basis for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Bank does not expect these amendments to have any effect on its consolidated financial statements other than a change in the presentation of financial information.

In July 2012, the Intangibles topic was amended to permit an entity to consider qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that indefinite-lived intangible assets are impaired. If it is determined to be more likely than not that indefinite-lived intangible assets are impaired, then the entity is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value with the carrying amount. The amendments are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments are not expected to have a material effect on the Bank's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB amended the Balance Sheet topic of the ASC to address implementation issues about the scope of ASU No. 2011-11 related to disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. The amendments clarify that the ASU only applies to certain derivatives accounted for in accordance with the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the ASC, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The amendments are effective for reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Bank does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its financial statements.

In February 2013 the FASB also amended the Financial Instruments topic of the ASC to address the scope and applicability of certain disclosures to nonpublic entities. The amendments clarify that the requirement to disclose "the level of the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurements are categorized in their entirety (Level 1, 2, or 3)" does not apply to nonpublic entities for items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position but for which fair value is disclosed. The Bank does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a material impact on the Bank's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Regulatory Matters: On June 8, 2011, the Bank entered into a Formal Agreement with the OCC requiring the Bank to take specified actions with respect to the operation of the Bank. The substantive actions called for by the agreement should strengthen the Bank. The Bank is working diligently to appropriately respond to all of the terms of the Formal Agreement, including implementing plans, programs, and progress reports within the time frames required by the agreement. The Formal Agreement did not impose more stringent regulatory capital minimums on the Bank; however, the OCC has established IMCR levels of Tier 1 and total capital for the Bank that are higher than the minimum and well capitalized ratios applicable to all banks. Specifically, we must maintain total risk-based capital of at least 13%, Tier 1 capital of at least 12%, and a leverage ratio of at least 8.5%.

The Formal Agreement seeks to enhance the Bank's existing practices and procedures in the areas of credit risk management, liquidity risk management and earnings performance. In response, the Bank formed a Compliance Committee of its Board of Directors (the "Compliance Committee") to oversee management's response to all sections of the Formal Agreement. The Compliance Committee also monitors adherence to deadlines for submission to the OCC of information required under the Formal Agreement. A description of the requirements of the Formal Agreement and the Bank's compliance status with the Formal Agreement is set forth in the table below:

Requirements of the Formal Agreement	Bank's Compliance Status
Establish, within 30 days from the effective date of the Formal	The Compliance Committee was established and its members
Agreement, a Compliance Committee of at least five directors to be	duly elected at the April 2011 meeting of the Board of
responsible for monitoring and coordinating the Bank's adherence	Directors. Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank
to the provisions of the Formal Agreement. The Compliance	has been found to be compliant in this area.
Committee is required to meet at least monthly to receive written	has been found to be compliant in this area.
progress reports from management on the results and status of	
actions needed to achieve full compliance with each article of the	
Formal Agreement.	
Compliance Committee to complete, within 120 days of the	The Compliance Committee completed this review and
effective date of the Formal Agreement, a thorough review and	assessment, including obtaining Board approval of the findings
assessment of the Bank's Board and management supervision,	and recommendations, in November 2011, submitted its report
management structure and staffing requirements; and within 60	to the OCC immediately thereafter. Since the date of last report
days adopt and implement a compliance plan.	of examination, despite noted improvement in bank-wide risk
	management practices, the Bank has been found to be
	noncompliant in this area.
Adopt and implement, within 90 days of the effective date of the	The Board adopted and implemented the Bank's strategic plan
Formal Agreement, an updated written strategic plan for the Bank	in August 2011 which was submitted to the OCC in September
covering at least a three-year period and addressing the Bank's	2011. Management revised the strategic plan based on the
overall risk profile, earnings performance, growth, balance sheet	OCC's review. The revised plan was resubmitted to the OCC in
mix, off-balance sheet activities, liability structure, capital	May 2012. The Bank received a determination of no
adequacy, reduction in the volume of nonperforming assets,	supervisory objection in May 2012. Since the date of last report
product line development and market segments that the Bank	of examination, the Bank has been found to be noncompliant in
intends to promote or develop, together with strategies to achieve	this area until key objectives of strategic, capital, and profit
those objectives.	plans are met.
At least monthly, the Board shall review financial reports and	
earnings analyses prepared by the Bank that evaluate the Bank's	
performance against the goals and objectives established in the	
strategic plan.	
At least quarterly, the Board shall prepare a written evaluation of	
the Bank's performance against the strategic plan and shall include	
a description of the actions the Board will require the Bank to take	

to address any shortcomings.

Requirements of the Formal Agreement Review and revise, within 90 days of the effective date of the Formal Agreement, a three year capital program plan, including dividend policy, to be updated annually.	Bank's Compliance Status The Board adopted the Bank's capital plan in August 2011 which was submitted to the OCC in September 2011. The revised plan was resubmitted to the OCC in May 2012. Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been found to be noncompliant in this area until key objectives of strategic, capital, and profit plans are met.
Develop and implement, within 90 days of the effective date of the Formal Agreement, an updated written profit plan to improve and sustain the earnings of the Bank, to be updated annually. The Board shall forward comparisons of its balance sheet and profit and loss statement to the profit plan projections to the OCC on a quarterly basis.	The Board adopted the Bank's profit plan in August 2011 which was submitted to the OCC in September 2011. The revised plan was resubmitted to the OCC in May 2012. Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been found to be noncompliant in this area until key objectives of strategic, capital and profit plans are met.
Adopt and implement, within 90 days of the effective date of the Formal Agreement, a written asset diversification program including policies and procedures to control and monitor concentrations of credit and an action plan to reduce the risk of current concentrations of credit.	The Board approved the updated General Loan Policy and has adopted a Commercial Real Estate Action Plan to ensure a reduction in the Bank's commercial real estate portfolio. The General Loan Policy and Commercial Real Estate Action Plan were reviewed and approved by the Bank's Board in August 2011 and were submitted to the OCC on September 2011. Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been found to be compliant in this area.
Take immediate and continuing action to protect the Bank's interest in certain assets identified by the OCC or any other bank examiner. Immediately adopt and implement, as of the effective date of the Formal Agreement, a written program designed to eliminate the basis of criticism of assets criticized by the OCC or any other bank examiner, or in any list provided to management by the OCC during any examination as "doubtful," "substandard," or "special mention." In addition, provide to the OCC a copy of the program for all criticized assets equal to or exceeding \$250,000. Conduct a monthly review to determine the status of each criticized asset that equals or exceeds \$250,000 and management's adherence to and the status and effectiveness of the criticized asset program adopted.	The Bank is utilizing a criticized assets report covering the entire credit relationship with respect to such assets. Ongoing monthly monitoring is being performed by management and the Board and quarterly reports are submitted to the OCC as provided in the Formal Agreement. Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been found to be noncompliant in this area despite overall stabilization, credit risk remains high and implemented strategies and plans have not yet manifested in substantial reduction of risk.
Adopt and adhere to, within 90 days of the effective date of the Formal Agreement, a written program to improve the Bank's credit risk identification process.	Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been found to be compliant in this area.

Requirements of the Formal Agreement	Bank's Compliance Status
Adopt and implement, within 90 days of the effective date of the	Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been
Formal Agreement, an updated and comprehensive policy for	found to be compliant in this area.
determining the adequacy of the Bank's allowance for loan losses,	
which must provide for a review of the Bank's allowance for loan	
losses by the Board at least once each calendar quarter.	
Immediately develop and implement an independent review and	Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been
analysis process to ensure appraisals and evaluations on loans	found to be compliant in this area.
secured by real property conform to standards and regulations.	-
Appraisals and evaluations shall be ordered or completed within	
sixty (60) days of the date of the Formal Agreement, and going	
forward, within thirty (30) days following the event triggering the	
appraisal requirement. Within thirty (30) days, the Board shall	
require and the Bank shall develop and implement an independent	
review and analysis process to ensure that appraisals and	
evaluations conform to appraisal standards and regulations.	
Review and revise, within 90 days of the effective date of the	Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been
Formal Agreement, its written program to reduce credit risk in the	found to be compliant in this area.
investment portfolio.	
Immediately ensure that the liquidity of the Bank is maintained at a	Since the date of last report of examination, the Bank has been
level sufficient to sustain the Bank's current operations and to	found to be compliant in this area.
withstand any anticipated or extraordinary demand against its	-
funding base, and forward reports of this to the OCC on a quarterly	
basis.	
Accept, renew or rollover brokered deposits for deposit at the Bank	The Bank has obtained required written approvals from the
only after obtaining a prior written determination of no supervisory	OCC for all new and renewed brokered deposit requests since
objection from the OCC.	the effective date of the Formal Agreement. Since the date of
-	last report of examination, the Bank has been found to be
	compliant in this area.

The Bank is subject to the dividend restrictions set forth by the OCC. Under such restrictions, the Bank may not, without the prior approval of the OCC, declare dividends in excess of the sum of the current year's earnings (as defined) plus the retained earnings (as defined) from the prior two years.

The Bank is also subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum requirements can initiate certain mandatory – and possibly additional discretionary – actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank's consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) of 8.0% and 4.0%, respectively, and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined) of 3.0% to 5.0%, depending on the specific institution's composite ratings as determined by its regulators. The OCC has not advised the Bank of any specific leverage ratio applicable to it. Management believes, as of December 31, 2012, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee adopted the Basel III capital rules, which set new capital requirements for banking organizations. On June 7, 2012, the Federal Reserve requested comment on three proposed rules that, taken together, would establish an integrated regulatory capital framework implementing the Basel III regulatory capital reforms in the United States. As proposed, the U.S. implementation of Basel III would lead to significantly higher capital requirements and more restrictive leverage and liquidity ratios than those currently in place. The proposed rules indicated that the final rule would become effective on January 1, 2013, and the changes set forth in the final rules will be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2019. However, due to the volume of public comments received, the final rule did not go into effect on January 1, 2013. The ultimate impact of the U.S. implementation of the new capital and liquidity standards on Bank is currently being reviewed and is dependent upon the terms of the final regulations, which may differ from the proposed regulations. Requirements to maintain higher levels of capital or to maintain higher levels of liquid assets could adversely impact our net income and return on equity.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

	Page
Independent Auditor's Review Report	146
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) as of March 31, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012	147
Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012	148
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and	
2012	149
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and	
2012	150
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012	151
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	153
Independent Auditor's Report	186
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011	187
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012; December 31, 2011 and December 31,	
2010	188
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended December 31, 2012; December 31, 2011	
and December 31, 2010	189
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012; December 31, 2011	
and December 31, 2010	190
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012; December 31, 2011 and December 31,	
<u>2010</u>	191
Notes to Audited Consolidated Financial Statements	193

LOGO

Independent Auditor's Review Report

The Board of Directors and Stockholders The First National Bank of Shelby and Subsidiary Shelby, North Carolina

Report on the Financial Statements

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The First National Bank of Shelby and Subsidiary (the "Bank") as of March 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the three months in the periods then ended.

Management's Responsibility

The Bank's management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the interim financial information in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this responsibility includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control sufficient to provide a reasonable basis for the preparation and fair presentation of interim financial information in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to conduct our review in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to reviews of interim financial information. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial information. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Conclusion

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim financial information for it to be in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Elliott Davis, PLLC

Charlotte, North Carolina May 23, 2013

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

March 31, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012 (Audited)	March 31, 2012
Assets:	• 12 010 725	φ 17 (01 04(¢ 12 222 202
Cash and due from banks	\$ 13,810,735	\$ 17,681,046	\$ 13,222,393
Interest-bearing bank deposits	32,823,375	143,900,358	21,724,781
Federal funds sold	925,645	198,711	277,139
Total cash and cash equivalents	47,559,755	161,780,115	35,224,313
Securities available-for-sale	167,403,649	178,887,521	211,206,853
Securities held-to-maturity (fair value approximates \$87,146,834 at			
March 31, 2012)	—		90,226,738
Federal bank stock	1,384,600	4,474,700	6,129,000
Loans, net	449,617,105	457,575,195	488,975,838
Loans held-for-sale	2,505,400	1,546,446	3,075,375
Accrued interest receivable	1,639,729	1,833,568	3,141,016
Premises and equipment, net	14,596,202	14,788,504	15,212,291
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	15,035,705	15,430,173	15,402,316
Other real estate owned	3,330,318	3,640,836	3,592,635
Deferred tax asset, net	9,492,291	8,932,183	11,225,081
Other assets	3,748,072	4,918,519	5,763,742
Total assets	\$716,312,826	\$ 853,807,760	\$889,175,198
Liabilities:			
Deposits:			
Non-interest bearing	\$111,345,263	\$ 108,606,997	\$108,938,983
Interest bearing	496,846,278	532,768,680	550,983,290
Total deposits	608,191,541	641,375,677	659,922,273
Short-term borrowings	21,081,169	10,236,387	21,030,815
Repurchase agreements		42,500,000	42,500,000
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	_	61,500,000	61,500,000
Accrued interest payable	522,596	1,557,276	1,679,436
Other liabilities	671,865	1,120,328	1,184,451
Total liabilities	630,467,171	758,289,668	787,816,975
Commitments – Notes 4 and 12			
Stockholders' equity:			
Common stock, \$10.00 par value; 2,500,000 shares authorized, 400,000			
shares issued and outstanding	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000
Surplus	8,000,000	8,000,000	8,000,000
Retained earnings	75,484,827	84,267,073	88,683,426
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,639,172)	(748,981)	674,797
Total stockholders' equity	85,845,655	95,518,092	101,358,223
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$716,312,826	\$ 853,807,760	\$889,175,198

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Interest income:	¢ 5 782 004	¢7 117 020
Loans	\$ 5,783,004	\$7,117,030
Investment securities: U.S. Government agencies		18,496
States and political subdivisions	—	218,314
Mortgage-backed	613,628	2,257,474
Corporate securities	678	168,269
Other	73,927	31,893
Total interest income	6,471,237	9,811,476
	0,471,237	9,011,470
Interest expense:	1,324,287	1,792,810
Deposits Short-term borrowings	4,876	5,153
Repurchase agreements	150,029	455,190
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances	230,725	651,607
Total interest expense	1,709,917	2,904,760
Net interest income	4,761,320	6,906,716
Provision for loan losses	(780,366)	1,734,576
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	5,541,686	5,172,140
•	5,541,080	3,172,140
Noninterest income:	757,555	857 460
Service charges Trust income	326,417	857,460 351,666
Mortgage banking income	186,404	336,823
Not gain on sale of securities	180,404	36,423
Other	528,456	338,442
Other-than-temporary impairment losses		(67,247)
Total noninterest income	1,798,832	1,853,567
	1,776,652	1,000,007
Noninterest expense: Compensation	2,151,187	2,312,362
Profit sharing and employee benefits	605,888	653,032
Federal and other insurance premiums	259,606	300,284
Occupancy	267,861	265,807
Equipment rentals, depreciation and maintenance	500,520	491,444
FHLB advance prepayment penalties	4,349,571	
Interest rate swap unwind fees	694,272	
Structured repurchase agreements unwind fees	5,415,000	_
Other	1,878,131	1,565,083
Total noninterest expense	16,122,036	5,588,012
Net income (loss) before income taxes	(8,781,518)	1,437,695
Income tax expense	729	435,410
Net income (loss)	\$ (8,782,247)	\$1,002,285
Basic earnings (loss) per share	<u>\$ (21.96)</u>	\$ 2.51
success curmings (1000) per share	ф <u>(21.90</u>)	φ 2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

let income (loss)	2013 \$(8,782,247)	2012 \$1,002,285
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Derivative financial instruments: Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments Tax effect Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of tax		(61,403) 238,337 176,934
Reclassification adjustment for realized (losses) on derivative financial instruments Tax effect Reclassification adjustment for realized (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of tax	650,651 (250,858) 399,793	
Investment securities available-for-sale: Unrealized holding gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale arising during the period Tax effect Unrealized holding gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale arising during the period, net of tax	(2,100,949) <u>810,966</u> (1,289,983)	(425,258) 163,953 (261,305)
Reclassification adjustment for gains on securities available-for-sale Tax effect Reclassification adjustment for gains on securities available-for-sale, net of tax		$(36,423) \\ (14,042) \\ (22,381)$
Reclassification adjustment for other-than-temporary impairment on securities available-for-sale Tax effect Reclassification adjustment for other-than-temporary impairment on securities available- for-sale, net of tax		67,247 (25,926) 41,321
Investment securities held-to-maturity: Unrealized other-than-temporary impairment on securities held-to-maturity Tax effect Unrealized other-than-temporary impairment on securities held-to-maturity		
Accretion of unrealized losses on securities previously transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity Tax effect Accretion of unrealized losses on securities previously transferred from available-for-sale		24,856 (9,583)
to held-to-maturity, net of tax Reclassification adjustment for losses on securities previously transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity Tax effect Reclassification adjustment for losses on securities previously transferred from available-for-sale		15,273
to held-to-maturity Total other comprehensive income (loss) Comprehensive income (loss)	(890,190) \$(9,672,437)	(50,158) \$ 952,127

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

	Comn	non Stock			Accumulated Other	Total
	Shares	Amount	Surplus	Retained Earnings	Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Stockholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2011	400,000	\$4,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$87,681,140	\$ 724,955	\$100,406,095
Net income				1,002,285	_	1,002,285
Other comprehensive income (loss)					(50,158)	(50,158)
Balance, March 31, 2012	400,000	4,000,000	8,000,000	88,683,425	674,797	101,358,222
Balance, December 31, 2012	400,000	4,000,000	8,000,000	84,267,073	(748,981)	95,518,092
Net loss	—	_	_	(8,782,247)	_	(8,782,247)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	(890,190)	(890,190)
Balance, March 31, 2013	400,000	\$4,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$75,484,827	\$ (1,639,172)	\$ 85,845,655

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:	¢ (0.70 2.247)	¢ 1.002.295
Net income (loss)	\$ (8,782,247)	\$ 1,002,285
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:	2(1.229	272 270
Depreciation Provision for loan losses	261,338	272,370
	(780,366)	1,734,576
Net amortization of securities	519,064	780,977
Deferred loan fees, net	19,149	(11,916)
Other-than-temporary impairment on securities		67,247
Net gain on sale of available-for-sale securities		(36,423)
Loss on disposal of premises and equipment	484	
Gain on sale or writedown of other real estate owned	(56,126)	(13,676)
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	(7.025.041)	434,454
Originations of loans held-for-sale	(7,035,041)	(12,695,300)
Proceeds from sale of loans held-for-sale	6,076,087	13,529,888
(Increase) decrease in assets:	100.000	
Accrued interest receivable	193,839	6,656
Other assets	1,170,447	900,415
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:	(1.00.1.(0.0))	
Accrued interest payable	(1,034,680)	(125,646)
Other liabilities	896,460	(79,054)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(8,551,592)	5,766,853
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of securities available-for-sale	—	(31,390,696)
Proceeds from sales, calls, prepayments and maturities of securities available-for-sale	8,863,859	41,235,531
Proceeds from sales, calls, prepayments and maturities of securities held-to-maturity	—	3,132,361
Sales of Federal bank stock, net	3,090,100	_
Decrease in loans	8,393,066	5,917,411
Purchases of premises and equipment	(69,520)	(73,429)
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	692,885	2,399,879
Decrease (increase) in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	394,468	(111,838)
Net cash provided by investing activities	21,364,858	21,109,219
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net decrease in deposits	(33,184,136)	(6,434,121)
Net increase in short-term borrowings	10,844,782	11,420,361
Repayment of structured repurchase agreements	(42,500,000)	_
Payment to settle interest rate swap agreements	(694,272)	
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(61,500,000)	(15,000,000)
Net cash used in financing activities	(127,033,626)	(10,013,760)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(114,220,360)	16,862,312
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of quarter	161,780,115	18,362,001
Cash and cash equivalents, end of quarter	\$ 47,559,755	\$ 35,224,313

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) (Continued)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

	2013	2012
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 2,744,598	\$3,030,406
Income taxes	932	872
Supplemental Disclosures of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities:		
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	328,054	270,000
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	(2,100,949)	394,710
Increase (decrease) in unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives	650,650	61,403
Loans to facilitate the sale of other real estate owned	1,813	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 - NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

<u>Basis of presentation</u>: The accounting and reporting policies of the Bank conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In management's opinion, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial statements. They do not include all of the information and footnotes required by such accounting principles for complete financial statements, and therefore should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying footnotes contained herein.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The First National Bank of Shelby and its wholly-owned subsidiary, F.N.B. Insurance Agency, Inc. (collectively, "the Bank"), for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheet and the reported revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant changes in the near-term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, and the fair value of securities and other financial instruments.

<u>Nature of operations</u>: The First National Bank of Shelby is primarily engaged in the business of obtaining deposits and originating commercial, industrial, consumer and real estate loans within its North Carolina lending area of Cleveland County, Gaston County, Lincoln County, Rutherford County and the surrounding counties. Commercial and consumer loans are made on either a secured or unsecured basis to corporations, partnerships, and individuals.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

The Comprehensive Income topic of the ASC was amended in June 2011. The amendment eliminates the option to present other comprehensive income as a part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and requires consecutive presentation of the statement of operations and other comprehensive income (loss). The amendments were applicable to the Bank on January 1, 2012 and have been applied retrospectively. In December 2011, the topic was further amended to defer the effective date of presenting reclassification adjustments from other comprehensive income to net income on the face of the consolidated financial statements. Companies should continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect prior to the amendments while FASB finalizes its conclusions regarding future requirements.

The FASB amended the Comprehensive Income topic of the ASC in February 2013. The amendments address reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Specifically, the amendments do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements. However, the amendments do require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, in certain circumstances an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income. The amendments will be effective for the Bank on a prospective basis for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Bank does not expect these amendments to have any effect on its consolidated financial statements other than a change in the presentation of financial information.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 - NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In February 2013 the FASB also amended the Financial Instruments topic of the ASC to address the scope and applicability of a certain disclosures to nonpublic entities. The amendments clarify that the requirement to disclose "the level of the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurements are categorized in their entirety (Level 1, 2, or 3)" does not apply to nonpublic entities for items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position but for which fair value is disclosed. The Bank does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a material impact on the Bank's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and estimated fair value of securities at March 31, 2013 December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2013					
	Amortized		nrealized	Market		
Samuiting Anallahla fan Sala	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value		
Securities Available-for-Sale Mortgage-backed securities	\$170,073,310	\$ 301,607	\$2,971,268	\$167,403,649		
00						
Total securities available-for-sale	\$170,073,310	\$ 301,607	\$2,971,268	<u>\$167,403,649</u>		
	December 31, 2012					
	Amortized		nrealized	Market		
Converting Available for Sala	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value		
Securities Available-for-Sale	\$170 AEC 224	¢ ((() 04	¢1 024 017	¢170 007 5 0 1		
Mortgage-backed securities	\$179,456,234	\$ 666,204	\$1,234,917	\$178,887,521		
Total securities available-for-sale	\$179,456,234	\$ 666,204	\$1,234,917	\$178,887,521		
	March 31, 2012					
	Amortized Gross Unrealized			Market		
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value		
Securities Available-for-Sale			.			
U.S. government agency securities	\$ 1,517,610	\$ 100,026	\$ —	\$ 1,617,636		
States and political subdivisions	21,295,556	1,128,954		22,424,510		
Mortgage-backed securities	184,349,410	3,603,005	787,708	187,164,707		
Corporate						
Total securities available-for-sale	\$207,162,576	\$4,831,985	\$ 787,708	\$211,206,853		
<u>Securities Held-to-Maturity</u>						
Collateralized debt obligations	\$ 14,825,437	\$ —	\$9,566,076	\$ 5,259,361		
Mortgage-backed securities	75,401,301	6,509,350	23,178	81,887,473		
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$ 90,226,738	\$6,509,350	\$9,589,254	\$ 87,146,834		

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES (Continued)

Maturities may differ from contractual maturities in mortgage-backed securities because they do not have a single maturity date.

Proceeds from the sales of securities available-for-sale for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$0 and \$28,725,993, respectively. Gross proceeds from maturities, calls and prepayments on securities available-for-sale for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$8,863,859 and \$12,509,538 respectively. Gross gains from sales, maturities or calls of securities available-for-sale for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$0, and \$553,289, respectively and gross losses were \$0 and \$516,866 respectively.

At March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, securities with an aggregate market value of \$123,414,456, \$165,871,353, and \$225,941,421 respectively, were pledged to secure borrowed funds and public and federal deposits received by the Bank. The carrying amount of securities pledged to secure collateralized customer deposits was \$43,989,193 \$12,210,865 and \$29,741,783 respectively.

The following tables present information regarding temporarily impaired securities as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012:

	March	31, 2013		
than twelve months Twelve months or more Tot		Twelve months or more		tal
Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized
losses	Fair value	losses	Fair value	losses
	Unrealized	welve months Twelve mon Unrealized	Unrealized Unrealized	welve months Twelve months or more To To Unrealized To

Available for Sale: